

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 58654

**Title:** Autologous scalp skin grafting in treating toxic epidermal necrolysis patient with large skin injury: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 04025443

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Senior Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Russia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-10-27 03:25

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-10-28 09:57

**Review time:** 1 Day and 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I read with interest the paper by D. Xue et al, which is a nice case report of a patient with large toxic epidermal necrolysis, large skin injury and wound sepsis after burn wound and local application of Chinese medicinal ointment. This paper may be of interest to the readers as this kind of problem is relatively widespread and in case of large total skin surface involved are really difficult to treat. Allogenic transplantation of skin is a known method of treatment for such patients. However the source of the donor skin area described by the authors is not of the common use. In general, the manuscript is well-written, still I have a few minor comments. The description of the doses of the medications used for the treatment is lacking. Please consider to add them accordingly. It seems that the technique of skin transplantation procedure is poorly described. The treatment chart provided on the Fig. 1 and Fig. 4 somewhat duplicates; please, consider revision. There is a picture of the patient before treatment and along it. However the paper would benefit if the final result was provided also. Could you provide your vision of probable mistakes happened during initial treatment and suggestions on the optimized algorithm of treatment for such patients in the future? For example, when is it optimal to initiate the procedures described in the paper? Unfortunately, language quality requires improvements.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 58654

**Title:** Autologous scalp skin grafting in treating toxic epidermal necrolysis patient with large skin injury: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 04025443

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Senior Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Russia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** Xi-Fang Chen (Part-Time Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-27 02:15

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-27 03:04

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

Congratulations for the authors with the great job done! The authors made substantial changes to the initial document and now it is almost ready for publishing. Still, there is a mismatch between figure legends (4 and 5) and numbers of slides (5 and 4 accordingly) in the PowerPoint file, and no notes are made on slide - please, check. It seems that closing parenthesis - ) - is missed in the anti-infection therapy description (page 6). Spaces are missed between text and opening parenthesis in some places.