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**Abstract**

Investigation of cancer-specific metabolism has made it possible to establish the principle that atypically reconstituted metabolism is considered a hallmark of cancer due to changes in physiological property. Recently, a variety of targets depending on the prompted aerobic glycolysis process, starting from the abnormal uptake of glucose, and cancer-specific metabolism due to impaired mitochondrial function and abnormal expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes have been investigated and discovered. Given that most solid cancers rely on cancer-specific metabolism to support their growth, it is necessary to closely examine the specific processes of cancer metabolism and have a detailed understanding of how cellular metabolism is altered in colorectal cancer (CRC) related to the CRC survival and proliferation. The development of key methods to efficiently regulation of the cancer-specific metabolism in CRC is still under the initial stage. Therefore, targeting cancer-specific metabolism will be able to be treatable methods that are critical as a new area of development strategies for CRC treatment.
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**Core tip:** Studies of cancer-specific metabolism have been conducted for over half a century, and the importance of promoting aerobic glycolysis, cancer favorable metabolic changes in mitochondria, and abnormal expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes has been emphasized through the established theories to date. Cancer-specific metabolism is a major theoretical background that can be explained the process of survival and proliferation of most solid cancers. Developing cancer-specific metabolism-target drugs will be able to be a novel treatable method that is critical as a new area of development strategies for colorectal cancer treatment, that have not yet been conquered and have infinite growth potential.
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**GENERAL VIEW ON CANCER METABOLISM**

Cancer metabolism is classified as a classic, but a major research field in clinical and preclinical cancer biology. Studies on cancer-specific metabolism for over half a century have made it possible to establish the principle that abnormal metabolic changes are induced in normal cells[1]. This theory is primarily representative of the imbalance between the expression of oncogenes and the regulation of tumor suppressor genes, and these changes support the induction and maintenance of malignant characteristics in cancer cells[2]. Atypically reconstituted metabolism is considered a hallmark of cancer due to changes in a physiological property that are most commonly found in cancer cells[2]. The main issues while approaching the study of cancer metabolism are how abnormal functions in cancer-specific metabolism are contributed to the survival of the cancer cells and how to change these metabolisms using certain targets. The comprehensive interesting of cancer metabolism is that altered metabolic activity improves the adaptability of cells to provide a selective benefit for tumorigenesis[3]. Well-known theories indicate that activities initiated by abnormal metabolic changes support cancer cell survival under stress conditions, such as hypoxic environment[3]. This is an important characteristic of malignant cancer metabolism and enables the abnormal proliferation of cancer cells[4]. Most solid cancers, including colorectal cancer (CRC), have inherent but similar metabolic characteristics. A well-known cancer-specific metabolism is the Warburg effect, and aerobic glycolysis bring triggered has been well-established to be a main metabolism[4,5]. This theory states that an increase in aerobic glycolysis is a physiological response to hypoxia and that cancer cells absorb a large quantity of glucose and produce lactate regardless of the oxygen supply, it can provide a secondary path that meets the metabolic needs of the cancer cells[1,4]. However, this well-established theory does not fully reflect cancer-specific metabolism. Although the Warburg effect has led to the widely held conception that cancer cells rely only on aerobic glycolysis to manage their major source of energy, the function of the mitochondria is not completely inactivated even under hypoxic environment[6]. And, the role of drug-metabolizing enzymes (DMEs) in anti-cancer drug resistance should also be noted. Therefore, for studying cancer-specific metabolism should be considered not only aerobic glycolysis but also abnormal mitochondrial metabolism and DMEs in cancer cells as well.

**TARGETABLE CANCER-SPECIFIC METABOLISM IN AEROBIC GLYCOLYSIS**

Abnormal uptake of glucose is the most well-known metabolism in cancer[5]. Glucose transporter (GLUT) is a unique transporter and is considered responsible for a large amount of glucose uptake in cancer cells[5]. The expression of GLUT1 is increased in cancer cells among several subtypes of GLUT, and there is an alternative glucose uptake with passive GLUT, GLUT3, which is not expressed in most normal cells[7]. Following a large amount of glucose uptake, many enzymes involved in the process of producing pyruvate from glucose can be targeted. These include hexokinase 2, which produces glucose-6-phosphate from glucose, and phosphofructokinase 2, which produces fructose-6-phosphate from fructose-2, 6-bisphosphate[8]. The pyruvate kinase M2 isoform, which promotes aerobic glycolysis and produces pyruvate from phosphoenolpyruvate, is one of the important target proteins expressed by aerobic glycolysis[9]. Activation of the listed targets above led to the metabolism in cancer cells to produce a large amount of pyruvate from excess glucose uptake so far. In normal cells, pyruvate is converted to acetyl-CoA *via* pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) and generates energy through oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS)[6]. However, in cancer cells, pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) is activated, and PDH is phosphorylated by PDK to suppress its activity. Excess pyruvate that cannot participate in OXPHOS is converted from pyruvate and NADH to lactate and NAD+ by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) A[6]. Then, the symbiotic relationship between cancer cells following lactate production must be considered. There are cancer cells that release lactate through the monocarboxylate transporter, and cancer cells that utilize the released lactate as an energy source. Therefore, to target LDHA and monocarboxylate transporters involved in the producing and transferring lactate would also be important[6,10].

