World Journal of Gastroenterology

World J Gastroenterol 2020 November 14; 26(42): 6514-6705





Contents

Weekly Volume 26 Number 42 November 14, 2020

EDITORIAL

6514 Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in lean subjects: Prognosis, outcomes and management

Chrysavgis L, Ztriva E, Protopapas A, Tziomalos K, Cholongitas E

REVIEW

6529 Simultaneous colorectal and parenchymal-sparing liver resection for advanced colorectal carcinoma with synchronous liver metastases: Between conventional and mini-invasive approaches

De Raffele E, Mirarchi M, Cuicchi D, Lecce F, Casadei R, Ricci C, Selva S, Minni F

6556 What could microRNA expression tell us more about colorectal serrated pathway carcinogenesis?

Peruhova M, Peshevska-Sekulovska M, Krastev B, Panayotova G, Georgieva V, Konakchieva R, Nikolaev G, Velikova TV

MINIREVIEWS

6572 Modern surgical strategies for perianal Crohn's disease

Zabot GP, Cassol O, Saad-Hossne R, Bemelman W

6582 Vascular anomalies associated with hepatic shunting

Schmalz MJ, Radhakrishnan K

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

6599 Reactive oxygen species-induced activation of Yes-associated protein-1 through the c-Myc pathway is a therapeutic target in hepatocellular carcinoma

Cho Y, Park MJ, Kim K, Kim SW, Kim W, Oh S, Lee JH

6614 Fedora-type magnetic compression anastomosis device for intestinal anastomosis

Chen H, Ma T, Wang Y, Zhu HY, Feng Z, Wu RQ, Lv Y, Dong DH

Retrospective Cohort Study

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and gastrointestinal morbidity in a large cohort of young adults 6626 Kedem S, Yust-Katz S, Carter D, Levi Z, Kedem R, Dickstein A, Daher S, Katz LH

6638 Nomograms and risk score models for predicting survival in rectal cancer patients with neoadjuvant

Wei FZ, Mei SW, Chen JN, Wang ZJ, Shen HY, Li J, Zhao FQ, Liu Z, Liu Q

Contents

Weekly Volume 26 Number 42 November 14, 2020

Observational Study

6658 Estimation of visceral fat is useful for the diagnosis of significant fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Hernández-Conde M, Llop E, Fernández Carrillo C, Tormo B, Abad J, Rodriguez L, Perelló C, López Gomez M, Martínez-Porras JL, Fernández Puga N, Trapero-Marugan M, Fraga E, Ferre Aracil C, Calleja Panero JL

Prospective Study

Accuracy of carbon dioxide insufflation for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography using 6669 double-balloon endoscopy

Niwa Y, Nakamura M, Kawashima H, Yamamura T, Maeda K, Sawada T, Mizutani Y, Ishikawa E, Ishikawa T, Kakushima N, Furukawa K, Ohno E, Honda T, Ishigami M, Fujishiro M

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

6679 Prognostic role of artificial intelligence among patients with hepatocellular cancer: A systematic review Lai Q, Spoletini G, Mennini G, Larghi Laureiro Z, Tsilimigras DI, Pawlik TM, Rossi M

CASE REPORT

6689 Case series of three patients with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer in a single family: Three case reports and review of literature

Hirakawa M, Takada K, Sato M, Fujita C, Hayasaka N, Nobuoka T, Sugita S, Ishikawa A, Mizukami M, Ohnuma H, Murase K, Miyanishi K, Kobune M, Takemasa I, Hasegawa T, Sakurai A, Kato $ar{J}$

6698 Intussusception due to hematogenous metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma to the small intestine: A case

Mashiko T, Masuoka Y, Nakano A, Tsuruya K, Hirose S, Hirabayashi K, Kagawa T, Nakagohri T

Contents

Weekly Volume 26 Number 42 November 14, 2020

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Dr. Misha Luyer is a Senior Consultant for upper gastrointestinal and pancreas surgery and Chair of the Department of Surgery. After finishing his surgical training in 2010, he started as a Fellow at the Catharina Hospital in Eindhoven (Netherlands), where he received specialized training in upper gastrointestinal, bariatric and pancreatic surgery. In 2012, he was appointed consultant at the Catharina Hospital. Since then, he has been involved in national training courses for minimally invasive upper gastrointestinal surgery and pancreatic surgery. He has authored more than 150 articles published in peerreviewed scientific journals and book chapters in the fields of upper gastroenterology and pancreas. He currently serves on the Editorial Board of several peer-reviewed scientific journals. (L-Editor: Filipodia)

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now indexed in Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports®, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2020 edition of Journal Citation Report® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 3.665; IF without journal self cites: 3.534; 5-year IF: 4.048; Ranking: 35 among 88 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category:

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Ji-Hong Liu; Production Department Director: Yun-Xiaojian Wu; Editorial Office Director: Ze-Mao Gong.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastroenterology

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

October 1, 1995

FREQUENCY

Weekly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Andrzej S Tarnawski, Subrata Ghosh

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm

PUBLICATION DATE

November 14, 2020

COPYRIGHT

© 2020 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

PUBLICATION ETHICS

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

ONLINE SUBMISSION

https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2020 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2020 November 14; 26(42): 6514-6528

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i42.6514

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

EDITORIAL

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in lean subjects: Prognosis, outcomes and management

Lampros Chrysavgis, Eleftheria Ztriva, Adonis Protopapas, Konstantinos Tziomalos, Evangelos Cholongitas

ORCID number: Lampros Chrysavgis 0000-0003-2632-0742; Eleftheria Ztriva 0000-0002-4013-2767; Adonis Protopapas 0000-0002-7117-0547; Konstantinos Tziomalos 0000-0002-3172-1594; Evangelos Cholongitas 0000-0002-3645-582X.

Author contributions: Chrysavgis L, Ztriva E, Protopapas A, Tziomalos K and Cholongitas E contributed to this paper; Chrysavgis L, Ztriva E, Protopapas A and Tziomalos K wrote the paper; Cholongitas E made critical revisions to the manuscript and final approved the version of the article to be published.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors have no conflict of interest to disclose with respect to this review.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/licenses Lampros Chrysavgis, Evangelos Cholongitas, First Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Laiko General Hospital, Athens 11527, Greece

Eleftheria Ztriva, Adonis Protopapas, Konstantinos Tziomalos, First Propedeutic Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, AHEPA Hospital, Thessaloniki 54636, Greece

Corresponding author: Evangelos Cholongitas, MD, Associate Professor, First Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Laiko General Hospital, Agiou Thoma 17, Athens 11527, Greece. cholongitas@yahoo.gr

Abstract

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) accounts for most cases of chronic liver disease worldwide, with an estimated global prevalence of approximately 25% and ranges from simple steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and cirrhosis. NAFLD is strongly connected to metabolic syndrome, and for many years, fatty liver was considered to be an exclusive feature of obese patients. However, recent studies have highlighted the presence of NAFLD in non-obese subjects, with or without increased visceral fat or even in lean subjects without increased waist circumference. "Lean NAFLD" is a relatively new concept and there is significant scientific interest in understanding the differences in pathophysiology, prognosis and management compared with NAFLD in overweight/obese patients. In the present editorial, we discuss the clinical and metabolic profiles and outcomes of lean NAFLD compared with both obese NAFLD and lean healthy individuals from Asian and Western countries. Moreover, we shed light to the challenging topic of management of NAFLD in lean subjects since there are no specific guidelines for this population. Finally, we discuss open questions and issues to be addressed in the future in order to categorize NAFLD patients into lean and nonlean cohorts.

Key Words: Lean nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; Non-obese nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; Clinical outcomes; Metabolic outcomes; Disease management; Lifestyle interventions

©The Author(s) 2020. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Country/Territory of origin: Greece

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: August 30, 2020 Peer-review started: August 30,

First decision: September 12, 2020 Revised: September 24, 2020 Accepted: October 20, 2020 Article in press: October 20, 2020 Published online: November 14,

P-Reviewer: Enomoto H, Tomizawa M S-Editor: Huang P L-Editor: A



P-Editor: Ma YI

Core Tip: Affecting approximately one fourth of the global population, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the predominant cause of chronic liver disease and for many years it was considered as a disease affecting only obese people. However, a significant proportion of non-obese or even lean individuals develop NAFLD. Therefore, it is of great interest to discuss the differences in prognosis, metabolic profiles and outcomes as well as the current management of lean NAFLD patients as compared with both obese NAFLD patients and lean healthy controls.

