**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 68530

**Manuscript Type:** CASE REPORT

**Cortical bone trajectory fixation in cemented vertebrae in lumbar degenerative disease: A case report**

Chen MM *et al*. CBT fixation in cemented vertebrae

Meng-Meng Chen, Pu Jia, Hai Tang

**Meng-Meng Chen, Pu Jia, Hai Tang,** Department of Orthopaedics, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100050, China

**Author contributions:** Chen MM and Jia P contributed equally to this work; Chen MM and Jia P reviewed the literature, participated in collecting the data, and drafted the manuscript; Tang H and Jia P joined the surgery and helped to revise the manuscript; all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Corresponding author: Hai Tang, PhD, Surgeon,** Department of Orthopaedics, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, No. 95 Yong An Road, Xicheng District, Beijing 100050, China. tanghai@ccmu.edu.cn

**Received:** May 26, 2021

**Revised:** June 27, 2021

**Accepted:** July 21, 2021

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) has been widely used in osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture (OVCF). Following surgery, the bone cement would be positioned permanently. However, in some cases of lumbar degenerative disease, the cemented vertebrae would need to be fixed after decompression and fusion procedure. It was difficult to implant traditional pedicle screws into the cemented vertebrae because of the bone cement filling. At present, the main treatment strategy is to skip the cemented vertebra and conduct a long segment fixation. This article aimed to present a cortical bone trajectory (CBT) fixation technique for cemented vertebrae.

CASE SUMMARY

PVP involving the L3 and L4 was performed in an 82-year-old man on account of OVCF. During the surgery, bone cement leakage occurred, resulting in the compression of the root of the right L3 nerve. We performed a partial facetectomy to retrieve the leaked bone cement and to relieve the patient’s neurological symptoms. After three months, the patient developed lumbar disc herniation in L3/4, potentially due to instability caused by the previous surgery. Therefore, it was necessary to perform intervertebral fusion and fixation. It was difficult to implant traditional trajectory pedicle screws in L3 and L4 because of the bone cement filling. Hence, we implanted CBT screws in the L3 and L4 vertebrae. As a result, the patient’s symptoms resolved and he reported satisfaction with the surgery at follow-up after eight months.

CONCLUSION

It is feasible to utilize CBT in cemented vertebrae in the treatment of lumbar degenerative disease.

**Key Words:** Cortical bone trajectory; Cemented vertebrae; Lumbar degenerative disease; Spinal fixation; Bone cement leakage; Case report

Chen MM, Jia P, Tang H. Cortical bone trajectory fixation in cemented vertebrae in lumbar degenerative disease: A case report. *World J Clin Cases* 2021; In press

**Core Tip:** It was difficult to implant traditional trajectory (TT) pedicle screws in the cemented vertebrae. Cortical bone trajectory (CBT) may be a feasible method because of short implantation depth and location at the rear of the vertebrae. We successfully implanted CBT screws in the cemented vertebrae. The application of CBT provides a new method for the fixation of the cemented vertebrae and expands the indication for CBT. Meanwhile, we concluded two tips to decrease the rod curvature and simplify assembly in the hybrid screw technique in which CBT and TT were used in the same set.

**INTRODUCTION**

Percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) has been widely applied in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture (OVCF)[1]. Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) is used as the bone cement, which is permanently implanted into the vertebral body[2]. However, several elderly patients have concomitant lumbar degenerative disease and severe osteoporosis[3,4]. In such patients, we prefer to perform a minimally invasive PVP if they experience OVCF. Subsequently, several patients may require decompression and fixation if there is a deterioration in lumbar degenerative disease. However, it is difficult to utilize traditional trajectory (TT) screws to fix the cemented vertebrae because of the bone cement filling. At present, the main treatment strategy is to skip the cemented vertebra and conduct a long segment fixation, which may not provide sufficient stability, especially for successive vertebrae. Herein, we report the case of a patient with lumbar disc herniation who underwent PVP of two successive vertebrae. During the surgery, bone cement leakage occurred, and open decompression was performed to remove the leaked bone cement. After three months, lumbar disc herniation occurred at the decompressed segment, and a cortical bone trajectory (CBT) pedicle screw was used to fix the cemented vertebrae.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

***Chief complaints***

An 82-year-old man complained of serious backache accompanied by radiating pain to the right lower extremity.

