

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 33044

Title: Endoscopic shielding techniques, a new method in therapeutic endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 03529718

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-02-06

Date reviewed: 2017-02-14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article "Endoscopy Shielding Technique..." is interesting and describes very well the existing literature both in experimental studies and clinical experiences. It is clear that I advise its publication since it is an article by commission in which editors are very interested, but I think some aspects should be improved, some of which are quite relevant: ?1 - I think it would be convenient to define the technique itself and to describe it more widely, as well as to remember other aspects of it. ?2 - The use of abbreviations, some of them not described, is too widespread in the text. ?3 - In the version received the references are not included. ?4 - I believe that the data of affiliation of the authors are not well collected. ?5 - The discussion is scarce and poor, too concise. ?6 - I miss the personal opinion and experience of the authors. ?7 - Does not refer to whether there are other publications on the technique. The references collected are all that exist, the most significant, how the selection was made, type of bibliographic search carried out ... Thank you very much and greetings.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 33044

Title: Endoscopic shielding techniques, a new method in therapeutic endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 03666828

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-02-06

Date reviewed: 2017-02-20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The artical was very interesting and shows a good possibility to treat large lesions with fewer complications although need more randomized controlled trial to guarantee safety