

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58038

Title: Efficacy of afatinib in patients with rare EGFR (G724S/R776H) mutations and amplification in lung adenocarcinoma: a case report

Reviewer's code: 02860874

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Mexico

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-09-08

Reviewer chosen by: Xi-Fang Chen (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-10-31 02:39

Reviewer performed review: 2020-10-31 03:07

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case describes a rare EGFR G724S/R776H mutations and amplification in a NSCLC responding to afatinib. The manuscript is very well written and illustrated. My recommendation is accept it for publication.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58038

Title: Efficacy of afatinib in patients with rare EGFR (G724S/R776H) mutations and amplification in lung adenocarcinoma: a case report

Reviewer's code: 03805119

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-09-08

Reviewer chosen by: Xi-Fang Chen (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-10-31 07:20

Reviewer performed review: 2020-10-31 07:30

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an informative and valuable study and is very useful to both clinicians and patients.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58038

Title: Efficacy of afatinib in patients with rare EGFR (G724S/R776H) mutations and amplification in lung adenocarcinoma: a case report

Reviewer's code: 01219902

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-09-08

Reviewer chosen by: Xi-Fang Chen (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-10-30 06:41

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-05 14:17

Review time: 6 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported a case of NSCLC with rare EFGR mutations that responded to afatinib. I have a few comments about this report. #I admit that ONE experience with ONE patient in the clinical field is very important; in this sense, to compile a case report is sine qua non for the development of medicine. With this in mind, I read the paper. I admit that the EFGR mutations detected in this NSCLC patient must be RARE, and the experience where afatinib was effective for the treatment of such an NSCLC patient must be much RARER; this is ok, but to be rare is not necessarily important for a scientific paper. The PFS of this patient was more than 14 months after the afatinib treatment; this is ok, but what was this patient like thereafter? To know this 'thereafter' is very important to know the true effect of afatinib on this patient. Just 14 months is too short to make any conclusions about afatinib particularly because it is about a patient with lung malignancy. What is described in this paper seems, at first glance, seems new and surprising; but its content, in fact, is too little and superficial to draw something like a conclusion or even a lesson. With only one patient with only a follow-up of only 14 months, who can draw the right picture about the treatment of NSCLC patients, by afatinib, with that RARE EFGR mutations? Even though such a picture could be drawn, it could be even dangerous particularly because it is about the treatment (life or death) of the patients. #There are a countless number of grammatical errors throughout the MS. The following lists some of them (from the abstract). These need to be thoroughly corrected. -Abstract: To show the gene 'EGFR', some are EGFR, which is ok; but some are EGFR, which is not correct. -Abstract, background, line 3: 'treatment show good' >>> 'treatment shows good' -Abstract, background, line 5: 'some uncommon genomic mutation are' >>> 'some uncommon genomic mutations are' -Abstract, background, line 6, 'the response effect of some uncommon EGFR mutations to TKI remains unclear': This



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

sentence is structurally clumsy and difficult to understand. It would be easier to understand if it were 'the effect of TKI on some uncommon EGFR mutations remains unclear' -Abstract, case summary, 'A 64-year-old woman...left pleural effusion': This sentence ends half-way, i.e. it is composed of only the subject, and does not have any verbs. -Abstract, case summary, 'rare EGFR G724S /R776H and amplification co-mutation': What does this mean? It means 'co-mutation comprised of rare EGFR G724S /R776H and amplification'? -Abstract, case summary, 'Then the patient then': One of 'then' is redundant. Abstract, case summary, 'PFS': What is this 'PFS'? In every respect, an abstract should be self-sufficient. The appearance of this 'PFS' is too abrupt, and no full-spelling is given here.