

Dear Editor and reviewers,

First of all, we would like to thank you for your time and your very valuable comments, which were intended to improve our article.

- 1) As we already mentioned in the previous 'Answer to reviewers' file:
 - i. The adding information concerning the Abstract is not added as we considered it unnecessary, as we clearly analyzed the techniques in the core text.
 - ii. Both the figures and the table requested with the advantages and disadvantages of each method have been added, for better clarification and understanding of the article.
 - iii. Even more, the research strategy of the articles has been clarified_ Table 1
 - iv. At the end of the discussion a piece has been added referring to endoscopic techniques and their use in general in the future, according to the last comment of Reviewer 2.
- 2) All the figures referred to in the manuscript have been organized into a PowerPoint file, and the figure legends have been added to the final manuscript.
- 3) the references are in the numbering sequence in the first manuscript submitted. In cases where a part of the text corresponds to the same reference, the bibliography number is of course repeated.
- 4) Audio file will be sent to your email if it is obligatory for the article's publication. (I have previously contacted you via e-mail in order to clarify this)