

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66887

Title: Choriocarcinoma misdiagnosed as cerebral hemangioma: a case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 03478911

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chief Technician, Executive Vice President, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-05 01:38

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-10 09:31

Review time: 5 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have achieved tumor remission in a misdiagnosed case, and a report is very interesting. However, the following points must be supplemented. 1. Information on the medications prescribed for 9 days following the first surgery should be listed. Whether the drug contains factors that affect the prognosis of the patient should be determined. 2. The specific regimen of chemotherapy (EMA-CO) should be introduced. 3. The description of the adverse event is very short (only described in lines 149-150). Please specify any adverse effects. 3. In this case report, the authors tracked the B-HCG levels in the patient. The significance of such a marker level in choriocarcinoma should be discussed in detail. 4. There was no description of how to care for lung metastasis.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66887

Title: Choriocarcinoma misdiagnosed as cerebral hemangioma: a case report and

literature review

Reviewer's code: 00742268

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-06 11:13

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-14 08:43

Review time: 7 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study team reports an initially misdiagnosed case of a brain metastatic choriocarcinoma. Treatment regimens are discussed. Comments Line 81: Recommendation to shorten the content of the sentence and combine it with the preceding sentence. Figures: only some black arrows identified. line 79: Figure 1 citation should be placed in its direct context. line 149: in addition to citation 10, the original reference should be cited. line 228, should be rephrased: Pathological pictures of the patient... Grammar line 34, Childbearing: childbearing line 66, cephalgia: cephalalgia line 174, probably meant: because of its multiple clinical...



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66887

Title: Choriocarcinoma misdiagnosed as cerebral hemangioma: a case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 03478911

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chief Technician, Executive Vice President, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-13

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-09 02:06

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-12 00:31

Review time: 2 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

All concerns have been well addressed.