

Dear Reviewer

Reviewer 1

1. The abstract section can improve—add a focus point in the abstract section.

The abstract has been improved, and the objective of the Letter has been added.

2. Since the first umbilical cord stem cell transplant for the treatment of Fanconi anemia, the use of stem cells for the treatment of multiple diseases, including COVID-19, has increased. Rewrite the conclusion (in the abstract) in a more straightforward form.

The sentence has been rewritten, which now completes the main idea.

3. Among the many strategies currently available for the treatment of multiple... No new information. Need to add more recent insights.

We have deleted that sentence.

4. Authors are suggested to use the full form when used for the first time throughout the manuscript.

Letter to the Editor requires a specific format, which was followed.

5. The introduction section is poor.

The text corresponds to the Letter to the Editor format, so the introduction must be brief.

6. Although clinical trials have shown that stem-cell-based therapy has great advantages that have a direct impact on the survival of patients with severe disease. The section can improve by including the data from other sources about related Works

The main idea of this paragraph is to mention the technical and biological limitations of the use of stem cells as a therapeutic option. It has now been rewritten.

7. The conclusion needs to address future perspectives.

The future perspectives have been added to the conclusion.

8. Novelty of the work should be added by the author in the conclusion section.

The conclusion section has been rewritten.

9. Many spacing, punctuation marks problem found in the tables.

This document does not present tables

10. Spacing, punctuation marks, grammar, and spelling errors should be reviewed thoroughly. I found so many typos throughout the manuscript.

The writing has been sent to an editor for review of these points.

Reviewer 2

Minor comments:

You started your manuscript by saying "We read with interest the paper by Zheng[1] regarding stem cell therapy as a treatment for SARS-COV-2". I would suggest that you provide an outlined details of Zheng's study. This may help the reader to understand your further discussion. Your presented your litter clearly and scientifically. However, I'm struggling to understand your position from Zheng's study. I would suggest that you clarify your opinion about this study, by commenting on their results and link your final

paragraph of recommendation to Zheng's recommendations, making it clear that this is an agreement or further suggestion.

Specific information regarding Zheng's study has been added.