

The Editor

World Journal of Diabetes Professor Gary Yang

Date 29.01.2017

Re: “Quality of sleep and its determinants among people with type 2 diabetes mellitus”

Dear Editor

Thank you for the positive review of our manuscript. The detailed comments provided by the reviewers have assisted us to strengthen several aspects of the paper.

Our responses to the reviewer’s comments are given in the attached table.

Yours sincerely

Nayyereh Aminisani

Reviewers' Comments	Response
Reviewer ID Number: 02446627	
Comment 1: Authors did a nice effort to address this important issue among Iranian population. We would suggest controlling some confounders and history as to sleep related breathing disorder, insomnia, psychiatric illness or medications which they may be taking as well as caffeine intake as all of these can affect the patient sleep.	Thank you, we have considered psychological distress and medication but we did not collect information on breathing disorder or caffeine intake. Coffee consumption is not popular in this age group that might be not a concern in this study, however we addressed lack of information on breathing disorder as limitation of this study.
Reviewer ID Number: 02446609	
Comment 1: NO COMMENT	
Reviewer ID Number: 02526196	
Comment 1. Improving the English writing (for example, asking language editing company to help).	It was seen by and editor "Green highlighted changes in the text"
Comment 2. Title accurately reflects the major topic and contents of the study, however subtitle is missing.	We made correction
Comment 3. Abstract: Introduction should be normally replaced by Background in the abstract. The purpose of the study is clear. The method section is well written whereas more detail results should be	It was replaced with background. Some information was added to the results section

provided in the result section. The conclusion section is OK.	
Comment 4. Introduction: It is quite short introduction. The introduction should be organized better for providing more information, especially authors should clarify what is new for the present study.	It was revised
Comment 5. Methods: The contents are clear but the English writing is needed to improve.	It was seen by an editor "Green highlighted changes in the text"
Comment 6. Results: The results are clear mentioned, again the English writing is needed to improve.	It was seen by an editor "Green highlighted changes in the text"
Comment 7. Discussion: The discussion is not well written and organized. There are a lot of repetitions with the results. Authors should considered rewrite the discussion with avoiding repetitions with the results. Further authors should clearly indicate what new findings have been found from the present study and what significance has been found out in relation to diabetic care? The English writing has to be improved.	It was revised try to avoid repetition.
Reviewer ID Number: 01919991	

<p>Comment 1. Since the study was carried out only on the Iranian population, I would suggest to include this information in the title.</p>	<p>It was added to the title.</p>
<p>Comment 2. Moreover, to be thorough, I wish to point out that in the month of November a study on this issue in Iranian people was published (Gozashti et al, Iran J Med Sci. 2016 Nov;41(6):531-538).</p>	<p>We addressed this in our paper.</p>
<p>Comment 3. Furthermore, some other interesting literature has been published on the sleep quality of diabetic patients in the course of 2016. IN my opinion, the manuscript would be improved by the comparison with these pieces of literature. It would be interesting to know whether the data on the duration of sleep is related to the nighttime sleep or include also any daytime naps.</p>	<p>We addressed this in our paper.</p>