

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

Manuscript NO: 55942

Title: Estimation of successful capping with complete aspiration of bladder via nephroureterostomy tube

Reviewer's code: 02887829

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-04-09

Reviewer chosen by: Xiao-Quan Yu

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-01 08:52

Reviewer performed review: 2020-06-02 08:58

Review time: 1 Day

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this simple and nice manuscript, a retrospective case-series study, the authors report their experience regarding the use of a nephroureterostomy tube (NUT) in case of ureteral obstruction on a cumulative study cohort of 34 pts (37 procedures). Particularly, the authors evaluated the capping trial success of NUT, defined as the lack of symptoms of failure-the lack of ipsilateral flank pain and the complete aspiration via NUT of retained contrast in bladder, as a positive predicting factor for the conversion to ureteral stent. The manuscript is very simple and well written, the introduction is exhaustive, the tables are complete, the discussion is with a good readability. In my opinion few corrections are needed before accepting on WJCU. 1. Please insert the page number and the lines. Please remove the phrase “the study cohort information is shown in table 1” from results section. 2. Why did the authors consider as successful capping trial the complete aspiration of the retained contrast in bladder via NUT? Is it not the same thing to insert contrast and see its descent in the bladder? 3. This procedure is a two-step procedure: before the positioning the NUT and in case of successful capping, in a second time, the positioning of the double J stent. However, at today, we have available in commerce a type of NUT that eliminates a catheter to stent exchange procedure and, consequently, the need to carry out a second x-ray procedure. 4. Why did the authors not use the same procedure in case of ureteral obstruction due to ureteral stone?

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

Manuscript NO: 55942

Title: Estimation of successful capping with complete aspiration of bladder via nephroureterostomy tube

Reviewer's code: 02887829

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-04-09

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-01 05:34

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-01 05:39

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

nn