Citation: Chrysavgis L, Ztriva E, Protopapas A, Tziomalos K, Cholongitas E. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in lean subjects: Prognosis, outcomes and management. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26(42): 6514-6528

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v26/i42/6514.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v26.i42.6514

INTRODUCTION

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has been recognized as the predominant cause of chronic liver disease in the industrialized world[1]. It encompasses a wide spectrum of clinical and histological entities, ranging from simple steatosis, defined as triglyceride (TG) accumulation > 5% within the hepatic parenchyma, to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which is characterized by inflammation and fibrosis and can lead to cirrhosis and even hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[2,3]. The prevalence of NAFLD is increased in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), metabolic syndrome (MetS) and obesity^[4]. Although the latter is not only a risk factor for NAFLD but is also associated with more severe forms of the disease, a significant proportion of subjects develop NAFLD despite having a relatively normal body mass index (BMI), a condition referred to as non-obese or lean NAFLD^[5]. Non-obese/lean NAFLD is divided into 2 major categories[5]: The first and more prevalent includes non-obese patients who may be overweight (BMI between the 85th-95th percentile for age) with or without increased waist circumference and adipose tissue, while the second category includes lean subjects with no excess visceral fat mass^[5]. In the latter category, several secondary causes have been implicated, such as high fructose intake, protein malnutrition (Kwashiorkor) as well as administration of steatogenic drugs (amiodarone, tamoxifen, methotrexate, prednisolone, etc.) and genetic predisposition^[5,6]. Regarding the latter, Romeo et al^[7] have emphasized the involvement of the rs738409 single nucleotide polymorphism in patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3 (PNPLA 3) gene in NAFLD onset and progression. Yet, a plethora of other gene variants have been also associated with increased susceptibility to NAFLD/NASH and progression to liver fibrosis and even HCC, such as the transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 (TM6SF2)[8-10], glucokinase regulatory gene (GCKR)[11,12] and membrane bound O-acyltransferase domain containing 7 (MBOAT7) genes^[13]. In addition, a variant of interferon-λ3 (IFN-λ3) gene has been related with increased liver inflammation and fibrosis among NAFLD patients^[14], while the rs72613567 polymorphism in hydroxysteroid 17-beta dehydrogenase 13 (HSD17B13) gene was recently shown to reduce the risk of liver fibrosis, NASH and HCC[15,16]. Of note, both dietary composition and socioeconomic factors have been correlated with NAFLD development. Adherence to Mediterranean diet has been demonstrated to ameliorate hepatic insulin sensitivity and reduce hepatic fat accumulation while the Western dietary pattern, which mainly consists of high fructose and saturated fats intake, has been involved in NAFLD development[17,18]. Moreover, prolonged sitting time, usually related with high calorie intake and unhealthy dietary composition, and decreased physical activity are independent risk factors for NAFLD, even in lean subjects^[19].

Current data on the prevalence of non-obese/lean NAFLD worldwide is characterized by wide variability. In a recent systematic review including 84 studies with 10530308 individuals, Ye et al^[20] demonstrated that among the general population, the prevalence of lean and non-obese NAFLD was 5.1% and 12.1%, respectively. In addition, the overall prevalence of NAFLD among the lean general population was 10.6%, while the prevalence of NAFLD in the non-obese population was 18.3%. Interestingly, the prevalence of non-obese NAFLD among the total NAFLD population

was highest in Europe (51.3%) and lowest in eastern Asia (37.8%)[20]. Of note, NAFLD patients were categorized according to the World Health Organization (WHO) and Asian Pacific recommendations as overweight and lean when their BMI was 25 to 30 kg/m^2 and $< 25 kg/m^2$, respectively, in non-Asian populations and $23 kg/m^2$ to 27.5kg/m² and < 23 kg/m², respectively, in Asian populations^[21-23]. However, it is wellestablished that individuals with similar BMI may have different degrees of visceral obesity, which is closely associated with the development of NAFLD[24-26]. Waist circumference is considered a more accurate marker of visceral obesity than BMI, but is not available in the majority of the relevant studies^[27]. The present editorial will discuss the metabolic profile, prognosis and related clinical outcomes, as well as the management of non-obese or lean patients suffering from NAFLD.

CLINICAL IMPACT OF NON-OBESE/LEAN NAFLD

Literature search

PubMed database was systematically searched from the date of inception of this editorial until April 2020, to identify studies focusing on non-obese/lean NAFLD. The terms used were "Lean non-alcoholic fatty liver disease" OR "Lean nonalcoholic fatty liver disease" OR "Lean NAFLD" OR "Non-obese non-alcoholic fatty liver disease" OR "Non-obese nonalcoholic fatty liver disease" OR "Non-obese NAFLD" OR "Nonoverweight fatty liver disease" OR "Non-overweight NAFLD". Since we aimed to emphasize the metabolic, hepatic and cardiovascular outcomes in obese vs nonobese/lean NAFLD patients as well as non-obese/lean individuals with or without NAFLD, studies evaluating the histological aspects of NAFLD were excluded.

Non obese/lean NAFLD vs controls: Metabolic and clinical outcomes (Table 1)

Younossi et al^[28] in a study performed in the United States reported that lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients compared to lean healthy subjects had higher prevalence of insulin resistance (IR), T2DM, hypercholesterolemia and hypertension, i.e., the components of MetS. In the cross-sectional NHANES III study, Golabi et al[29] reported that lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients, had higher risk of all-cause [Hazard Ratio (HR): 1.54] and cardiovascular-related mortality (HR: 2.38) than lean non-NAFLD subjects after adjustment for potential confounding variables[29]. Interestingly, in another study from the United States, Zou et al[30] showed that in a non-obese population (BMI < 30 kg/m^2 for non-Asians and < 27 kg/m^2 for Asians), patients with NAFLD had higher blood pressure, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), insulin, total cholesterol (TC), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and TG levels and higher Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), a marker of IR, than subjects without NAFLD. In addition, the former group had increased overall, cardiovascular and cancer-related mortality during a 15-year follow-up, but these findings were not confirmed in multivariate analysis[30].

In a post hoc analysis in Japanese subjects, Yoshitaka et al[31] reported that lean (BMI < 23 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had higher blood pressure, increased FPG and TG serum levels, as well as greater risk (HR: 10.4) for cardiovascular events than to lean non-NAFLD individuals, independently of potential confounders. In a retrospective cohort study of 4629 lean Japanese participants (BMI < 23 kg/m²) who were enrolled in a regular health checkup program, Fukuda et al[32] showed that patients with NAFLD had more than 3 times higher incidence of T2DM than subjects without NAFLD. Regarding non-obese subjects, Nishioji et al[33] found that non-obese (BMI < 25 kg/m²) Japanese NAFLD patients had a higher prevalence of MetS components compared with healthy individuals. Both retrospective and prospective studies from South Korea also showed that non-obese NAFLD patients have an increased risk for T2DM than non-NAFLD, non-obese individuals, independently of other risk factors^[34,35]. Moreover, Sung et al[36] in a large cohort of non-obese (BMI < 27 kg/m^2) South Korean individuals, reported that non-obese NAFLD patients have higher estimated cardiovascular risk based on the Framingham risk score than healthy controls, whereas in another South Korean cross-sectional study, non-obese (BMI < 25 kg/m²) subjects without NAFLD had better metabolic profile than non-obese patients with NAFLD[37]. Accordingly, Kwon et al^[88], in another retrospective study from South Korea, showed that non-obese (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had higher prevalence of MetS components than non-obese controls and had.

