

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

June 30, 2015

Dear Editor,

Avoiding misdiagnosing an early intrauterine pregnancy as an ectopic pregnancy.

Donald L. Fylstra, MD (author)

Journal: **World Journal on Obstetrics and Gynecology**

Manuscript number: **17522**

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of the reviewers:

1.Reviewer 007421373:

“Clinical characteristics” replaces “Results” as a subtitle.

Unable to replace figure 1.

2.Reviewer 00742113:

No change recommended

3.Reviewer 00742368:

Wording within the manuscript, Page 15, Figure 6: admits that this algorithm has not been tested to yield sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values, and the variability of some studies is acknowledged. This adds the evaluation of endometrial thickness for consideration to previous non-surgical algorithms.

The extremes of values of serum progesterone are helpful, but many levels are non-diagnostic: that is why the level of 5 ng/ml was selected from the literature to support endometrial sampling when the diagnosis is in doubt.

Spelling and grammar corrected.

4.Reviewer 00452844:

New biochemical markers do not seem to current be “prime-time” for ectopic pregnancy evaluation: this manuscript highlights the current and traditional evaluation techniques. This is more of an opinion paper (agreed) but I like to presented format.

References re-formatted

Thank you again for publishing my manuscript in the World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

Sincerely,



Donald L. Fylstra, MD
Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Medical University of South Carolina
96 Jonathan Lucas Street, CSB 634F
Charleston, SC 29425
+1-843-792-4500
+1-843-792-0533 (fax)
fylstrad@musc.edu