

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 34971

**Title:** Current and emerging pharmacological therapy for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

**Reviewer's code:** 01806390

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2017-06-08

**Date reviewed:** 2017-06-08

**Review days:** 0

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

**GENERAL COMMENT** I found this article to be timely and accurate. I have some points to raise aimed at improving the submission and expanding scope and attractiveness of the article. **SPECIFIC COMMENT** Given that drug treatments are increasingly available for NASH, Author should declare whether he is in favour/against any screening policies in NAFLD and how epidemiological data may be exploited in envisaging such a screening [Dig Liver Dis. 2015;47:997-1006]. An updated overview of the natural history of NAFLD and the pathogenesis of NASH [Dig Liver Dis. 2017;49:471-483] should be provided, notably including the role of nuclear receptors [Adv Ther. 2016;33:291-319] given that this is the basis supporting the rational use of NASH drugs. Based on the above points, Author may be willing to add one original illustration summarizing the mechanism of action of drugs and their placement according to their

impact on NAFLD pathogenesis [see, for example: J Hepatol. 2012;57:1370-3].  
Further suggested citations: J Hepatol. 2017 May 22. pii:S0168-8278(17)32052-4. Doi:  
10.1016/j.jhep.2017.05.016. [Epub ahead of print] Review. PubMed PMID: 28545937. J  
Hepatol. 2015;62(1 Suppl):S65-75. J Hepatol. 2012;56:255-66. J Hepatol.  
2012;57:157-66.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 34971

**Title:** Current and emerging pharmacological therapy for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

**Reviewer's code:** 01809054

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2017-06-08

**Date reviewed:** 2017-06-23

**Review days:** 15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There is a concise but good review about pharmacologic approach in NASH