



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**Manuscript NO:** 54255

**Title:** Anticoagulation in simultaneous pancreas kidney transplantation- On what basis?

**Reviewer's code:** 00910326

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-20 21:09

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-20 21:25

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Please discuss in more detail the reproducibility between different labs of TEG compared to conventional coagulation tests.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**Manuscript NO:** 54255

**Title:** Anticoagulation in simultaneous pancreas kidney transplantation- On what basis?

**Reviewer's code:** 03755224

**Position:** Associate Editor

**Academic degree:** FACS, FRCS, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Spain

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Zhou Tang (Quit in 2020)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-26 12:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-26 12:52

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Congratualatiions for The opportunity To test The TEG in Pancreas Transplantation. I  
Thing trata The TEG si a very godo Tool for control of The anticoagulation Therapy.



**RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**Manuscript NO:** 54255

**Title:** Anticoagulation in simultaneous pancreas kidney transplantation- On what basis?

**Reviewer’s code:** 00910326

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author’s Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-04-02 08:17

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-04-02 08:43

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The paper is clear and straight forward. It's weakness is the retrospective nature



**RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**Manuscript NO:** 54255

**Title:** Anticoagulation in simultaneous pancreas kidney transplantation- On what basis?

**Reviewer’s code:** 03755224

**Position:** Associate Editor

**Academic degree:** FACS, FRCS, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Spain

**Author’s Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-04-02 10:12

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-04-02 11:42

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a very interesting study comparing for the first time the use of TEG in pancreas



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

and kidney transplantation versus conventional anti-coagulation. Risk factors related to thrombosis such as donor type (DBD vs DCD), age of donors and graft portal extension are similar in both groups, so the most important factor as the paper shows is the use of TEG. Despite the limitation of being a retrospective study, the conclusions of the study are clear regarding the benefit of TEG vs conventional anti-coagulation.