***History of present illness***

The patient presented to a local hospital for acute exacerbation of chronic lower back pain three months ago. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a high signal area in T2-weighted images of the vertebral bodies of L3 and L4, with the L2/3 presenting corresponding segment canal stenosis (Figure 1). Despite this, the patient did not exhibit any obvious lower extremity neurological symptoms. Therefore, PVP was performed based on the diagnosis of OVCF (L3, L4). Unfortunately, bone cement leakage occurred during the surgery, which led to severe neurological symptoms. Computed tomography (CT) revealed that the right intervertebral foramen of L3/4 had a high density shadow (Figure 2). Leaked bone cement compressed the root of the L3 nerve which caused a stabbing pain radiating to the skin of the anterior-lateral thigh. A local surgeon administered an epidural steroid injection which can temporarily alleviate neurological symptoms. However, after two days, the patient’s pain recurred and was unbearable. The patient was transferred to our hospital and complained of lower back pain accompanied by radiating pain in the right thigh. The patient was maintained in bedridden status because even light activity could result in unbearable pain. We therefore performed a partial facetectomy to retrieve the leaked bone cement using a posterior approach. Following this procedure, the neurological symptoms completely resolved, although slight backache persisted. The patient was subsequently discharged from the hospital.

After three months, the patient experienced serious backache accompanied by radiating pain to the right lower extremity and revisited our hospital. The radiating pain was mainly located from the back of the thigh to the inner side of the shin. The visual analog scale score was 8.

***History of past illness***

The patient had a previous diagnosis of high blood pressure and coronary heart disease.

***Personal and family history***

The patient had been drinking for about twenty years. It was about 200 mg per day. No history of smoking. No significant family history.

***Physical examination***

Numbness and hypoesthesia at the medial lateral of the right shin skin, and the muscle strength of the dorsiflexion ankle decreased to level 4. A positive Lasegue’s sign on the right lower extremity was noted. Bilateral patellar and achilles tendon reflex could not be evoked. Perianal sensation and anal sphincter muscle strength were normal. Babinski sign was negative.

***Laboratory examinations***

Troponin-T andN-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide were normal. Arterial blood gas analysis revealed partial pressure of blood oxygen was 81.7 mmHg.

***Imaging examinations***

MRI revealed a right lumbar disc protrusion located at L3/4 and spinal canal stenosis at L2/3 (Figure 3).

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

This patient was diagnosed with lumbar disc herniation (L3/4) which compressed the root of the L4 nerve.

**TREATMENT**

Since sciatica was the patient’s main symptom, discectomy and nerve root decompression were the principal objectives to alleviate acute pain. Moreover, considering the potential spinal instability caused by the previous decompression, performing intervertebral fusion and fixation to ensure long-term surgery efficacy was inevitable.

The surgery scheme was designed to include discectomy, intervertebral fusion, pedicle screw fixation and topping-off strategy. It was difficult to implant TT pedicle screws into L3 and L4 because of the bone cement filling. We therefore applied CBT screws to fix the cemented vertebrae. The entry point was set in the lateral point of the pars interarticularis. The angle projected from 5-o’clock to 11-o’clock in the left pedicle and from 7-o’clock to 1-o’clock in the right pedicle (Figure 4). The right isthmus of the L4 Lamina had an iatrogenic defect from the previous surgery, so it was not suitable for implantation of the pedicle screw. Subsequently, TT screws were implanted into L2 and L5. Furthermore, considering the fusion of multiple segments in the lumbar spine, an interspinous stabilization device was placed between L1 and L2 to avoid adjacent segment disease (ASD).

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

After surgery, the symptom of the right lower extremity radiating pain immediately resolved. On postoperative day 5, the patient left the bed and walked around the room with the use of a waist brace. Eight months after surgery, the patient could take care of himself without assistance, and reported satisfaction with the surgery at the outpatient clinic follow-up. The CT reconstruction images are shown in Figure 5. No related complications occurred.

**DISCUSSION**

PVP has been an effective measure for the treatment of OVCF[1]. PVP has been widely used in clinical practice since it immediately enhances vertebral stability and quickly relieves pain. The most common filling material is PMMA cement, which rapidly solidifies after being injected into the vertebrae and remains in the body permanently without degradation[2]. In many cases, people who experienced PVP also have concomitant lumbar degenerative disease[5]. Subsequently, several patients may need surgery for further decompression and fixation owing to severe degeneration. However, it is challenging to fix the cemented vertebrae due to the bone cement filling. Moreover, long segment instrumentation in the method of skipping the cemented vertebrae, especially for successive vertebrae, cannot achieve the lumbar stability of internal fixation. In addition, elderly patients with osteoporosis may have comorbid conditions and be intolerant of major surgeries. Therefore, choosing a suitable fixation method is crucial.

