

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 41662

Title: Contemporary Characteristics and Outcomes of Familial Cardiomyopathy Adults Listed for Heart Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 03723709

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2018-08-23

Date reviewed: 2018-08-25

Review time: 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the authors presented an interesting retrospective study about Characteristics and Outcomes of Familial Cardiomyopathy Adults Listed for Heart Transplantation between January 2008 and September 2015 and compared them to non-ischemic cardiomyopathy



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

(NICM) and ischemic cardiomyopathy (ICM) patients. the study is ell designed but i have few comments: 1- the manuscript needs good English editing. 2- there is major fault in the statistical analysis as we have three groups here so the recommended test for comparing continuous variables would be ANOVA with post hoc analysis not student t test. please correct that. 3- what were the primary and secondary outcomes of this study?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 41662

Title: Contemporary Characteristics and Outcomes of Familial Cardiomyopathy Adults Listed for Heart Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 03846820

Reviewer's country: Netherlands

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2018-09-25

Date reviewed: 2018-09-25

Review time: 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, This paper represents results of the retrospective observational study (N=677) of patients with familial dilated cardiomyopathy and indications for heart transplantation which is aiming to better define the characteristics and clinical outcomes



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

of that disorder. The article is written with the good English-speaking adduction of the arguments. The article is sufficiently novel and very interesting to warrant publication. All the key elements are presented and described clearly. The most discussable options in the article are: 1) Would you please kindly clarify what do you mean by "familial cardiomyopathy" - please, correct it to "familial dilated cardiomyopathy" with an abbreviation like Familial DCM or whatever. 2) Please, provide the definitions for your clinical outcomes. Here is a point of the quality for the patient data. Please, elaborate this point. 3) There must be a sort of the characteristics of the Registry in Methods because it's not something clear what UNOS Registry in case of your study truly means (with a number of patients). 4) Statistical value - there are many options which must be discussed in the Discussion. The statistical power is one of them. You have a solution for any troubles with your statistical analysis - you can elaborate your analysis with more sophisticated approach, with more plots even. Your data look sometimes contradictory if compare with the previous smaller studies like from 00s. The quality of your Registry is low, but your paper can survive in case of more exciting performance with the elegant statistical approach.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

[] Duplicate publication

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 41662

Title: Contemporary Characteristics and Outcomes of Familial Cardiomyopathy Adults Listed for Heart Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 03493974

Reviewer's country: Bulgaria

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2018-09-12

Date reviewed: 2018-09-25

Review time: 13 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Khayata et al. present an interesting study on the characteristics and outcomes of adults with familial cardiomyopathy listed in for heart transplantation. The manuscript describes the largest series of patients (N=677) diagnosed with familial cardiomyopathy.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

These patients are compared with two other cohorts of CMP patients - those with non-ischemic and ischemic CMP. Data are derived from a registry which represents the major weakness of the study due to its retrospective design. However, this limitation is quite acceptable given the difficulties in running a study with a prospective design in this field. The manuscript is well written and understandable by the reader. The results are presented in a straightforward manner and illustrated abundantly with tables and a figure. Conclusions drawn from them are clearcut and concise. I do not have specific comments and would recommend to accept this manuscript for publication.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 41662

Title: Contemporary Characteristics and Outcomes of Familial Cardiomyopathy Adults Listed for Heart Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 00214240

Reviewer's country: Belgium

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2018-09-25

Date reviewed: 2018-10-07

Review time: 11 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

this article is a retrospective analysis of a large database of patients on a waiting list for heart transplantation the group of familial cardiomyopathy is compared to others groups messages are clear , limitations are described limitations are retrospective

character and the definition of familial cardiomyopathy

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No