



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35489

Title: Radiofrequency ablation for hepatic hemangiomas: A consensus from Chinese panel of experts

Reviewer's code: 03012806

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-07-30

Date reviewed: 2017-08-01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. An interesting paper about Chinese experience on RF treated hemangiomas. Would be of interest if there was a comparison between chinese and western experience on the RF treated hemangiomas. This issue could be highlighted in the manuscript

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35489

Title: Radiofrequency ablation for hepatic hemangiomas: A consensus from Chinese panel of experts

Reviewer's code: 00061674

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-08-06

Date reviewed: 2017-08-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors conducted this study to formulate a consensus among the experts in China who have extensive expertise and experience in the treatment of hepatic hemangiomas using RF ablation in order to standardize the application of RF ablation for the management of hepatic hemangiomas. This expert-reported consensus fills a gap in the current knowledge. It is very useful and much needed. Particularly it describes the complications associated with RF ablation of massive hemangiomas and how best to prevent and treat these complications. However, the manuscript needs minor revisions. - Page 9, line 13, the author should mention the INR in addition to the prothrombin time because the results of the prothrombin time may vary from lab to lab. - Page 9, last line and page 13, line 6, the word "laparotomic" is incorrect there is no such word in Merriam Webster Medical Dictionary, so the correct wording in both instances will be "via laparotomy or laparoscopy" or "via laparotomy or laparoscopic approach." - Page

11, line 7 and page 11, line 12, and page 11, last line, the term “heat sink effect” was mentioned 3 times and in all the term was not adequately explained to the general reader. - Page 11, line 9, the authors mentioned that “Overlapped ablation zones are warranted by repositioning the RF electrodes in the tumor mass repeatedly under the guidance and monitoring of CT or US imaging.” How can be CT used during ablation in the setting of general anesthesia. Can the operator rely on US only? - A lot of language polishing is needed.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35489

Title: Radiofrequency ablation for hepatic hemangiomas: A consensus from Chinese panel of experts

Reviewer's code: 01438763

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-07-30

Date reviewed: 2017-08-15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Suggestions: Table with key points about indication and contraindication for RFA in liver hemangioma. List of potential complications and measures to reduce them.