

We thank the editors and the reviewers for considering our manuscript and advising changes to further improve it. We have incorporated all the changes as suggested by the reviewers. We hope, you will find it appropriate for publication now. However, we will be happy to make any further changes you may suggest.

Reviewer's comments	Authors reply	Changes made
1. The title reflects the subject of the manuscript 2 The abstract summarizes the work described 3 The keywords reflect the focus of the manuscript 4 The background of the manuscript is well presented 5 This was a review article but the methods how articles used in this manuscript not outlined 6 No results presented presented as it was no a study 7 The discussion offers a comprehensive discussion on the fungal arthritis 8 The tables used in the manuscript are good. The manuscript may benefit from an image showing how the articles for review were selected. 9 No biostatistics used in this manuscript 10 No SI units used in this manuscript 11 References are adequate 12 The manuscript is well organized 13 Research methods for the did not indicate the source of data 14 Ethics statements- the manuscript did not use human or animal subjects so no ethical statement required A very well presented manuscript about the fungal arthritis. The authors look at the risk factors, types of fungi, treatment approaches to these infections. Well written except it lack how the source of the articles used for the manuscript in this review was done.	We thank the reviewers for their insightful comments. As this was a “mini-review” we had chosen the articles from reputed international databases like “pubmed” and “RCA” and selected the “High Impact” relevant articles. As this was not a “systematic review”, we did not perform a systematic analysis of the papers and hence using a “PRISMA flow” diagram won't be appropriate.	No changes made
This minireview manuscript describes the clinical features, diagnosis, and management of fungal arthritis. In addition, the	We thank the reviewers for their encouraging comments. We have added a separate paragraph on	Necessary changes made

<p>manuscript also focuses much on the common pathogens and choices of antifungal drugs. Overall, the manuscript is well organized and presented with a lot of useful information. However, the reviewer still concerns the current challenge and the future direction of fungal arthritis that the manuscript might outline based on the advance in its management.</p>	<p>“Current Challenges and future direction”</p>	
--	--	--