In lean (BMI < 23 kg/m²) Chinese individuals, the presence of NAFLD was associated with increased odds for T2DM and MetS, independently of demographic and lifestyle parameters[39]. Regarding non-obese populations, 2 independent studies in

Ref./Year/Country	Population (lean/non-obese NAFLD population)	Metabolic profile lean/non-obese NAFLD <i>vs</i> healthy controls	Liver function tests findings, lean/non-obese NAFLD vs healthy controls	Histological outcomes, lean/non-obese NAFLD vs healthy controls	Survival-related outcomes, lean/non-obese NAFLD vs healthy controls
Younossi <i>et al</i> ^[28] /2012/United States	11613 study population; 4457 lean subjects (431)	↑ Prevalence of insulin resistance, T2DM, hypercholesterolemia and hypertension		NA	NA
Golabi <i>et al</i> ^[29] /2019/United States	5375 lean subjects (581)	↑ Prevalence of metabolic comorbidities	NA	NA	\uparrow Hazard for all-cause and cardiovascular-related mortality
Zou <i>et al</i> ^[30] /2020/United States	9654 controls (1528)	\uparrow BP, HOMA-IR, glucose, insulin, TC, LDL-C, TG, \downarrow HDL-C	↑ ALT, AST, γ-GT	NA	↑ 15-yr overall, cardiovascular, cancer and other causes related mortality (not confirmed in Cox model)
Yoshitaka <i>et al</i> ^[31] /2017/Japan	1647 individuals; 984 non- overweight subjects (69)	\uparrow BP, glucose, TG, UA, \downarrow HDL-C	↑ AST, ALT, γ-GT	NA	↑ HR of CVD incident
Fukuda <i>et al^[32]</i> /2016/Japan	4629 participants (2989) in the non-overweight group (139)	\uparrow Adjusted HR for T2DM, \uparrow BP, TC, TG, \downarrow HDL-C	↑ ALT, AST, γ-GT	NA	NA
Nishioji <i>et al^[33]</i> /2015/Japan	3271 enrolled individuals; 2606 non-obese (511)	↑ BP, TC, TG, HbA1c, glucose	↑ ALT, AST, γ-GT	NA	NA
Kim <i>et al</i> ^[34] /2018/South Korea	2920 participants; 2119 in non- obese group (420)	\uparrow HR for T2DM, \uparrow TG, TC, LDL-C, \downarrow HDL-C	↑ ALT, AST, γ-GT	NA	NA
Sinn <i>et al</i> ^[35] /2019/South Korea	51463 total population; 21984 lean subjects (2262)	\uparrow HR for T2DM onset, \uparrow glucose, HbA1c, TG, TC and LDL-C, \downarrow HDL-C	↑ ALT and AST	NA	NA
Sung <i>et al^[36]/2009/South</i> Korea	30172 all non-obese; (7101)	↑ Prevalence of hypertension, T2DM, MetS in elevated ALT, steatosis and NASH groups	NA	NA	In men: ↑ Cardiovascular risk for group with elevated ALT serum levels and for steatosis and NASH groups. In women: ↑ Cardiovascular risk for steatosis and NAS groups
Kim <i>et al</i> ^[37] /2013/South Korea	759 individuals (98 in NAFLD group)	\uparrow Glucose, TG, UA, HOMA-IR, \downarrow HDL-C	↑ ALT, AST, γ-GT	NA	NA
Kwon <i>et al</i> ^[38] /2012/South Korea	29994 study population; 24008 non-obese (3014)	\uparrow BP, glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, \downarrow HDL-C	↑ AST, ALT, γ-GT	NA	NA
Feng <i>et al</i> ^[39] /2014/China	1779; 731 in the lean group (134)	\uparrow OR for hypertension, T2DM, central obesity and MetS, UA, TC, LDL-C, TG, glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR \downarrow HDL-C	↑ ALT, AST, γ-GT	NA	NA
Lee <i>et al</i> ^[40] /2018/China	2008 enrolled subjects; 953 non- obese (208)	↑ TC, TG, glucose	↑ ALT	NA	NA



2715 enrolled participants (1100 NAFLD patients)

1296 non-obese subjects of

whom 246 were NAFLD

Zeng et al^[41]/2020/China

Yu et al^[42]/2014/China

NA

NA

↑ ALT, AST

NA

NA

 \uparrow Prevalence of hypertension and MetS, TG, LDL- $\,$ NA C, \downarrow HDL-C

↑ Arterial stiffness, assessed by the higher

brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity, TC, LDL-C,

	patients	TG, glucose, insulin, UA, HOMA-IR			
Wang et al ^[43] /2015/China	9360 women population (1194 were NAFLD patients)	↑ TG, TC, LDL-C, glucose	↑ AST, ALT	NA	NA
Kumar et al ^[44] /2013/India	205 NAFLD patients (27 lean) plus 131 lean healthy subjects	↑ Prevalence of MetS, dyslipidemia		NA	NA
Oral <i>et al</i> ^[45] /2019/Turkey	367 non-obese individuals (225 in NAFLD group and 142 in the control group)	↑ TG, TC, UA, creatinine, HOMA-IR	↑ AST, ALT	NA	NA
Erkan <i>et al</i> ^[46] /2014/Turkey	219 non-obese non diabetic individuals of whom 143 NAFLD patients	↑ Prevalence of hypertension, MetS, hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia and insulin resistance, insulin, HOMA-IR	↑ AST, ALT, γ-GT	NA	NA
Feldman <i>et al</i> ^[47] /2017/Austria	187 subjects (116 suffering from NAFLD of whom 55 were lean)	\uparrow Prevalence of T2DM, glucose, \downarrow adiponectin	↑ ALT, γ-GT	NA	NA
Gonzalez-Cantero <i>et al</i> ^[48] /2018/Spain	113 non-obese enrolled individuals (55 NAFLD patients)	\uparrow HOMA-IR, TG, insulin, \downarrow HDL-C, adiponectin	↑ ALT, AST, γ-GT	NA	NA

NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus; NASH: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NAS: NAFLD activity score; BP: Arterial blood pressure; TC: Total cholesterol; LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HDL-C: High density lipoprotein-cholesterol; TG: Triglycerides; HR: Hazard ratio; HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin, type A1C; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Model Assessment Insulin Resistance; CVD: Cardiovascular disease; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; NA: Not applicable; UA: Uric acid; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; γ-GT: γ-Glutamyl transferase; MetS: Metabolic syndrome.

China confirmed that non-obese (BMI < 25 kg/m²) patients with NAFLD suffered more frequently from hypertension and MetS than healthy non-obese subjects^[40,41], whereas a cross-sectional study in China reported that non-obese (BMI < 27.5 kg/m²), normotensive and non-diabetic NAFLD patients had increased arterial stiffness, higher serum levels of FPG, TC, LDL-C, TG and greater HOMA-IR than non-obese, healthy subjects^[42]. Similar findings were observed in Chinese women^[43]. Moreover, a cohort study in India also showed that NAFLD patients are at higher risk for metabolic disorders irrespectively of the presence of obesity^[44].

In accordance to the aforementioned studies, Oral *et al*^[45] reported that non-obese (BMI < 30 kg/m²) NAFLD patients from Turkey were more frequently glucose intolerant and had higher TG and TC levels than non-obese controls. Similar findings were also reported in another Turkish study, where lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had higher prevalence of hypertension and MetS as well as higher HOMA-IR^[46]. Finally, in Europe, Feldman *et al*^[47] reported that Austrian lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) healthy subjects were more frequently glucose tolerant and had lower prevalence of T2DM than lean NAFLD patients and these findings were confirmed by Gonzalez-Cantero *et al*^[48] in a non-obese (BMI < 30 kg/m²) Spanish cohort.

Obese vs non-obese/lean NAFLD (Table 2)

Studies with metabolic outcomes: Regarding metabolic outcomes in NAFLD obese and NAFLD non-obese/lean patients, in a retrospective study of 669 NAFLD patients in Italy, lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had lower prevalence of hypertension, T2DM and MetS than overweight (25 kg/m² \leq BMI \leq 30 kg/m²) and obese (BMI \geq 30 kg/m²) NAFLD patients[49]. Notably, the former group had significantly thinner carotid intima-media, indicating less atherosclerotic burden^[49]. Although this result was not confirmed in a study by Shao et al^[50] in obese (BMI > 25 kg/m²) and non-obese Chinese NAFLD patients, the authors showed that the latter group had lower FPG and serum TC and TG levels as well as a lower prevalence of hypertension. Moreover, Li et al^[51] demonstrated that the proportion of Chinese patients with elevated FPG and serum TG levels was higher among obese (BMI > 25 kg/m²) compared with non-obese NAFLD patients, while another cross-sectional study from China confirmed that obese NAFLD women (BMI > 28 kg/m^2) had higher FPG than non-obese women^[43]. In addition, 2 studies from China showed a higher prevalence of MetS and hypertension in obese (BMI > 25 kg/m²) compared to non-obese patients with NAFLD^[41,52]. In the Indian population, Kumar et al $^{[44]}$ reported that among NAFLD patients, lean (BMI < 23) kg/m²) patients had had lower serum insulin levels and HOMA-IR, as well as lower prevalence of T2DM and MetS than obese (BMI > 25 kg/m²) patients. In a case control study from Sri Lanka, Niriella et al[53] reported a higher prevalence of hypertension in non-lean (BMI > 23 kg/m²) patients with NAFLD compared with lean NAFLD patients. In studies performed in Japan, Yoshitaka et al[31] reported lower blood pressure and FPG and higher serum high density cholesterol (HDL-C) levels in lean (BMI < 23 kg/m²) than in overweight NAFLD patients[44], while Honda et al[54] reported that FPG, insulin, TG and HOMA-IR were increased among Japanese obese (BMI > 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients compared with non-obese NAFLD patients. In a study form Hong-Kong, Wei et al^[55] reported that non-obese (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had lower IR than obese NAFLD patients. Moreover, the prevalence of MetS and hypertension was increased in obese patients. A study performed in Bangladesh also showed that non-obese (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had lower TC, FPG, HOMA-IR and higher HDL-C levels than obese NAFLD patients^[56].

