

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 71320

Title: Surgical strategies for Mirizzi syndrome: A ten-year single center experience

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03731081

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-03 11:52

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-03 13:53

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statementsConflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The article talks about a rare complication of cholecystolithiasis. 66 patients have very representative material for this disease. The authors made an accurate analysis of modern surgical strategies for the three types of Merizzi syndrome, based on ten years of material. I am impressed by the assessment of diagnostic methods and surgical methods of treatment described in the article (laparoscopy and laparotomy). This article is recommended for publication.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 71320

Title: Surgical strategies for Mirizzi syndrome: A ten-year single center experience

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05975745

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-04 08:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-04 09:02

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statementsConflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. List out the contributions and the organization of the paper below the introduction paper. 2. Add an architecture depicting the system model of the proposed work. 3.

In the Introduction section, the drawbacks of each conventional technique should be described clearly. 4. You should emphasize the difference between other methods to clarify the position of this work further. 5. The Wide ranges of applications need to be addressed in the Introduction 6. Add the advantages of the proposed system in one quoted line for justifying the proposed approach in the Introduction section. 7. In the introduction, the findings of the present research work should be compared with the recent work of the same field towards claiming the contribution made. , kindly provide several references to substantiate the claim made in the abstract (that is, provide references to other groups who do or have done research in this area). 8. Authors can refer to some latest related works from reputed journals like IEEE/ACM Transactions, Elsevier, Inderscience, Springer, Taylor & Francis, etc.