

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 79286

Title: Impact of the Virtual Anti-Hypertensive Educational Campaign towards

Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Hypertension during COVID-19 Pandemic

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06215370 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-15 12:52

**Reviewer performed review: 2022-**08-15 14:13

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks to the authors for submitting such an interesting manuscript, which is of great significance to the virtual health education research on hypertension during the COVID-19 pandemic



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 79286

Title: Impact of the Virtual Anti-Hypertensive Educational Campaign towards

Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Hypertension during COVID-19 Pandemic

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06255079 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ethiopia

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-17 06:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-26 12:06

**Review time:** 9 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wignet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? • The title reflects the hypothesis of the paper. 2. Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? • Yes, the abstract cover the main aspect of the work 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? o It is recommended to use MeSH headings as the keywords. Please correct, if possible. 4. Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? • The background of the manuscript has tried to provide the background and information relevant to the study but it has some spelling errors 5. Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? • In the Intervention section it's a little bit bulky to read, it will better if you summaries it in a table. • An essential detail of the case that allows to a useful conclusion is mentioned. 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? • The results of the study lacks novelty since several studies have already established the information about the Impact of the Virtual Anti-Hypertensive Educational Campaign towards Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Hypertension during COVID-19 Pandemic. 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? • The discussion part looks relevant, clear



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

and concise. • Key concepts are listed. • Relevant literatures are well discussed, however strengths and limitations in your approach is not mentioned, please add the possible limitations and strengths of your approach to the case. 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? • N/A 9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? • Yes. 10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of 11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, SI units? • Yes. important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? • The references of the manuscript has to follow the referencing style guidelines of the journal. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? • Some part of the discussion has grammatical errors and should be addressed. 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? • The statistical analysis looks appropriate and relevant. 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? •



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

There is no any ethical or any other concern raised. The approvel has been granted.