Similar findings were observed in the cross-sectional NHANES III study, in which lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had less frequently hypertension, T2DM and hypercholesteremia as well as lower levels of FPG and HOMA-IR than overweight /obese NAFLD patients^[28]. In a prospective study from Turkey, Akyuz et al^[57] reported that lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had a less prevalence of MetS and hypertension than overweight NAFLD patients, while in a study from Spain, Gonzalez-Cantero et al[48] also confirmed that overweight (BMI: 25-29 kg/m²) patients with NAFLD had higher HOMA-IR and TG and lower HDL-C serum levels than lean NAFLD patients. In a study from Austria, Feldman et al^[47] also reported that lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had lower FPG, insulin and HOMA-IR and higher HDL-C levels than obese NAFLD patients.

In contrast to these findings, a retrospective study from South Korea reported a higher prevalence of MetS components in non-obese (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients compared with obese NALFD patients, even after adjusting for confounders[38]. It is possible that unrecorded differences in dietary patterns, physical activity and smoking status between the 2 groups might explain this paradoxical might^[38]. Lee et al^[40] also reported that non-obese (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had higher prevalence of MetS and hypertension as well as lower serum HDL-C levels than obese NAFLD patients. However, this study was hospital- and not communitybased suggesting the presence of selection bias as an explanation for these unexpected findings[40].

Studies with both metabolic and clinical outcomes: In a prospective cohort study in 307 NAFLD patients from Hong-Kong, Leung et al^[52] reported that non-obese patients (23.5% patients of the total cohort) had lower prevalence of MetS and hypertension as well as lower NAFLD activity score, serum cytokeratin-18 fragments and decreased liver stiffness based on transient elastography than obese patients. Of note, deaths, HCC and liver failure occurred only in obese patients during a follow-up period of 49 $mo^{[52]}$.

In contrast, a United States study in 483 biopsy-confirmed NAFLD patients showed that lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) patients had higher all-cause mortality than non-lean patients during a follow-up of 133 mo, although they had lower prevalence of T2DM, MetS, hypertriglyceridemia and hypertension, and less advanced fibrosis. Notably, even after adjustment for potential confounders, lean NAFLD was an independent risk factor (HR: 11.8) for higher all-cause mortality^[58]. In the NHANES study, Zou et al^[30]

T-LI- 0 M-1- 61			- I I I! - #-44 I!	er disease patients' <i>vs</i> ob	
i Tanie / Iviain tindinds ai	in cultcomes of lean (Ar nan-anesei nan	alconolic tatty live	er disease natients: Vs oni	aca nnac

Population (lean/non- obese NAFLD patients)	Metabolic profile, lean/non-obese NAFLD vs non- lean/obese NAFLD	Liver function tests findings, lean/non-obese NAFLD vs non-lean/obese NAFLD	Histological outcomes, lean/non-obese NAFLD <i>vs</i> non- lean/obese NAFLD	Survival-related outcomes, lean/non-obese NAFLD vs non- lean/obese NAFLD
11613 study population; 2491 NAFLD patients (431 lean)	↓ Prevalence of insulin resistance, T2DM, hypocholesteremia, hypertension, HOMA score	↓ AST, ALT	NA	NA
4711 patients with NAFLD (1528 non-obese)	Similar prevalence of T2DM and MetS, Metabolic comorbidities: More common	NA	↑ Prevalence of advanced liver fibrosis	↑ 15-yr overall, cardiovascular, cancer and other causes related mortality (not significant in a Cox model)
1647 individuals; 312 NAFLD patients (69 non- overweight)	↓ BP, glucose, ↑ HDL-C	\downarrow AST, ALT, and $\gamma\text{-}GT$	NA	NA
29994 study population; 6039 NAFLD patients (3014 non-obese)	\uparrow Prevalence ratios for high BP, glucose intolerance, and \uparrow TG, \downarrow HDL-C especially among women population	NA	NA	NA
1779 study population; 898 NAFLD patients (134 lean)	\downarrow Insulin, TC, UA, HOMA-IR, \uparrow HDL-C	↓ ALT and γ-GT	NA	NA
2008 enrolled subjects; 493 NAFLD patients (208 non- obese)	\uparrow Prevalence of MetS and hypertension, $\downarrow HDL\text{-}C$	NA	NA	NA
2715 enrolled participants; 1100 NAFLD patients (142 lean)	↑ Prevalence of MetS	NA	Less severe hepatic steatosis, evaluated by ameliorated values of CAP and FLI	NA
9360 women population; 1194 were NAFLD patients (514 non-obese)	↑ UA, glucose	↓ ALT, AST but ↑ AST/ALT ratio	NA	NA
205 NAFLD patients (27 lean)	\downarrow Hyperinsulinemia, HOMA-IR, \downarrow prevalence of T2DM, MetS		↓ Mean NAS and ↓ proportion of patients with liver fibrosis	NA
187 subjects; 116 NAFLD patients (55 lean)	\downarrow Glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, \uparrow HDL-C, adiponectin	↓ ALT	NA	NA
669 NAFLD patients (143 lean)	\downarrow Prevalence of hypertension, T2DM, MetS, NASH, carotid plaques and significant thinner carotid intima-media	NA	\downarrow Prevalence of NAFLD and \downarrow median NAS	NA
534 NAFLD patients (240 non-obese)	No \uparrow risk of cardiovascular damage and \uparrow TC, FFA, TG, BP, insulin resistance	↓ ALT and AST	NA	NA
496 NAFLD patients (101 lean)	\uparrow Proportion of patients with \uparrow TG, glucose	\downarrow Proportion of patients with \uparrow ALT	NA	NA
	obese NAFLD patients) 11613 study population; 2491 NAFLD patients (431 lean) 4711 patients with NAFLD (1528 non-obese) 1647 individuals; 312 NAFLD patients (69 non-overweight) 29994 study population; 6039 NAFLD patients (3014 non-obese) 1779 study population; 898 NAFLD patients (134 lean) 2008 enrolled subjects; 493 NAFLD patients (208 non-obese) 2715 enrolled participants; 1100 NAFLD patients (142 lean) 9360 women population; 1194 were NAFLD patients (514 non-obese) 205 NAFLD patients (27 lean) 187 subjects; 116 NAFLD patients (55 lean) 669 NAFLD patients (143 lean) 534 NAFLD patients (240 non-obese) 496 NAFLD patients (101	Desse NAFLD patients Lean/obese NAFLD	Population (lean/nonobese NAFLD patients) Metabolic profile, lean/non-obese NAFLD vs non-lean/obese NAFLD vs non-lean/obese NAFLD patients (431 lean) Infinity population (1424) lean (1528 non-obese) Institute (1	Population (lean/non-obese NAFLD patients) Metabolic profile, lean/non-obese NAFLD vs non-lean/obese NAFLD patients (43) 1 Prevalence of insulin resistance, 12DM, hypocholesteremia, lypocholesteremia, lypochol



