AUTHORS RESPONSES TO THE EDITOR-IN-CHIEF AND REVIEWERS

| Editor' comments | Response |
|---|--|
| First of all, thank you for submitting your | |
| manuscript to the World Journal of | DOILE |
| · | |
| Stomatology. Secondly, please be sure to | |
| follow all the steps below to modify the | |
| proposed manuscript. | Page |
| Reviewer # 1 comments | Response |
| This is a difficult paper to review. There are no | Line and page numbers have now been added |
| page #s. | in the revised version of the manuscript. |
| Both figures would be strengthened by a | Legend has been added for both figures. |
| legend outlining what is described in the | |
| figures. | |
| There are considerable language issues. For | The sentence had been re-edited to be clearer |
| example: "Through a complex cell signaling | and in addition, our colleague and co-author |
| processes, SCs and regenerating axon | based in England (DR. Ben Scheven) revised |
| reunited in a symbiosis and nerve | the manuscript for typos and grammatical |
| regeneration takes place". | errors. |
| DPSCs were successfully differentiated, | It was already established that DPSCs were |
| under appropriate condition, into SCs and | induced to differentiate into Schwann cells, |
| acquire both neuronal morphology and | which is characterized by Schwann cell marker |
| function. Are the authors suggesting DPSCs | expression and neurotrophic factor secretion. |
| differentiate into both neurons and SC? | Moreover, they are able to secrete a range of |
| | neurotrophic factors such as VEGF, CNTF, |
| | BDNF, GDNF and NGF. These properties |
| | together with their availability make DPSCs a |
| | promising tool in CBT for PNI. |
| Mechanisms of Peripheral Nerve Repair | The title was modified in the revised version of |
| contain a section on physiologic nerve repair | the manuscript. |
| and treatment methods thus the title of this | |
| section should be adjusted to reflect this. | |
| | Adult stem cells in general can be isolated from |
| cells possess the capacity to self-renew and | different tissues such as neural tissue, bone, |
| differentiate into several cell lineages. These | retina, skin and teeth. |
| cells have been isolated from different tissues, | |
| including neural tissue, bone, retina, skin and | |
| teeth. What kind of stem cells are these? Do | |
| the authors mean bo.ne marrow? | |
| The latter portion of the paper deals with | An Organization chart (Fig. 3) and a horizontal |
| secretomes. The paper would be | bullet list (Fig. 4) were added in the revised |
| strengthened with a table or figure outlining | version. |
| what they consist of. | |
| The tables are well organized and presented | Done |
| Reviewer # 2 comments | Response |
| The title, abstract and keywords reflect the | Done. |
| content of the manuscript. The presented | |
| review reflects various aspects of peripheral | |
| nerve regeneration. In the literature review, | |
| different variants of cell therapy for nerve | |
| | |

| damage are considered. The positive and negative aspects of the application of various cellular products are reflected, as well as the application of cell-free cell therapy based on the injection of stem cell secretion products. It is indicated that dental pulp stem cells are a promising cellular product for stimulating nerve regeneration, since they share a similar origin with Schwann cells and express a number of neural markers. In the literature review, the results of the PubMed database analysis on the use of DPSCs for regeneration stimulation are given. | |
|---|--|
| Reviewer # 3 comments | Response |
| 1. There are some spelling / grammar / formatting errors in the current version of the manuscript. Please correct them carefully. | The authors appreciate the great efforts for the anonymous reviewer in correcting the spelling / grammar / formatting errors. In addition, our colleague and co-author based in England (DR. Ben Scheven) revised the manuscript for typos and grammatical errors. |
| 2. Abstract: Please provide a clear hypothesis to be noted in this review in this section of the manuscript. | The hypothesis was added at the end of the abstract section. |
| 3. In this article: A literature search was performed at September 2018 in the PUBMED database. The following keywords were used; dental pulp stem cells [Title/Abstract] AND nerve repair [Title/Abstract] that retrieved 6 results and searching with the following keywords dental pulp stem cells [Title/Abstract] AND nerve regeneration [Title/Abstract] AND nerve regeneration [Title/Abstract] retrieved 12 results. (Is this a survey of the articles in which your article's title or an article to review the new in the Dental pulp stem cells and nerve repair? If it is a survey of previous articles, it is best to change the title of your article). | This is a survey about the new published articles investing the <i>in-vivo</i> and <i>in-vitro</i> effects of DPSCs (cell based therapy) and their conditioned media (cell free therapy) on nerve repair/ regeneration. |
| 4. What are the disadvantages or side effects of this novel therapy? | A paragraph about The possible side effects was added at the end of the revised manuscript. |
| 5. The similarities (plagiarism) of this article with others reach to 26% and this percentage considered as high and not acceptable. | Turnitin program for plagiarism was used and the ratio was reduced extensively. |