

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81299

Title: Non-Clostridium Difficile Induced Pseudomembranous Colitis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05914645

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-03 09:41

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-04 06:03

Review time: 20 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. may be useful to audience to know the type of paper ie review 2 The abstract reflects the main subject of the manuscript. 3 The keywords reflect the subject of the manuscript. 4 The introduction provides good background on the subject matter discussed in this manuscript. 5 Methods. The authors may help the audience by indicating the words that were used to search for and what data basis were used to get the literature that was discussed 6 Results. The authors provided a concise review of pseudomembraneous colitis which was their objective 7 Discussion. The manuscript highlights the pseudomembraneous colitis due to non clostridia related causes, it will be interesting to audience and practising clinicians to know if any particular cause has a particularly poor prognosis and if clinician need to be more vigilant in the management of some specific causes. Are there specific recommendations as in reducing chemical reduced colitis related to cleaning of endoscopic tube that may help clinician? 8 Illustrations and tables are of good quality. 9 Biostatistics. No biostatistic used 10 No SI unit used 11 References are appropriate 12 Manuscript well written and concise and well organised as mentioned under point 7 there are a few details that could be added of interest to the audience if no such data exists the author may recommend research into these areas 13 Research methods and reporting. The author generally followed prisma but under methods how the author found the articles needs to be more robust 14 Ethics statements. Article did not directly involve human subjects and no need for consent form or ethical permission A very concise and informative manuscript addition of the source of articles for the study and prognosis of the different methods will help impact clinical practice

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81299

Title: Non-Clostridium Difficile Induced Pseudomembranous Colitis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05506329

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Nepal

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-06 01:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-06 03:39

Review time: 1 Hour

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

nicely written manuscript. It will be better to have shorter reference list with updated one.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81299

Title: Non-Clostridium Difficile Induced Pseudomembranous Colitis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05451751

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-03 01:44

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-13 15:05

Review time: 10 Days and 13 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Non-difficile PMC is an important clinical entity, with lot of cases being diagnosed with increasing awareness and diagnostic modalities. Although article highlights an important aspect of PMC, I have few important suggestion which author can incorporate in revised manuscript. 1. Italicize the name of bacteria (*C.difficile*) 2. Lot of grammatical errors, punctuation errors. 3. In section 'staph aureus colitis' The author describes a patient who developed MRSA proctocolitis with profuse diarrhea after Whipple's procedure that improved on oral and IV vancomycin for 14 days.....Reference is missing 4. Authors should discuss how staph colitis differs from *C difficle* infections. Associated bacteremia in staph aureus could be important that can be highlighted. 5. The authors highlighted different causes of non-difficile PMC, and cited various references. It would have been more useful if authors discussed the characteristic feature of each aetiology, prevalence, its differentiating feature, outcomes. 6. The pattern and distribution of pseudomembranes may provide clues to the etiology and the degree of mucosal injury. The authors should discuss these points, that can help in further differentiation of PMC. 7. Is it possible to make a flowchart about how to approach a case with PMC, based on clinical history, endoscopy and lab diagnostics?