

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number (Without Figures): 67 Rate: 6.2 CNY per page

Editing Fee: <u>415.4</u> CNY

Figure count: <u>3</u> Figure handling time: <u>65</u> min Rate: <u>1 CNY per min</u>

Editing Fee: <u>65</u> CNY

XML and PDF converting time: 60 min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: <u>60</u> CNY

Manuscript word count: 10376

Total Editing Fee: <u>540.4</u> CNY

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology	
	Manuscript NO.: 65716	
	Column: Systematic Reviews	
	Title: Carcinosarcoma of gallbladder: A world review	
1	Authors: Thomas Zheng Jie Teng, Branden Qi Yu Chua and Vishal	[Y]
	G Shelat	
	Reviewer code: 03864005	
	First decision: 2021-05-04 02:19	
	Scientific Editor: Ze-Mao Gong	
	Date of signature: <u>11/20/2021</u> (month/day/year)	
2	Editorial Office's Comments	
	Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a	[Y]



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Review of the Carcinosarcoma of Gallbladder. The topic is within the scope of the WJCO. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The discussion part should be more clearly structured. There should be a clear conclusion in the end of the manuscript what of the compiled and presented data. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There are 1 table and 3 figures; (4) References: A total of 84 references are cited, including 16 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There are 3 self-cited references; and (6) References recommendations (kindly remind): The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. The authors are native English speakers. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCO. 5 Issues raised: (1) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) The authors did not provide original



		1
	pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please	
	prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all	
	graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;	
	(3) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please	
	provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the	
	reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise	
	throughout. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review	
	Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics	
	documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements	
	of the World Journal of Clinical Oncology, and the manuscript is	
	conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s)	
	for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial	
	Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by	
	Authors.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	



7	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by abbreviated first and middles names. <i>e.g.,</i> "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and	[Y]
	analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approve the final manuscript."	
8	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial support and includes the corresponding identification number(s) and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	[N]
9	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (<i>e.g.</i> , MD, PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address (including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and contains no spelling errors.	[Y]
10	The Manuscript Tracking information (<i>i.e.</i> , Received, Peer review started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	[Y]
11	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific style (structured <i>vs</i> unstructured) and word count thresholds, as follows: <u>Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,</u> <u>Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight</u> : Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words. <u>Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor</u> :	[Y]



	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
13	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	[Y]
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. <i>World J</i>	
	Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[Y]
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
15	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	[Y]
	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	
	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e.,	
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	



	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are	
	described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the	
	current study, the information is presented carefully.	
	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately	
	describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data	
16	presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the	[Y]
	study.	
	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
17	the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	[Y]
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	
	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	
18	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, ${}^{c}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{d}P < 0.01$ are used,	[Y]
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as ${}^{e}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{f}P < 0.01$.	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
19	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	
	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	[Y]
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	1	



	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
20	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	[N]
	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	
	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
01	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[Y]
	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
	number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e,</i> "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
22	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	[Y]
	reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	(<i>e.g.,</i> Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	
	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
	duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	
23	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	[Y]
	each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name of	
	journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
	1	



24	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
	follows:	
	<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	[1]
	<u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	[Y]
	<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
25	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[Y]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	
	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	
26	at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	[Y]
	JL).	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (e.g., +, -, ×, \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	
27	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[Y]
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[Y]
	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
29	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	[N]
	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
30	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	Ex (2)
	the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]



	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript NoReview; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review).	
31	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name: Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Peer-review(s)). The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript	[Y]
	NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	
	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	
	Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	
	approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	
	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	
	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	
32	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	[Y]
	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	
	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript	
	NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; <i>e.g.</i> ,	[Y]
	870-Copyright license agreement).	
	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	
34	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[N]
	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	
35	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	[N]
	NoAgreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for	[10]
	use of personal photos).	



36	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors) has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific editor work list (<i>e.g.</i> , 870-Scientific editor work list).	[Y]
37	A <i>CrossCheck</i> investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript <i>via</i> the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list". The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at 1440 × 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report (<i>e.g.</i> , 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure publication of original content.	[Y]
38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing.	[Y]
Responsibilities of scientific editors	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, \div , %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.	



	Manuscript reception and registration \rightarrow Initial review by scientific editor \rightarrow Peer	
	review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be	
	accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be	
	accepted/revised/rejected \rightarrow Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality	
Publication	control for academic content and language quality) \rightarrow Final acceptance and charging	
process	of publication fee-Language editing-Production-Proofreading by scientific	
	editor \rightarrow Proofreading by deputy editor \rightarrow Final review by Editor-in-Chief \rightarrow Release	
	of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website \rightarrow Release of	
	online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	
	author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.	