Leung <i>et al</i> ^[52] /2017/Hong-Kong	307 NAFLD patients (72 non-obese)	\downarrow Prevalence of MetS, hypertension	NA	↓ NAS, ↓ fibrosis stage, serum cytokeratine-18 fragments and liver stiffness measurement	Severe clinical outcomes (6 deaths, 2 HCC,1 liver failure) were observed only in the obese group
Niriella <i>et al</i> ^[53] /2018/Sri Lanka	2985 initial cohort; 936 NAFLD patients (120 lean)	↓ Prevalence of hypertension and central obesity, no significant difference in prevalence of other metabolic comorbidities at baseline. No remarkable alterations of new onset of metabolic comorbidities at the completion of follow-up	NA	NA	NA
Honda <i>et al</i> ^[54] /2016/Japan	1562 enrolled subjects; 540 NAFLD patients (134 non- obese)	\uparrow HOMA-IR, glucose, insulin, TG, \downarrow genotype prevalence of (<code>PNPLA3</code>) GG	↓ ALT and AST	↓ Lobular inflammation, steatosis grade, hepatocyte ballooning and NAS	NA
Wei <i>et al</i> ^[55] /2015/Hong- Kong	262 patients with NAFLD (135 non-obese)	\downarrow Insulin resistance, BP and cytokeratin-18 fragments and \downarrow prevalence of MS, \uparrow genotype (<i>PNPLA3</i>) GG prevalence	NA	Less non-obese NAFLD patients with \(\) NAFLD fibrosis score	NA
Alam <i>et al</i> ^[56] /2014/Bangladesh	465 NAFLD patients (119 non-obese)	Similar prevalence of T2DM and hypertension and \downarrow TC, glucose, HOMA-IR, \uparrow HDL-C	↓ ALT, AST, γ-GT	No significant difference in histological findings	NA
Akyuz <i>et al</i> ^[57] /2015/Turkey	483 NAFLD patients (37 lean)	\downarrow BP, \downarrow prevalence of MetS, less severe hepatic steatosis, \uparrow hemoglobin levels	NA	Less severe hepatic fibrosis	NA
Cruz et al ^[58] /2014/United States	1090 NAFLD patients (125 lean)	↓ Insulin resistance, ↓ prevalence of low HDL-C, hypertriglyceridemia and hypertension	↓ ALT	↓ Steatosis degree and less advanced fibrosis	↓ Cumulative survival
Hagström <i>et al</i> ^[59] /2018/Sweden	646 NAFLD patients (123 lean, 335 overweight, 188 obese)	↓ TG, glucose	↓ ALT, AST compared to both overweight and obese counterparts	↓ Prevalence of NASH and ↓ mean fibrosis stage compared to both overweight/obese patients	↓ Risk for overall mortality, ↑ risk for severe hepatic disease development as compared to overweight patients

NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus; BP: Blood pressure; MetS: Metabolic syndrome; TC: Total cholesterol; HDL-C: High density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: Triglycerides; UA: Uric acid; NASH: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NAS: NAFLD activity score; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Model Assessment Insulin Resistance; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; CAP: Controlled attenuation parameter; FLI: Fatty liver index; HR: Hazard ratio; PNPLA 3: Patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3; NA: Not applicable; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; γ-GT: γ-Glutamyl transferase; MetS: Metabolic syndrome.

also reported that non-obese NAFLD (BMI < 30 kg/m² for non-Asians and < 27 kg/m² for Asians) patients had a higher prevalence of metabolic comorbidities, more advanced fibrosis and higher mortality due to cardiovascular disease and cancer and higher all-cause mortality than obese NALFD. However, these findings were not confirmed in a multivariate analysis, where only T2DM and fibrosis stage were independent risk factors for mortality^[50]. Finally, in a retrospective cohort study in 646 NAFLD patients in Sweden, Hagström *et al*^[59] reported that lean (BMI < 25 kg/m²) NAFLD patients had higher risk for cirrhosis, decompensated cirrhosis and HCC than overweight (25 kg/m² \leq BMI < 30 kg/m²) patients, independently of confounders; all-cause mortality did not differ between the 2 groups. Of note, lean patients had lower serum TG and FPG levels as well as lower prevalence of NASH and lower fibrosis stage^[59].

It may seem paradoxical that most studies^[30,58,59], although not all^[52], reported a worse prognosis in non-obese/lean patients with NAFLD compared with obese

NAFLD patients. Zou et al[30] attributed the worse outcome of non-obese NAFLD patients to the advanced fibrosis stage and the higher frequency of metabolic comorbidities in this group. Hagström et al^[59] speculated that genetic predisposition and unhealthy lifestyle were associated with the worse liver-related outcomes of lean NAFLD patients. Another explanation could be that in all studies, BMI was used as a surrogate marker to define the thresholds for leanness or obesity. However, BMI is not a specific marker of abdominal obesity; waist circumference reflects more accurately the visceral adiposity fat fraction [60]. Nonetheless, even waist circumference cannot distinguish visceral from subcutaneous fat and cannot allow quantification of adipose tissue parts. Accordingly, more accurate markers of abdominal obesity, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), might be useful in distinguishing between obese and lean patients with NAFLD. Indeed, MRI is considered a more accurate and quantitative tool for evaluation of visceral adipose tissue^[61]. Thus, both the definition of lean/non-obese NAFLD and the categorization of patients into lean/non-obese or obese should be based in the near future on MRI to overcome the limitation of current, BMI-based definitions

MANAGEMENT OF NON-OBESE/LEAN NAFLD

Management of NAFLD in lean patients is particularly challenging, since the cornerstone of NAFLD treatment is weight loss, which might not apply in these patients. In addition, there are no specific guidelines for the management of NAFLD in lean subjects. However, accumulating data suggest that several interventions might be useful in this population. A summary of the key elements of management of lean NAFLD is given in Table 3.

Initial workup and assessment of disease severity

To select the most appropriate management, a thorough diagnostic workup should be performed. The initial workup of a lean patient with suspected NAFLD may include a variety of modalities. Usually, ultrasound is the screening imaging method of choice and can provide information regarding the presence and severity of steatosis and the presence of cirrhosis. The Fibrosis-4 (FIB-4) and NAFLD fibrosis score can be useful for assessing the severity of liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD[62,63]. In patients with inconclusive findings, elastography (transient, shear wave, or magnetic resonance) is the most widely used method to assess the severity of hepatic fibrosis, otherwise liver biopsy is recommended^[64,65].

Management of NAFLD in lean subjects

Weight reduction: Similar with obese patients with NAFLD, weight reduction appears effective in lean subjects with NAFLD. In a study in 333 patients with NAFLD, weight change was an independent predictor of disease progression or resolution after a mean follow-up of 28.7 mo. Interestingly, among patients who also experienced NAFLD progression, non-obese subjects had greater weight gain than obese patients whereas among patients who experienced NAFLD resolution, non-obese patients showed smaller weight loss than obese subjects[66]. Moreover, 2 studies showed that 5% of body weight reduction led to significant decrease in steatosis in lean patients with NAFLD^[67,68]. In the first study (n = 120 patients with NAFLD), a 10-wk program including diet modification and exercise resulted in improvement in steatosis in the repeated liver biopsy^[67], while in the second one (n = 14 Lean NAFLD patients), an 8wk intervention consisting of intensive dietary and lifestyle measures induced a decrease in both steatosis and stiffness assessed with transient elastography^[68].

Dietary modifications and physical activity: Diet appears to improve NAFLD in lean patients independently of weight loss. It has been reported that lean patients with NAFLD have comparable total caloric intake with obese patients with NAFLD^[69,70]. However, the former have higher intake of cholesterol and lower intake of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs)[70]. In a study in 120 patients with NAFLD who followed a 10-wk program including diet modification and exercise, most patients achieved a reduction of steatosis without weight reduction; instead, a reduction in fat intake and in overall body fat was observed and might have contributed to the improvement in steatosis $^{\mbox{\scriptsize [67]}}$. Therefore, low-fat diet appears more appropriate for lean patients with NAFLD.

There are also reports highlighting physical exercise as a contributing factor to NAFLD amelioration irrespectively of its effect on body weight. In a large

Table 3 Key elements of management of lean non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Evaluation of severity of liver Liver fibrosis (serological markers, elastography, biopsy)

Presence of NASH (biopsy or serological evidence of inflammation)

Weight reduction 5% of body weight reduction can be effective in reducing steatosis

Physical activity Positive effect regardless of weight reduction

Dietary Intervention ↓ Fat intake, ↑ protein intake

Commorbidities Strict control of:

Diabetes mellitus (consider pioglitazone)

Hypertriglyceridemia (baseline triglyceride count was independently correlated with NAFLD resolution)

Hypercholesterolemia (reduction of total cholesterol was independently correlated with steatosis reduction)

Hypertension

Sleep patterns Emphasize the significance of adequate sleep duration and quality

Pharmacological therapy Pioglitazone and vitamin E as the only accepted therapies, but proposed only on an individual basis

Possible role of probiotics

Small number of trials for lean patients

According to the results of trials focusing on non-lean patients

NASH: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

retrospective study (n = 3718), lack of physical activity was independently associated with the presence of NAFLD, after adjusting for visceral adiposity and IR. These results might be particularly relevant for lean patients with NAFLD, who have lower prevalence of IR and visceral adiposity compared with obese patients^[28,58].

