

There were no questions from the reviewers, all suggestions from the reviewers were taken in consideration, and based on that, changes were made in the editorial.

Reviewer #1: Surely will lead to up-gradation and summing up of current knowledge status.

Thanks for your positive comments.

Reviewer #2: I assume this is a brief commentary/ editorial on role of Vitamin C in DKA. As a commentary it is concise and well-written.

Thanks for your positive comments.

There was a minor typographical error on Page 5 first paragraph.

Thanks, we've corrected "as wells as" into "as well as" .

Conclusion: The use of Vitamin C in DKA is controversial. Why is it controversial? Please present data why it is controversial? Any negative findings/results/ to the contrary.

We've wrote the reason why it is controversial.

Abstract: Too short. Expand on why Vitamin C is promising.

We've expanded the content of the abstract.

Discussion: Present evidence why Vitamin C is controversial. The conclusion is not supported by any evidence in the body of the manuscript.

We've revised discussion as you suggested.

Sebastian Casillas



Alan Pomerantz



Salim Surani



Joseph Varon

