

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44545

Title: Solutions for submucosal injection: What to choose and how to do it

Reviewer's code: 01799429

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-11-14

Date reviewed: 2018-11-18

Review time: 23 Hours, 3 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reviewed the details of solutions for submucosal injection, however, a half of manuscript was about the technique of endoscopic treatment. I recommend reconsidering the title of this article. And I had two questions as follow: 1. (Page 5) The authors described submucosal injection was not necessary for ligation-assisted EMR.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Was this true? 2. (Page 16) The authors described submucosal injection was needed for coagulation of visible vessels in ESD ulcer. I think it is wrong information. 3. "Polipectomy" was misspelling.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44545

Title: Solutions for submucosal injection: What to choose and how to do it

Reviewer's code: 03726743

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-12-18

Date reviewed: 2018-12-27

Review time: 6 Hours, 9 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the manuscript entitled, "Solutions for submucosal injection: what we choose and how we do it!", the authors present a narrative review aimed to discuss the most commonly used submucosal injection solutions, taking into account their advantages and disadvantages, how and when to perform submucosal injection, and recent



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

developments in this regard. This is an interesting subject, and the manuscript is generally well written. Additional and more specific comments and suggestions, all of which are intended to strengthen the manuscript and many of which can be easily resolved, are provided below: Title: - To be perhaps a bit more professional and modest, I would recommend revising the title to something more along the lines of , "Solutions for submucosal injection: what to choose and how to do". Abstract: - In the sentence, "Injection provides a lifting up effect of the lesion separating it from the muscular layer, thereby reducing thermal injury and the risk of perforation and bleeding while also facilitates en-bloc resection by improving technical feasibility.", would change the word "facilitates" to "facilitating". -Please be more consistent with the terms submucosa injection, submucosal injection (the latter is preferred). -Instead of "...prevent associated risks", it may be more acceptable to say "prevent associated adverse events". The risk is still there, you cannot prevent it, though you may reduce it. Body of manuscript: -The format of the headings/subheadings makes it a bit difficult to know what goes under what/what is a new section. Please revise based on WJGE style. - Would include Orise gel from Boston Scientific. Tables and Figures: -In figure 1, it is unclear how the left hand column is organized. It does not seem to be by size or anatomical order. Please consider re-organizing. -In the table with the different injectates, please spell out the injectate name wherever possible and write the abbreviation (if a common one) after it, e.g. Hyaluronic acid (HA). There seems to be room in the table to do so.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

☐ The same title

☐ Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No

BPG Search:

[] The same title

[] Duplicate publication

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No