

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 51312

Title: Combining surgery with 125I brachytherapy for recurrent mediastinal

dedifferentiated liposarcoma: A case report and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 04559366 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Attending Doctor

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Author's country: China

Manuscript submission date: 2019-10-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-09 20:15

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-10 01:37

Review time: 5 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	[Y] Accept	Peer-Review:
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	[] Anonymous
[] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Accept	[Y] Onymous
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
[] Grade E: Do not	language polishing	[] Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	[] Grade D: Rejection	[] Major revision	[Y] Advanced
		[] Rejection	[] General
			[] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[] Yes
			[Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case report and review of the literature is well described and deserves publication

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

G	oogle Search:
[] The same title
[] Duplicate publication
[] Plagiarism
[]	(] No
Bl	PG Search:
[] The same title
[] Duplicate publication
[] Plagiarism
[]	(] No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 51312

Title: Combining surgery with 125I brachytherapy for recurrent mediastinal

dedifferentiated liposarcoma: A case report and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 00214240 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's country: Belgium

Author's country: China

Manuscript submission date: 2019-10-09

Reviewer chosen by: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-25 10:34

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-25 12:20

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	[] Accept	Peer-Review:
[] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	[Y] Accept	[] Onymous
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
[] Grade E: Do not	language polishing	[] Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	[] Grade D: Rejection	[] Major revision	[] Advanced
		[] Rejection	[Y] General
			[] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[] Yes
			[Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

nice overview of current status interesting case report

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

G	oogle Search:		
[] The same title		
[] Duplicate publication		
[] Plagiarism		
[}	(] No		
BPG Search:			
[] The same title		
[] Duplicate publication		
[] Plagiarism		
[]	(] No		