We therefore applied CBT screws to fix the cemented vertebrae. Santoni firstly reported the application and related biomechanical study of CBT in 2009[6]. The CBT was initially designed for improving the fixation strength in patients with osteoporosis. Compared to the TT screw, the implantation of the CBT screw does not require exposure of the facet joint and is minimally invasive. Therefore, less dissection and an inferior entry point expanded the indications of CBT[7,8]. Moreover, CBT is also used in revision patients with ASD and can be considered as a rescue technique using TT screws[9,10]. Pacione reported on an 83-year-old female diagnosed with L4 OVCF and lumbar spinal stenosis (L3/4) who was treated with PVP (L4) and decompression (L3/4). CBT screws were applied in L3 and L5 for spinal stability. Three months later, the patient experienced an adjacent segment fracture in L3 and underwent PVP with a common procedure negating impediment of CBT[11]. Shi published the application of CBT in spinal tuberculosis in which CBT screws were implanted in diseased vertebrae to strengthen the stabilization and reduce instrumented segments. The method is suitable for diseased vertebrae fixation because of the short implantation depth and location at the rear of the vertebrae[12].

The internal fixation stabilization is essential for spine fusion in osteoporosis patients. It has been reported that CBT improved the pullout strength by 30% and the insertional torque was 1.7 times higher than that of the TT screw[6,13]. Moreover, double fixation can also increase instrumentation stability in osteoporosis patients. Ueno reported on a 64-year-old female with severe osteoporosis who underwent spinal fixation and fusion using two sets of screw systems (CBT and TT) to enhance stability; every pedicle was implanted with two different screws[14].

In our case, an interspinous stabilization device was used to prevent ASD after multiple lumbar spine fusion (topping-off). The increasing activity in the adjacent segment due to fusion of distal segments would lead to further disc degeneration. Therefore, an interspinous stabilization device, which limits the range of the adjacent segment and reduces the disc stress, can effectively decrease the incidence of ASD[15,16].

In the present case, we applied a hybrid screw technique in which CBT and TT were used in the same set. The placement of a rod could be difficult because the entry points are located in different sagittal planes. Therefore, we concluded two tips to decrease the rod curvature and simplify assembly. First, the CBT screws should be implanted in successive vertebrae in the hybrid screw technique. Second, the entry points in the region of the junction of the TT and CBT should be adjusted in the controllable area. In our patient, for example, the TT screw implantation in L5 can be downward and the CBT screw in L4 can be upward in the normal range. Therefore, it is feasible to reduce the curvature of the rod at the junction of the TT and CBT. However, not all cemented vertebrae can be fixed using CBT, particularly for the cement existing in the pedicle. Therefore, it is necessary to perform preoperative CT to evaluate and program the screw implantation trajectory. Moreover, the limitations of this study are the limited number of cases and the short follow-up time. In the future study, more patients should be included and longer follow-up time should be applied.

**CONCLUSION**

It is feasible to utilize CBT in cemented vertebrae in the treatment of lumbar degenerative disease. The application of CBT provides a new method for the fixation of the cemented vertebrae and expands the indication for CBT.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Muijs SP**, van Erkel AR, Dijkstra PD. Treatment of painful osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: a brief review of the evidence for percutaneous vertebroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2011; **93**: 1149-1153 [PMID: 21911522 DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.93B9.26152]

2 **Liu H**, Liu B, Gao C, Meng B, Yang H, Yu H, Yang L. Injectable, biomechanically robust, biodegradable and osseointegrative bone cement for percutaneous kyphoplasty and vertebroplasty. *Int Orthop* 2018; **42**: 125-132 [PMID: 29116357 DOI: 10.1007/s00264-017-3674-0]

3 **Miller JD**, Nader R. Treatment of combined osteoporotic compression fractures and spinal stenosis: use of vertebral augumentation and interspinous process spacer. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2008; **33**: E717-E720 [PMID: 18758354 DOI: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e31817f8d40]

4 **Bonaldi G**, Cianfoni A. Percutaneous treatment of lumbar compression fracture with canal stenosis and neurogenic intermittent claudication: combining kyphoplasty and interspinous spacer. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2012; **23**: 1437-1441 [PMID: 23101915 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvir.2012.06.023]

5 **Burge R**, Dawson-Hughes B, Solomon DH, Wong JB, King A, Tosteson A. Incidence and economic burden of osteoporosis-related fractures in the United States, 2005-2025. *J Bone Miner Res* 2007; **22**: 465-475 [PMID: 17144789 DOI: 10.1359/jbmr.061113]

6 **Santoni BG**, Hynes RA, McGilvray KC, Rodriguez-Canessa G, Lyons AS, Henson MA, Womack WJ, Puttlitz CM. Cortical bone trajectory for lumbar pedicle screws. *Spine J* 2009; **9**: 366-373 [PMID: 18790684 DOI: 10.1016/j.spinee.2008.07.008]

