

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Benign Position Paroxysmal Vertigo with Congenital Nystagmus: A Case Report" (ID:78851). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our papers as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made corrections which we hope meet with approval. All the corrections in the paper and the responses to the reviewer's comments are as follows:

Responses to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #05490815:

1. Response to comment: Limitation-grammatical corrections are required which is understandable as the authors are not native English speakers.

Response: Thank you very much for your understanding and suggestion. We found a professional language institution to revise the grammatical errors again to solve the question.

2. Response to comment: The figures described in the text have not been uploaded which is essential to review the findings.

Response: We are very sorry for our mistake, we have uploaded the figures according to your suggestion. Thank you!

All of these are all my responses, Thanks again for your approval and suggestions. Wish you a happy life!

Reviewer #06299707

1. Response to comment: The introduction is very short. The constructs and concepts in the introduction section are poorly organized and incomplete. Include more general and specific background in this section.

Response: Thank you for your suggestions. We have re-written this part according to the Reviewer's suggestion. We added the content of the introduction section, including the background of BPPV and CN, and added

the concepts of the CN. We rewrote the introduction finally. All of these changes makes the structure are better organized in the introduction.

2. Response to comment: The discussion section has been written appropriately, but for making it more valuable, consider precise comparison between other and your case about similarity and differences.

Response: Thank you for your suggestions. In addition to medical history, the most important difference between vertigo cases is the nystagmus, so according to your suggestion, we added the similarity and differences of nystagmus with Frenzel glasses between other and our case in discussion section.

3. Response to comment: There are some grammatical errors, and the manuscript should be rechecked for punctuation.

Response: We are very sorry for our incorrect writing in punctuation. We have corrected the mistake you pointed out and checked the whole text carefully. Since English is not our mother tongue, we found a professional language institution to revise the grammatical errors again.

4. Response to comment: There are some sentences which been left without citation. Provide references for all the sentences which finished by dot, and make sure that the entire of manuscript follow this maxim.

Response: We are very sorry for our negligence of the problems. We have revised this part according to the Reviewer's suggestion including the addition of citation and the problem of references.

5. Response to comment: Most bibliographic citations which been used are more than 5 years old and obsolete. The authors must update and arrange the bibliography.

Response: It is really true as the Reviewer's suggestion. We consulted literature for the last five years and cited in the manuscript. Thank you very much for your reminding.

Reviewer #06299707:

Comments: Dear authors Well done and congratulation, the writing has been revised appropriately. At this moment, the total quality of your manuscript is suitable for publishing. Sincerely Navid Faraji

Response: Thank you very much for your recognition and suggestions. Wish you a happy life!

All of these are all my responses. Thanks again for your approval and suggestions.

Wish you a happy life!