

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 65716

Title: Carcinosarcoma of Gallbladder: A World Review

Reviewer's code: 03864005

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Scientist, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-12 08:56

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-14 12:14

Review time: 2 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This work might be of value for World Journal of Clinical Oncology, reviewing the rare entity of gallbladder carcinosarcoma. The authors performed a database search and compiled all case reports on this tumor type. While the information provided may help the community and care-takers to get a quick overview of the entity I feel some revisional work would add clarity:

- The discussion part feels a bit unstructured when reading. It could be more clearly structured in subsections related to e.g. diagnostics (imaging, tu-markers etc.), therapy, prognosis, etc.
- extended cholecystectomy could be explained in more detail, also maybe giving a recommendation for clinical practice (conventional cholecystectomy with frozen section and extension of resection after that if positive vs. two-time surgical approach, etc.) given the importance of surgical therapy for these tumors.
- In my opinion the similarities/commonalities between gallbladder-carcinoma and -carcinosarcoma are not clearly outlined. Many of the sections in the discussion part are equally true for any gallbladder-malignancy. where statements are made regarding the worse prognosis of carcinosarcoma they are not supported by meaningful referencing. the (adjuvant) chemotherapeutic options (and the lack of high evidence data) could also be discussed in the light of gallbladder-carcinoma (where I believe the options and evidence are the same) - so in the end: there should be a clear conclusion in the end of the manuscript what of the compiled and presented data makes gallbladder-carcinosarcoma a clinically relevant diagnosis (apart from other gallbladder malignancies) as opposed by only an academic one.
- some minor comments are added by help of the tracked changes function in the word file.