



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 45196

Title: Role of endoscopy in acute gastrointestinal bleeding in real clinical practice: An evidence-based review (revised)

Reviewer's code: 03663837

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-12-17

Date reviewed: 2018-12-21

Review time: 3 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a great pleasure for me to review your interesting study. I was very impressed with your achievements. Therefore, I think this paper is worth accepting publication.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 45196

Title: Role of endoscopy in acute gastrointestinal bleeding in real clinical practice: An evidence-based review (revised)

Reviewer's code: 01800530

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-12-17

Date reviewed: 2018-12-29

Review time: 11 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript authors reviewed the role of endoscopy in the acute GI bleeding. We appreciate authors effort for writing such detail review and pointing out the important aspects of endoscopy in the acute GI bleeding in clinical practice. I have some comments



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

to authors. 1) There is no comment on small bowel bleeding. Should it be considered differently? As the title is the role of endoscopy on acute GI bleeding, would you like to comment on small bowel bleeding and the role of endoscopy in the acute GI bleeding? 2) What are the pre-requisites for the emergency endoscopy in acute GI bleeding. Do you recommend placement of nasogastric tube, use of prokinetic (motility) drug and which drug? 3) Kindly enlist the causes of acute upper GI bleeding (like LGIB) 4) Please mention regarding Rockall score for peptic ulcer bleeding as it considers pre and post endoscopic finding and helps in predicting the risk of rebleeding and mortality 5) Under the heading what is the best option for patients with hematochezia .. first sentence -unlike UGIB which is mostly divided into variceal bleeding and peptic ulcer bleeding...replace peptic ulcer word by non-variceal. 6) Kindly change the title use of endoscopy in therapeutic role to therapeutic role of endoscopy. In this session, it would be useful to discuss endoscopic treatment in detail including some information about hemostatic spray, over the scope clip with their indication and adverse events. Please comment on the challenges faced during endotherapy in patients with acute GI bleeding. 7) In peptic ulcer bleeding (under use of endoscopy in a therapeutic role) please add the sentence "risk of rebleeding associated with FIB is very less compared FII A and F IIB and may not require high dose IV PPI after successful endotherapy. (reference Jensen D M et al Reassessment of Rebleeding Risk of Forrest IB (Oozing) Peptic Ulcer Bleeding in a Large International Randomized Trial. Am J Gastroenterol 2017 Mar;112(3): 441-446) 8) What is your opinion on the use of video capsule endoscopy in critically ill patient? As these patients are at high risk of complication during endoscopic evaluation, can video capsule endoscopy be preferred for triage before evaluation? 9) I agree with the author second look endoscopy is not required for all the patient, but there is subset of patient who may benefit from second look endoscopy like patients on NSAID, those who required large amounts of blood transfusion and initial unsatisfactory endoscopic



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

procedure. (ref Park SJ et al Effect of scheduled second-look endoscopy on peptic ulcer bleeding: a prospective randomized multicenter trial. *Gastrointest endoscopy* 2018 Feb;87(2) :457-465) 10) Conclusion- conclusion is too big. Kindly avoid the same sentence repeatedly. It would be great if conclusion can be made shorter and precise.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No