

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34554

Title: Stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 in treatment of colitis and ischemia and reperfusion in rats. New insights

Reviewer's code: 01558002

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-06-06

Date reviewed: 2017-06-12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Pharmacology and Pathology Sikiric Laboratory added to knowledge of cytoprotection of BPC 157 in a rat model of ischemia/reperfusion injury, which would be interesting for the readers of WJG.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34554

Title: Stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 in treatment of colitis and ischemia and reperfusion in rats. New insights

Reviewer's code: 00503345

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-06-06

Date reviewed: 2017-06-20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript the authors show that BPC 157 protects colon tissue from the endothelial damage induced by ischemia by stimulating a collateral circulation that bypasses the obstructed sites. Overall the data suggest that the cytoprotective effects of BPC 157 are, at least in part, dependent on the NO system. General comment: The revised version of the paper has been improved. However, a lot of redundancy still remains. 1- The lengthy detailed description of the methods in the abstract is not necessary. Only a short overview of the methodologies and approaches should be given as all details can be found in the "methods" section of the manuscript. 2- It is very unusual to describe and present data of a study in the introduction. All references to the data in the introduction should be deleted. In fact, the introduction should end with a short paragraph outlining the objective of the study and a concise overview of the results.