Management of comorbidities: As already mentioned, lean patients with NAFLD appear to have higher incidence of T2DM compared with overweight patients without NAFLD^[32]. Given that T2DM is a major risk factor for NAFLD progression^[71-73], these findings highlight the importance of T2DM prevention in this population. Furthermore, it has been reported that elevated TG levels are independently associated with development or resolution of NAFLD, especially in non-obese patients^[6]. In another study, $a \ge 10\%$ reduction in TC levels was independently associated with \ge 20% reduction of steatosis in biopsy after a 10-wk exercise and diet modification program^[67]. Given the increased cardiovascular risk of lean NAFLD patients, screening for and management of cardiometabolic comorbidities are essential to reduce cardiovascular morbidity in this population.

Sleep patterns: Short duration and poor quality of sleep have been associated with increased incidence of NAFLD^[74-77]. Considering that a substantial proportion of lean patients with NAFLD have disturbed sleep[69], recommendations for more rest and efforts to improve sleep quality should be considered in this population.

Pharmacological interventions

Treatment options for NAFLD include pioglitazone and vitamin E but are limited to non-diabetic patients with biopsy-proven NASH. However, in both European and American guidelines, these agents are recommended to be used with caution and in carefully selected patients^[4,64]. In addition, only ezetimibe has been evaluated in lean patients with NAFLD. In a pilot study (n = 8 non-obese patients), treatment with ezetimibe for 12 mo resulted in a decrease in aminotransferase levels but had no effect on hepatic steatosis assessed with ultrasound[78]. Interestingly, BMI did not change during the study. A larger, placebo-controlled, randomized study evaluated a symbiotic supplement consisting of seven bacterial strains in 50 lean NAFLD patients who also received lifestyle recommendations[79]. The supplement resulted in a greater reduction in liver stiffness and steatosis, in serum TG and TC levels and in inflammatory markers including high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and nuclear factor-κB activity than placebo. This study supports the findings of a previous report in obese patients with NAFLD[80] and suggests a role of gut microbiota manipulation in the management of NAFLD.

CONCLUSION

Even though NAFLD is strongly associated with obesity and related comorbidities, a substantial proportion of lean subjects can also develop NAFLD. Visceral obesity as opposed to general obesity, genetic predisposition, unhealthy dietary pattern consisting of high cholesterol and fructose intake may be associated with lean NAFLD. Although lean patients appear to have a worse prognosis but a healthier metabolic profile than obese patients with NAFLD, we should bear in mind that the current categorization into lean or obese cohorts was mostly based on BMI and not on visceral fat mass evaluation. Thus, the use of MRI as a reliable and quantitative diagnostic tool for evaluating the presence and severity of abdominal obesity in NAFLD patients might be useful. Currently, lifestyle interventions including weight loss, physical activity and a healthier dietary pattern seem to have beneficial impact on lean NAFLD. Beyond that, sleep interventions and pharmacotherapy along with strict management of comorbidities should also be incorporated in the management of this disease. Without a doubt, lean NAFLD raises many challenges since the pathophysiology and the natural history of the disease has not been widely studied and physicians should have high clinical suspicion in order to identify individuals at risk of lean NAFLD who lack the common, easily recognizable phenotype of obesity.

REFERENCES

- Younossi ZM, Golabi P, de Avila L, Paik JM, Srishord M, Fukui N, Qiu Y, Burns L, Afendy A, Nader F. The global epidemiology of NAFLD and NASH in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hepatol 2019; 71: 793-801 [PMID: 31279902 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2019.06.021]
- Papatheodoridi AM, Chrysavgis L, Koutsilieris M, Chatzigeorgiou A. The Role of Senescence in the Development of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Progression to Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. Hepatology 2020; 71: 363-374 [PMID: 31230380 DOI: 10.1002/hep.30834]
- Younossi Z. Anstee OM, Marietti M, Hardy T, Henry L, Eslam M, George J, Bugianesi E, Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 15: 11-20 [PMID: 28930295 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.109]
- Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, Rinella M, Harrison SA, Brunt EM, Sanyal AJ. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology 2018; 67: 328-357 [PMID: 28714183 DOI: 10.1002/hep.29367]
- Wang AY, Dhaliwal J, Mouzaki M. Lean non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Clin Nutr 2019; 38: 975-981 [PMID: 30466956 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2018.08.008]
- Albhaisi S, Chowdhury A, Sanyal AJ. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in lean individuals. JHEP Rep 2019; 1: 329-341 [PMID: 32039383 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2019.08.002]
- Romeo S, Kozlitina J, Xing C, Pertsemlidis A, Cox D, Pennacchio LA, Boerwinkle E, Cohen JC, Hobbs HH. Genetic variation in PNPLA3 confers susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Nat Genet 2008; 40: 1461-1465 [PMID: 18820647 DOI: 10.1038/ng.257]
- Dongiovanni P, Petta S, Maglio C, Fracanzani AL, Pipitone R, Mozzi E, Motta BM, Kaminska D, Rametta R, Grimaudo S, Pelusi S, Montalcini T, Alisi A, Maggioni M, Kärjä V, Borén J, Käkelä P, Di Marco V, Xing C, Nobili V, Dallapiccola B, Craxi A, Pihlajamäki J, Fargion S, Sjöström L, Carlsson LM, Romeo S, Valenti L. Transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 gene variant disentangles nonalcoholic steatohepatitis from cardiovascular disease. Hepatology 2015; 61: 506-514 [PMID: 25251399 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27490]
- Liu YL, Reeves HL, Burt AD, Tiniakos D, McPherson S, Leathart JB, Allison ME, Alexander GJ, Piguet AC, Anty R, Donaldson P, Aithal GP, Francque S, Van Gaal L, Clement K, Ratziu V, Dufour JF, Day CP, Daly AK, Anstee QM. TM6SF2 rs58542926 influences hepatic fibrosis progression in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Nat Commun 2014; 5: 4309 [PMID: 24978903 DOI: 10.1038/ncomms5309]
- Donati B, Dongiovanni P, Romeo S, Meroni M, McCain M, Miele L, Petta S, Maier S, Rosso C, De Luca L, Vanni E, Grimaudo S, Romagnoli R, Colli F, Ferri F, Mancina RM, Iruzubieta P, Craxi A, Fracanzani AL, Grieco A, Corradini SG, Aghemo A, Colombo M, Soardo G, Bugianesi E, Reeves H, Anstee QM, Fargion S, Valenti L. MBOAT7 rs641738 variant and hepatocellular carcinoma in non-cirrhotic individuals. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 4492 [PMID: 28674415 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-04991-0]
- Zain SM, Mohamed Z, Mohamed R. Common variant in the glucokinase regulatory gene rs780094 and risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015; 30: 21-27 [PMID: 25167786 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.127141
- Petta S, Miele L, Bugianesi E, Cammà C, Rosso C, Boccia S, Cabibi D, Di Marco V, Grimaudo S, Grieco A, Pipitone RM, Marchesini G, Craxì A. Glucokinase regulatory protein gene polymorphism affects liver fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. PLoS One 2014; 9: e87523 [PMID: 24498332 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0087523]
- Luukkonen PK, Zhou Y, Hyötyläinen T, Leivonen M, Arola J, Orho-Melander M, Orešič M, Yki-Järvinen H. The MBOAT7 variant rs641738 alters hepatic phosphatidylinositols and increases severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in humans. J Hepatol 2016; 65: 1263-1265 [PMID: 27520876 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.07.045]
- Petta S, Valenti L, Tuttolomondo A, Dongiovanni P, Pipitone RM, Cammà C, Cabibi D, Di Marco V, Fracanzani AL, Badiali S, Nobili V, Fargion S, Grimaudo S, Craxì A. Interferon lambda 4 rs368234815

