

Title: Management of gastric outlet obstruction: focusing on endoscopic approach

Dear Editor

This letter is intended for the revision of the manuscript according to your request. First of all, we would like to appreciate editorial suggestions and reviewers' comments. We corrected the manuscript according to your suggestions and reviewers' comments. We would like to appreciate reviewers' comments. I believe that this revised manuscript is highly likely to achieve the priority for publication. I am looking forward to your early and favorable response.

Sincerely yours,

Jin Lee

On behalf of all co-authors

Reviewer 1:

It is a well-written and extremely objective paper. I think that this paper is a mini-review and not a review. At various points in the text, I feel like I'm reading a book chapter. So, I suggest that the authors work on this point. Some paragraphs with information from the literature have no reference.

Reviewer 2

This is a largely narrative review, most the content covered can be found in any medical reference or textbook. According to the journal homepage, the paramount objective of WJCC is to "showcase and promote distinguished research in the field of clinical medicine, to help advance development of this field." I am not sure how this manuscript fits the objective of the journal.

-> Thank you for your comments. All reviewers pointed out that our manuscript is extremely objective and narrative. We agree with the point. The unnecessary parts (saline loading test in diagnosis section) were deleted and endoscopic treatment was mainly organized. Figures about endoscopic balloon dilatation and endoscopic stent insertion were removed because they are already well known procedures. Table 2 (treatment of GOO based upon underlying cause) was added.

-> Thank you for your comment. As we check in the manuscript, it is difficult to find paragraphs where references are not cited. It is possible that references were not cited in the section on the saline loading test (diagnosis section), but the paragraph was deleted in revised manuscript.