

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 57756

Title: 6-EXOCRINE DRAINAGE IN PANCREAS TRANSPLANTATION:
COMPLICATIONS AND MANAGEMENT

Reviewer's code: 05117991

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-22

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-09 08:22

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-10 17:23

Review time: 1 Day and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article discusses the enteric drainage techniques used in pancreas transplantation. It is structured more like a commentary rather than a scientific article. 1- The title is not appropriate. I recommend authors to get rid of the abdominal compartment section of the article, which doesn't have any novelty and subsequently change the article title as; Exocrine Drainage in Pancreas Transplantation: Complications and Management 2-The Abstract should be detailed as below, The aim of this study is to compare different exocrine drainage techniques used in pancreas transplantation. These techniques consist of bladder drainage and enteric drainage. Both techniques have different difficulties and complications. Methods The comparison between exocrine drainage techniques has been performed using reported complication and graft survival rates from the literature. Specific emphasis has been made on the early postoperative management of these complications and surgical infections. 3-A conclusion specific to the pancreatic drainage techniques should be written. The conclusion comment of the article is too general, not novel. 4- Is chronic hematuria a different entity compared to late hematuria. If that is the case you should explain each in different paragraphs. • Early post-transplant hematuria • Late pos-transplant hematuria • Chronic microscopic hematuria Also, define what you mean by late? 6 months- one year? 5- In the management of complications section, Depending on what conditions do you prefer repair over pancreatectomy? 6- The article requires language editing.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 57756

Title: 6-EXOCRINE DRAINAGE IN PANCREAS TRANSPLANTATION:
COMPLICATIONS AND MANAGEMENT

Reviewer's code: 03290551

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-22

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-08 14:31

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-10 18:47

Review time: 2 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review of early post-operative complications in pancreas transplantation with either bladder or enteric drainage. The title and abstract are confusing and not well-conceived. The conclusion is not necessarily supported by the data presented. To my knowledge, abdominal compartment syndrome is a theoretical complication but it has not been specifically reported following pancreas transplantation. None of the other information reviewed is particularly novel or illuminating. Bladder drainage has almost become of historical interest in pancreas transplantation. The manuscript is not well-organized and is hard to follow at times. I didn't learn anything from reading this paper and I am not sure what the authors are trying to accomplish with this review because it is superficial and lacks detail or depth.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 57756

Title: 6-EXOCRINE DRAINAGE IN PANCREAS TRANSPLANTATION:
COMPLICATIONS AND MANAGEMENT

Reviewer's code: 00844053

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Full Professor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-22

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-08 14:39

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-11 19:05

Review time: 3 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Ferrer-Fabrega et al. described 6-immediate post-operative complications: digestive origin: Fistula. Urological origin in bladder drainage. Infection and collections. Abdominal compartment syndrome. Complications in pancreas transplantation. This is a nice review. The title is very confusing and there is no accent on the word pancreas. Could they describe those 6-immediate complications clearly? Could the authors describe in their papers what is new about pancreas transplant complications? Any correlations of immunosuppression drugs and pancreas transplant complications. The authors only mentioned complications related to the type of pancreas drainage (bladder or intestine). However, how about vascular complications. In the methods the authors mentioned "The current prophylaxis schemes.....there is no descriptions of the current prophylaxis schemes. How the "low pancreas blood flow" increase the need for infection prophylaxis. Could the authors rewrite the conclusion? The conclusion is not clear. Why demanding and what is the meaning of "its high technical failure rate"? Do the authors have the outcome of pancreas transplantation around the world? Could the authors add the complication rate of superficial wound infections, intra-abdominal infections and abdominal compartment syndrome? Did the authors compare pancreas transplants to intestinal, multivisceral, liver transplantation (adult and pediatric)?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 57756

Title: 6-EXOCRINE DRAINAGE IN PANCREAS TRANSPLANTATION:
COMPLICATIONS AND MANAGEMENT

Reviewer's code: 05347087

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-22

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-09-17 17:45

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-20 04:23

Review time: 2 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors provided changes.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 57756

Title: 6-EXOCRINE DRAINAGE IN PANCREAS TRANSPLANTATION:
COMPLICATIONS AND MANAGEMENT

Reviewer's code: 05117991

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-22

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-24 04:04

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-24 04:11

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written review and technical report on pancreatic exocrine drainage after pancreas transplantation. It is within the scope of our journal, have been produced by the Spanish group of transplanters who are very experienced in this field. Illustrations are clear, manuscript is concise.