

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 30987

Title: Utility of routine blood tests after elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy for symptomatic gallstones

Reviewer's code: 03669439

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-10-28 17:10

Date reviewed: 2016-11-08 10:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is an interesting study and suitable for publication in this journal. Authors described utility of routine blood tests after LC. But, I have some recommendations. There are some typos here and there. I wonder why you used a drain in so many elective LC patients. I think biliary complication rate was also high. In the discussion section, you described "Length of surgery was associated with increased risk of complications on bivariate analysis but not on multivariate analysis correcting for BMI and drain placement." It is different from Table 4. Please clarify. In the discussion section, 2nd paragraph, page 7, some data should be corrected. References are a little bit outdated. It would be helpful if you conduct prospective study in the near future. Thank you.