- TT>6G variant is associated with liver damage in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2017; 66: 1885-1893 [PMID: 28741298 DOI: 10.1002/hep.29395]
- 15 Abul-Husn NS, Cheng X, Li AH, Xin Y, Schurmann C, Stevis P, Liu Y, Kozlitina J, Stender S, Wood GC, Stepanchick AN, Still MD, McCarthy S, O'Dushlaine C, Packer JS, Balasubramanian S, Gosalia N, Esopi D, Kim SY, Mukherjee S, Lopez AE, Fuller ED, Penn J, Chu X, Luo JZ, Mirshahi UL, Carey DJ, Still CD, Feldman MD, Small A, Damrauer SM, Rader DJ, Zambrowicz B, Olson W, Murphy AJ, Borecki IB, Shuldiner AR, Reid JG, Overton JD, Yancopoulos GD, Hobbs HH, Cohen JC, Gottesman O, Teslovich TM, Baras A, Mirshahi T, Gromada J, Dewey FE. A Protein-Truncating HSD17B13 Variant and Protection from Chronic Liver Disease. N Engl J Med 2018; 378: 1096-1106 [PMID: 29562163 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1712191]
- Luukkonen PK, Tukiainen T, Juuti A, Sammalkorpi H, Haridas PAN, Niemelä O, Arola J, Orho-Melander M, Hakkarainen A, Kovanen PT, Dwivedi O, Groop L, Hodson L, Gastaldelli A, Hyötyläinen T, Orešič M, Yki-Järvinen H. Hydroxysteroid 17-β dehydrogenase 13 variant increases phospholipids and protects against fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. JCI Insight 2020; 5 [PMID: 32161197 DOI: 10.1172/jci.insight.132158]
- 17 Zelber-Sagi S, Salomone F, Mlynarsky L. The Mediterranean dietary pattern as the diet of choice for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Evidence and plausible mechanisms. Liver Int 2017; 37: 936-949 [PMID: 28371239 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13435]
- Troyato FM, Martines GF, Brischetto D, Troyato G, Catalano D, Neglected features of lifestyle: Their relevance in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Hepatol 2016; 8: 1459-1465 [PMID: 27957244 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v8.i33.1459]
- Ryu S, Chang Y, Jung HS, Yun KE, Kwon MJ, Choi Y, Kim CW, Cho J, Suh BS, Cho YK, Chung EC, Shin ${\rm H,\,Kim\,YS.}$ Relationship of sitting time and physical activity with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. JHepatol 2015; 63: 1229-1237 [PMID: 26385766 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.07.010]
- Ye Q, Zou B, Yeo YH, Li J, Huang DQ, Wu Y, Yang H, Liu C, Kam LY, Tan XXE, Chien N, Trinh S, Henry L, Stave CD, Hosaka T, Cheung RC, Nguyen MH. Global prevalence, incidence, and outcomes of non-obese or lean non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 5: 739-752 [PMID: 32413340 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30077-7]
- WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet 2004; 363: 157-163 [PMID: 14726171 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15268-31
- Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J; IDF Epidemiology Task Force Consensus Group. The metabolic syndromea new worldwide definition. Lancet 2005; 366: 1059-1062 [PMID: 16182882 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67402-81
- Kim D, Kim WR. Nonobese Fatty Liver Disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; 15: 474-485 [PMID: 27581063 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2016.08.028]
- 24 Lear SA, Humphries KH, Kohli S, Chockalingam A, Frohlich JJ, Birmingham CL. Visceral adipose tissue accumulation differs according to ethnic background: results of the Multicultural Community Health Assessment Trial (M-CHAT). Am J Clin Nutr 2007; 86: 353-359 [PMID: 17684205 DOI: 10.1093/ajcn/86.2.353]
- Barreira TV, Broyles ST, Gupta AK, Katzmarzyk PT. Relationship of anthropometric indices to abdominal and total body fat in youth: sex and race differences. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2014; 22: 1345-1350 [PMID: 24493150 DOI: 10.1002/oby.20714]
- Ha Y, Seo N, Shim JH, Kim SY, Park JA, Han S, Kim KW, Yu E, Kim KM, Lim YS, Lee HC, Chung YH, Lee YS. Intimate association of visceral obesity with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in healthy Asians: A case-control study. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015; 30: 1666-1672 [PMID: 25974139 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.12996]
- Margariti A, Deutsch M, Manolakopoulos S, Tiniakos D, Papatheodoridis GV. The severity of histologic liver lesions is independent of body mass index in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Clin Gastroenterol 2013; 47: 280-286 [PMID: 23391869 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e31826be328]
- Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Negro F, Hallaji S, Younossi Y, Lam B, Srishord M. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in lean individuals in the United States. Medicine (Baltimore) 2012; 91: 319-327 [PMID: 23117851 DOI: 10.1097/MD.0b013e3182779d49]
- Golabi P, Paik J, Fukui N, Locklear CT, de Avilla L, Younossi ZM. Patients With Lean Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Are Metabolically Abnormal and Have a Higher Risk for Mortality. Clin Diabetes 2019; 37: 65-72 [PMID: 30705499 DOI: 10.2337/cd18-0026]
- Zou B, Yeo YH, Nguyen VH, Cheung R, Ingelsson E, Nguyen MH. Prevalence, characteristics and mortality outcomes of obese, nonobese and lean NAFLD in the United States, 1999-2016. J Intern Med 2020; 288: 139-151 [PMID: 32319718 DOI: 10.1111/joim.13069]
- Yoshitaka H, Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Fukuda T, Ohbora A, Fukui M. Nonoverweight nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and incident cardiovascular disease: A post hoc analysis of a cohort study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017; **96**: e6712 [PMID: 28471965 DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000006712]
- Fukuda T, Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Hashimoto Y, Ohbora A, Kato T, Nakamura N, Fukui M. The impact of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease on incident type 2 diabetes mellitus in non-overweight individuals. Liver Int 2016; 36: 275-283 [PMID: 26176710 DOI: 10.1111/liv.12912]
- Nishioji K, Sumida Y, Kamaguchi M, Mochizuki N, Kobayashi M, Nishimura T, Yamaguchi K, Itoh Y. Prevalence of and risk factors for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in a non-obese Japanese population, 2011-2012. J Gastroenterol 2015; 50: 95-108 [PMID: 24619537 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-014-0948-9]
- Kim SS, Cho HJ, Kim HJ, Kang DR, Berry JR, Kim JH, Yang MJ, Lim SG, Kim S, Cheong JY, Cho SW. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease as a sentinel marker for the development of diabetes mellitus in non-obese subjects. Dig Liver Dis 2018; 50: 370-377 [PMID: 29398414 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2017.12.018]
- Sinn DH, Kang D, Cho SJ, Paik SW, Guallar E, Cho J, Gwak GY. Lean non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and development of diabetes: a cohort study. Eur J Endocrinol 2019; 181: 185-192 [PMID: 31176297 DOI: 10.1530/EJE-19-0143]