**TARGETABLE CANCER-SPECIFIC METABOLISM IN MITOCHONDRIA**

Cancer cells accommodate to hypoxic condition by converting their metabolism to the oxygen-independent system by mitochondria[11]. Reductive carboxylation is induced in mitochondria under hypoxic condition, this feature is a driving force that maintains the viability by having tolerance about hypoxia[12,13]. These specific regulations in mitochondrial metabolism cause multiple changes in the composition of electron transport chain complexes, which could decrease O2-dependent mitochondrial function, such as coupled metabolism with OXPHOS[14]. However, this metabolic change does not represent a complete loss of mitochondrial function. Instead of OXPHOS which is referred to as the TCA cycle, mitochondria carry out cancer-specific metabolism adapting to the hypoxic condition for cancer survival[6]. Point mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) and IDH2 involve the production of d-2‑hydroxyglutarate (2HG) which is an inhibitor of α-ketoglutarate (α-KG)-dependent dioxygenase[15]. Since α-KG-dependent dioxygenase is involved in the oxygen-sensing pathway that mediates the destabilization of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF), abnormal state of mitochondrial IDH and 2HG contributes to the HIF stability and the transcriptional activation for expression of cancer favorable factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor and GLUT[16]. In addition, cancer favorable mitochondria can lead to lipid synthesis, amino acid synthesis, and nucleotide synthesis critical for cancer survival[17]. These diverse syntheses depend on the reverse metabolism of glutamine in cancer favorable mitochondria, and it replenishes the biosynthetic precursors[18]. Glutaminase catalyzes the conversion of glutamine to glutamate in a pathway involved in producing citrate[19]. Since glutaminase 1 is a source of 2HG production by mutated IDH1, it mediates the entry of glutamine into mitochondria and can thus enhance the proliferation of cancer cells[16,17,19]. Therefore, although mitochondria under hypoxic condition are a diminished function of energy metabolism, it should also be important to explore a variety of pathways that produce energy sources for cancer survival through changes in the cancer-specific metabolism in mitochondria by genetic variation or glutamine.

**TARGETABLE CANCER-SPECIFIC METABOLISM IN DMES**

Despite advances in medicine that lead to new drugs with specific molecular targets, major problems still remain with regard to anticancer drug resistance. This resistance is known to be caused by certain proteins that are attributed to DMEs in cancer, therefore DMEs can influence the susceptibility to therapeutic effects[20]. DMEs are classified in neoplastic tissues as phase I and phase II. Cytochrome (CYP) P450-dependent mono-oxygenase (P450) and dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), which are included in phase I enzymes, lead to the variations of efficacy or toxicity of the anticancer drugs[21]. Members of the subfamily of cytochrome P450 are represented to CYP1, CYP2, and CYP3[21]. Phase II enzymes mediate the conjugation of the products from phase I metabolism resulting in the subsequent elimination step of drug metabolism[22]. Glucuronide, glutathione system, beta-glucuronidase, aldehyde dehydrogenase, and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate hydrogen quinone oxidoreductase-1 are members belonging to the phase II enzymes[22]. In CRC, it has been reported that CYP1B1, DPD, uridine diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT), and glutathione-transferase (GST) were highly expressed as compared to normal tissues[20,23]. Increase in such DMEs can induce resistance to various anticancer agents, in particular to cisplatin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, flutamide, and mitoxantrone, including 5-fluorouracil and irinotecan which belong to the first or second line regimens for the CRC treatment[20]. The following mechanisms relating to DMEs expression have not been clearly elucidated. It can only be explained to a simple flow with the context of being involved in the metabolism of anticancer drugs for eliminating their action, or with the context of direct deactivation of drug molecules and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways[20,23]. Further, several attempts have been made to develop potent inhibitors of DMEs, however many of these have been found to have poor safety profile and to have many side effects in clinical[20,23]. Therefore, while focusing on molecular biological factors aimed at the intrinsic metabolism involved in growth and metastasis, there is a continuing need to clarify the metabolism of DMEs, particularly CYP1B1, DPD, UGT, and GST, as a strategy overcoming cancer drug resistance.

**THERAPEUTIC APPLICABILITY TARGETING CRC**

Given that most solid cancers rely on cancer-specific metabolism to support their growth, survival, and multi-organ metastasis, targeting these metabolic activities may assistant and main therapeutic strategies against in the CRC. In addition, the characteristic liver metastasis of CRC is also closely related to the metabolic abnormalities, therefore the therapeutic and inhibitory effects on metastasis through targeting cancer-specific metabolism can be potentially anticipated. As described in the previous paragraphs, since the various factors relating to cancer-specific aerobic glycolysis, mitochondrial metabolism, and DMEs have identified in recent years, it finally reached a stage where it must establish an optimal strategy to effectively suppress these metabolisms-based targets. A detailed understanding of how cellular metabolism is altered in the CRC that leads to cancer progression and metastasis will provide an insight into which proteins represent promising targets in CRC therapy, and this will be raised from the analysis of cancer-specific metabolism. Building a theoretical context to understand metabolic regulation in CRC, however, remains a challenge for the successful construction of strategies. Therefore, it needs to be closely examined the specific process of the cancer metabolism related to the survival and differentiation of CRC and must work to steadily advance the discovery of candidate proteins that can target it. It is important to point out that with the exception of 5-fluorouracil, Gemcitabine, or Pemetrexed, which were developed for inhibiting nucleic acid synthesis, other developments are only in the early stages where most pre-clinical studies have been completed[20]. Of course, it is not a purpose to raise concerns that there are few attempts to target cancer-specific metabolism for the treatment of CRC. It is emphasized that the development of the key methods to efficiently regulation of the cancer-specific metabolism is under the initial stage. Thus, it would be suggested that developing cancer-specific metabolism-target drugs will be able to be a novel treatable method that is critical as a new area of development strategies for CRC treatment, that have not yet been conquered and have infinite growth potential.
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