7 **Kaye ID**, Prasad SK, Vaccaro AR, Hilibrand AS. The Cortical Bone Trajectory for Pedicle Screw Insertion. *JBJS Rev* 2017; **5**: e13 [PMID: 28857932 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.RVW.16.00120]

8 **Tortolani PJ**, Stroh DA. Cortical Bone Trajectory Technique for Posterior Spinal Instrumentation. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2016; **24**: 755-761 [PMID: 27755261 DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-15-00597]

9 **Rodriguez A**, Neal MT, Liu A, Somasundaram A, Hsu W, Branch CL Jr. Novel placement of cortical bone trajectory screws in previously instrumented pedicles for adjacent-segment lumbar disease using CT image-guided navigation. *Neurosurg Focus* 2014; **36**: E9 [PMID: 24580010 DOI: 10.3171/2014.1.FOCUS13521]

10 **Calvert GC**, Lawrence BD, Abtahi AM, Bachus KN, Brodke DS. Cortical screws used to rescue failed lumbar pedicle screw construct: a biomechanical analysis. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2015; **22**: 166-172 [PMID: 25478820 DOI: 10.3171/2014.10.SPINE14371]

11 **Pacione D**, Kim I, Wilson TA, Frempong-Boadu A. Cortical screw trajectory for instrumentation and fusion in the setting of osteopathic compression fracture allows for percutaneous kyphoplasty for adjacent level compression fractures. *J Clin Neurosci* 2015; **22**: 899-904 [PMID: 25724313 DOI: 10.1016/j.jocn.2014.09.025]

12 **Shi S**, Ying X, Zheng Q, Zhu B, Jin Y, Shen J, Zheng M, Hu S. Application of Cortical Bone Trajectory Screws in Elderly Patients with Lumbar Spinal Tuberculosis. *World Neurosurg* 2018; **117**: e82-e89 [PMID: 29864561 DOI: 10.1016/j.wneu.2018.05.168]

13 **Matsukawa K**, Yato Y, Kato T, Imabayashi H, Asazuma T, Nemoto K. In vivo analysis of insertional torque during pedicle screwing using cortical bone trajectory technique. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2014; **39**: E240-E245 [PMID: 24253778 DOI: 10.1097/BRS.0000000000000116]

14 **Ueno M**, Imura T, Inoue G, Takaso M. Posterior corrective fusion using a double-trajectory technique (cortical bone trajectory combined with traditional trajectory) for degenerative lumbar scoliosis with osteoporosis: technical note. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2013; **19**: 600-607 [PMID: 24010899 DOI: 10.3171/2013.7.SPINE13191]

15 **Lee CH**, Hyun SJ, Kim KJ, Jahng TA, Yoon SH, Kim HJ. The efficacy of lumbar hybrid stabilization using the DIAM to delay adjacent segment degeneration: an intervention comparison study with a minimum 2-year follow-up. *Neurosurgery* 2013; **73**: ons224-31; discussion ons231-2 [PMID: 23467248 DOI: 10.1227/NEU.0b013e31828e8ddc]

16 **Herren C**, Beckmann A, Meyer S, Pishnamaz M, Mundt M, Sobottke R, Prescher A, Stoffel M, Markert B, Kobbe P, Pape HC, Eysel P, Siewe J. Biomechanical testing of a PEEK-based dynamic instrumentation device in a lumbar spine model. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2017; **44**: 67-74 [PMID: 28342975 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2017.03.009]

**Footnotes**

**Informed consent statement:** All relevant data published have obtained the informed consent from patient and his families.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Manuscript source:** Unsolicited manuscript

**Peer-review started:** May 26, 2021

**First decision:** June 15, 2021

**Article in press:**

**Specialty type:** Medicine, research and experimental

**Country/Territory of origin:** China

**Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B


Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Bai J **S-Editor:** Yan JP **L-Editor: P-Editor:**


**Figure Legends**




**Figure 1 Magnetic resonance imaging showing L3, L4 fracture and L2/3 spinal stenosis.** A and B: Abnormal signs in the vertebral body of L3, L4 and segmental stenosis of L2/3 in sagittal images; C and D: Spinal stenosis of L2/3 in cross-sectional images.




**Figure 2 Leakage of bone cement located in the right intervertebral foramen of L3/4.** A: Sagittal image; B and C: Cross-sectional images of L3/4.



**Figure 3 Magnetic resonance imaging showing lumbar disc herniation.** A and B: The herniated disc in the sagittal plane (white arrow); C and D: The herniated disc in the axial plane (white arrow).



**Figure 4 Screw implantation angles and entry points in the intraoperative images.** A: Anteroposterior image; B: Lateral image.



**Figure 5 Morphology and location of implants from three-dimensional reconstruction images.** A: Anteroposterior image; B: Lateral image.