



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 58182

Title: Transradial versus transfemoral secondary access outcomes in TAVI - A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 02476743

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Statistician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-09-24 00:22

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-24 00:32

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for opportunity for reviewing this paper “Transradial versus transfemoral secondary access outcomes in TAVI - A systematic review and meta-analysis”. This article is interesting, but some issues should be explained more. 1. Who are the independent investigators? 2. It will be better to show kappa for the selection and data extraction. Please show the data of kappa of agreement during the systematic searches. How disagreements were solved during the systematic search among two independent reviewers? 3. Please add previous systematic reviews and what are their limitations and what was your rationale of doing this meta-analysis the introduction section. 4. In addition to the Newcastle Ottawa Scale, which is a validated tool and was an acceptable choice. However, to enhance the reproducibility and comparability of this review to future reviews of a similar topic (possibly an update of this review) I also recommend including a risk of bias assessment using ROBINS-I, since it is the newest and most robust method of assessing risk of bias in systematic reviews/meta-analyses. 5. What are your primary and secondary outcomes? 6. The GRADE tool is suggested. 7. Discussion should include what your review updates the previous evidence. If the above suggestions are incorporated and the paper is thoroughly edited, it will be a strong contribution to the literature.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 58182

Title: Transradial versus transfemoral secondary access outcomes in TAVI - A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 03722832

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DNB, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-09-23 13:45

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-25 02:46

Review time: 1 Day and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author has cited 4 kinds of vascular complications like stroke ,bleeding ,vascular complications and all cause mortality and the author has stated that transradial access as a secondary access is safer .However ,the author has significantly failed to establish how the transradial access has influenced the so significantly .I feel there are many other variables which have influenced the outcome has been ignored by the author like for one examples :EURO score ,the anatomy of the primary access sites and other comorbidities .Please define and specify the bleeding and vascular complications and provide objective details



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 58182

Title: Transradial versus transfemoral secondary access outcomes in TAVI - A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 00214240

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Belgium

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-09-26 09:47

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-26 09:57

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the problem of vascular complications after TAVR is an important one this meta analysis , mainly using retrospective data, is important as it shows clearly the advantage of the radial approach for the secondary access



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 58182

Title: Transradial versus transfemoral secondary access outcomes in TAVI - A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 03722832

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DNB, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-21

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-10-23 15:17

Reviewer performed review: 2020-10-24 17:47

Review time: 1 Day and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Privded the images given in the .ppt document [58182-Image-File-revision] are included in the main text with proper captions ,the revision would be reasonably good