

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75602

Title: Stem cells as an option for the treatment of COVID-19

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05731995

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: BSc, MSc, PhD, RN

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Arab Emirates

Author's Country/Territory: Mexico

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-08 08:27

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-08 08:52

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

You started your manuscript by saying "We read with interest the paper by Zheng[1] regarding stem cell therapy as a treatment for SARS-COV-2". I would suggest that you provide an outlined details of Zheng's study. This may help the reader to understand your further discussion. Your presented your litter clearly and scientifically. However, I'm struggling to understand your position from Zheng's study. I would suggest that you clarify your opinion about this study, by commenting on their results and link your final paragraph of recommendation to Zheng's recommendations, making it clear that this is an agreement or further suggestion.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75602

Title: Stem cells as an option for the treatment of COVID-19

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05457585

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bangladesh

Author's Country/Territory: Mexico

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-07 08:10

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-17 19:03

Review time: 10 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript Number: 75602 Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript Type: Letter to the Editor Title: Stem cells as an option for the treatment of

COVID-19 Minor comments: The article is inadequately presented. Furthermore, there

are many problems in the different sections as well. Although the article has scientific

rigor, several minor flows need to be improved before publication. 1. The abstract

section can improve—add a focus point in the abstract section. 2. Since the first

umbilical cord stem cell transplant for the treatment of Fanconi anemia, the use of stem

cells for the treatment of multiple diseases, including COVID-19, has increased. Rewrite

the conclusion (in the abstract) in a more straightforward form. 3. Among the many

strategies currently available for the treatment of multiple... No new information. Need

to add more recent insights. 4. Authors are suggested to use the full form when used

for the first time throughout the manuscript. 5. The introduction section is poor. 6.

Although clinical trials have shown that stem-cell-based therapy has great advantages

that have a direct impact on the survival of patients with severe disease,...The section

can improve by including the data from other sources about related works. 7. The

conclusion needs to address future perspectives. 8. Novelty of the work should be

added by the author in the conclusion section. 9. Many spacing, punctuation marks

problem found in the tables. 10. Spacing, punctuation marks, grammar, and spelling

errors should be reviewed thoroughly. I found so many typos throughout the

manuscript.