



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 43225

**Title:** Outcomes of per oral endoscopic pyloromyotomy in gastroparesis worldwide

**Reviewer's code:** 03755443

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-11-10

**Date reviewed:** 2018-11-14

**Review time:** 12 Hours, 4 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Well written manuscript. I would only suggest a few minor revisions

1 Title. - I would suggest changing the title to OUTCOMES OF PER ORAL ENDOSCOPIC PYLOROMYOTOMY IN GASTROPARESIS WORLDWIDE

2 Abstract. - IS ADEQUATE

3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? - ARE



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

ADEQUATE 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? - IS ADEQUATE 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? - IS ADEQUATE 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? - IN THE ITEM PREDICTIVE FACTORS, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT THE TERM "ENDOFLIP" MEANS 8 Illustrations and tables. - TABLES ARE ADEQUATE - THERE ARE NO FIGURES IN THIS MANUSCRIPT: PLEASE INCLUDE A SERIES OF PICTURES OF THIS PROCEDURE IN THE ITEM "PROCEDURE TECHNIQUES" 11 References. - ADEQUATE 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? - IS ADEQUATE

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 43225

**Title:** Outcomes of per oral endoscopic pyloromyotomy in gastroparesis worldwide

**Reviewer's code:** 03024263

**Reviewer's country:** Russia

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-11-10

**Date reviewed:** 2018-11-16

**Review time:** 22 Hours, 5 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Treatment of gastroparesis is an actual problem of modern gastroenterology. It is often chronic and debilitating as medical treatments, including promotility agents, are only modestly effective at controlling symptoms. Interventional therapeutic modalities such as gastric electrical stimulation, laparoscopic pyloroplasty, or gastrostomy may be



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

considered for patients with medically refractory gastroparesis. However, those interventions are either too invasive or not very effective. Recently, there has been a tremendous interest in minimally invasive gastric drainage procedures aimed at the pylorus. Khashab et al. performed the first gastric peroral endoscopic pyloromyotomy (G-POEM) in 2013, without any adverse events and with significant improvement in patient's symptoms after 12 weeks of follow-up. The review presented by the authors summarizes the existing data on the clinical outcome of per oral endoscopic pyloromyotomy (POP or G-POEM). They showed that POP is a safe and effective method of treating patients with refractory gastroparesis. However, their findings are mainly based on the results of retrospective studies, which is a significant limitation. Indeed, larger series and multicenter trials with a prospective design are required. They should focus on optimal patient selection and factors that are associated with positive response.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 43225

**Title:** Outcomes of per oral endoscopic pyloromyotomy in gastroparesis worldwide

**Reviewer's code:** 01557050

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-11-01

**Date reviewed:** 2018-11-20

**Review time:** 7 Hours, 19 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dr. Mekaroonkamol, et al. reviewed 'Global Outcomes of Per Oral Endoscopic Pyloromyotomy in Gastroparesis'. The manuscript is informative and well-presented. Comments 1. Please describe the diagnosis methods of gastroparesis. 2. Please describe the adaptation of POP.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

##### *Google Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### *BPG Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No