- 36 Sung KC, Ryan MC, Wilson AM. The severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with increased cardiovascular risk in a large cohort of non-obese Asian subjects. Atherosclerosis 2009; 203: 581-586 [PMID: 18774133 DOI: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2008.07.024]
- 37 Kim S. Choi J. Kim M. Insulin resistance, inflammation, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in non-obese adults without metabolic syndrome components. Hepatol Int 2013; 7: 586-591 [PMID: 26201791 DOI: 10.1007/s12072-012-9412-1]
- Kwon YM, Oh SW, Hwang SS, Lee C, Kwon H, Chung GE. Association of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with components of metabolic syndrome according to body mass index in Korean adults. Am J Gastroenterol 2012; 107: 1852-1858 [PMID: 23032980 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2012.314]
- Feng RN, Du SS, Wang C, Li YC, Liu LY, Guo FC, Sun CH. Lean-non-alcoholic fatty liver disease increases risk for metabolic disorders in a normal weight Chinese population. World J Gastroenterol 2014; **20**: 17932-17940 [PMID: 25548491 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i47.17932]
- Lee SW, Lee TY, Yang SS, Tung CF, Yeh HZ, Chang CS. Risk factors and metabolic abnormality of patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Either non-obese or obese Chinese population. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2018; 17: 45-48 [PMID: 29428103 DOI: 10.1016/j.hbpd.2018.01.007]
- Zeng J, Yang RX, Sun C, Pan Q, Zhang RN, Chen GY, Hu Y, Fan JG. Prevalence, clinical characteristics, risk factors, and indicators for lean Chinese adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 1792-1804 [PMID: 32351294 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i15.1792]
- Yu XY, Zhao Y, Song XX, Song ZY. Association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and arterial stiffness in the non-obese, non-hypertensive, and non-diabetic young and middle-aged Chinese population. JZhejiang Univ Sci B 2014; **15**: 879-887 [PMID: 25294377 DOI: 10.1631/jzus.B1400028]
- Wang Z, Xu M, Hu Z, Shrestha UK. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and its metabolic risk factors in women of different ages and body mass index. Menopause 2015; 22: 667-673 [PMID: 25513983 DOI: 10.1097/GME.000000000000352]
- Kumar R, Rastogi A, Sharma MK, Bhatia V, Garg H, Bihari C, Sarin SK. Clinicopathological characteristics and metabolic profiles of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Indian patients with normal body $mass\ index:\ Do\ they\ differ\ from\ obese\ or\ overweight\ non-alcoholic\ fatty\ liver\ disease?\ Indian\ J\ Endocrinol$ Metab 2013; 17: 665-671 [PMID: 23961483 DOI: 10.4103/2230-8210.113758]
- Oral A, Sahin T, Turker F, Kocak E. Relationship Between Serum Uric Acid Levels and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Non-Obese Patients. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2019; **55** [PMID: 31533345 DOI: 10.3390/medicina55090600]
- Erkan G, Sayın I, Polat FB, Çorakçı A, Ataç GK, Değertekin H. The relationship between insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in non-obese non-diabetic Turkish individuals: A pilot study. Turk J Gastroenterol 2014; 25 Suppl 1: 63-68 [PMID: 25910371 DOI: 10.5152/tjg.2014.6233]
- Feldman A. Eder SK, Felder TK, Kedenko L, Paulweber B, Stadlmayr A, Huber-Schönauer U, Niederseer D, Stickel F, Auer S, Haschke-Becher E, Patsch W, Datz C, Aigner E. Clinical and Metabolic Characterization of Lean Caucasian Subjects With Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver. Am J Gastroenterol 2017; 112: 102-110 [PMID: 27527746 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2016.318]
- Gonzalez-Cantero J, Martin-Rodriguez JL, Gonzalez-Cantero A, Arrebola JP, Gonzalez-Calvin JL. Insulin resistance in lean and overweight non-diabetic Caucasian adults: Study of its relationship with liver triglyceride content, waist circumference and BMI. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0192663 [PMID: 29425212 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192663]
- Fracanzani AL, Petta S, Lombardi R, Pisano G, Russello M, Consonni D, Di Marco V, Cammà C, Mensi L, Dongiovanni P, Valenti L, Craxì A, Fargion S. Liver and Cardiovascular Damage in Patients With Lean Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, and Association With Visceral Obesity. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; **15**: 1604-1611.e1 [PMID: 28554682 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2017.04.045]
- Shao C, Ye J, Li F, Lin Y, Wu T, Wang W, Feng S, Zhong B. Early Predictors of Cardiovascular Disease Risk in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Non-obese Versus Obese Patients. Dig Dis Sci 2020; 65: 1850-1860 [PMID: 31724099 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-019-05926-7]
- Li H, Chen Y, Tian X, Hong Y, Chen C, Sharokh NK, Jiao J. Comparison of clinical characteristics between lean and obese nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the northeast Chinese population. Arch Med Sci Atheroscler Dis 2019; 4: e191-e195 [PMID: 31538123 DOI: 10.5114/amsad.2019.87122]
- Leung JC, Loong TC, Wei JL, Wong GL, Chan AW, Choi PC, Shu SS, Chim AM, Chan HL, Wong VW. Histological severity and clinical outcomes of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in nonobese patients. Hepatology 2017; 65: 54-64 [PMID: 27339817 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28697]
- Niriella MA, Kasturiratne A, Pathmeswaran A, De Silva ST, Perera KR, Subasinghe SKCE, Kodisinghe SK, Piyaratna TACL, Vithiya K, Dassanayaka AS, De Silva AP, Wickramasinghe AR, Takeuchi F, Kato N, de Silva HJ. Lean non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (lean NAFLD): characteristics, metabolic outcomes and risk factors from a 7-year prospective, community cohort study from Sri Lanka. Hepatol Int 2019; 13: 314-322 [PMID: 30539516 DOI: 10.1007/s12072-018-9916-4]
- Honda Y, Yoneda M, Kessoku T, Ogawa Y, Tomeno W, Imajo K, Mawatari H, Fujita K, Hyogo H, Ueno T, Chayama K, Saito S, Nakajima A, Hotta K. Characteristics of non-obese non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Effect of genetic and environmental factors. Hepatol Res 2016; 46: 1011-1018 [PMID: 26763865 DOI: 10.1111/hepr.12648]
- Wei JL, Leung JC, Loong TC, Wong GL, Yeung DK, Chan RS, Chan HL, Chim AM, Woo J, Chu WC, Wong VW. Prevalence and Severity of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Non-Obese Patients: A Population Study Using Proton-Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. Am J Gastroenterol 2015; 110: 1306-1314; guiz 1315 [PMID: 26215532 DOI: 10.1038/aig.2015.235]
- Alam S, Gupta UD, Alam M, Kabir J, Chowdhury ZR, Alam AK. Clinical, anthropometric, biochemical, and histological characteristics of nonobese nonalcoholic fatty liver disease patients of Bangladesh. Indian J Gastroenterol 2014; 33: 452-457 [PMID: 25023045 DOI: 10.1007/s12664-014-0488-5]
- Akyuz U, Yesil A, Yilmaz Y. Characterization of lean patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: potential role of high hemoglobin levels. Scand J Gastroenterol 2015; 50: 341-346 [PMID: 25540973 DOI: 10.3109/00365521.2014.983160]



- Cruz ACD, Bugianesi E, George J, Day CP, Liaquat H, Charatcharoenwitthaya P, Mills PR, Dam-Larsen S, Bjornsson ES, Haflidadottir S, Adams LA, Bendtsen F, Angulo P. 379 Characteristics and Long-Term Prognosis of Lean Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Gastroenterology 2014; 146: S-909 [DOI: 10.1016/S0016-5085(14)63307-2]
- Hagström H, Nasr P, Ekstedt M, Hammar U, Stål P, Hultcrantz R, Kechagias S. Risk for development of severe liver disease in lean patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A long-term follow-up study. Hepatol Commun 2018; 2: 48-57 [PMID: 29404512 DOI: 10.1002/hep4.1124]
- Ghaemi A, Hosseini N, Osati S, Naghizadeh MM, Dehghan A, Ehrampoush E, Honarvar B, Homayounfar R. Waist circumference is a mediator of dietary pattern in Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 4788 [PMID: 29555959 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-23192-x]
- Klopfenstein BJ, Kim MS, Krisky CM, Szumowski J, Rooney WD, Purnell JQ. Comparison of 3 T MRI and CT for the measurement of visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue in humans. Br J Radiol 2012; 85: e826e830 [PMID: 22514099 DOI: 10.1259/bjr/57987644]
- Sterling RK, Lissen E, Clumeck N, Sola R, Correa MC, Montaner J, S Sulkowski M, Torriani FJ, Dieterich DT, Thomas DL, Messinger D, Nelson M; APRICOT Clinical Investigators. Development of a simple noninvasive index to predict significant fibrosis in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. Hepatology 2006; 43: 1317-1325 [PMID: 16729309 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21178]
- Angulo P, Hui JM, Marchesini G, Bugianesi E, George J, Farrell GC, Enders F, Saksena S, Burt AD, Bida JP, Lindor K, Sanderson SO, Lenzi M, Adams LA, Kench J, Therneau TM, Dav CP, The NAFLD fibrosis score: a noninvasive system that identifies liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD. Hepatology 2007; 45: 846-854 [PMID: 17393509 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21496]
- European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD); European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO). EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J Hepatol 2016; 64: 1388-1402 [PMID: 27062661 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.11.004]
- Papatheodoridi M, Cholongitas E. Diagnosis of Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD): Current Concepts. Curr Pharm Des 2018; 24: 4574-4586 [PMID: 30652642 DOI: 10.2174/13816128256661901171021111
- Kim NH, Kim JH, Kim YJ, Yoo HJ, Kim HY, Seo JA, Kim NH, Choi KM, Baik SH, Choi DS, Kim SG. Clinical and metabolic factors associated with development and regression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in nonobese subjects. Liver Int 2014; 34: 604-611 [PMID: 24382309 DOI: 10.1111/liv.12454]
- Jin YJ, Kim KM, Hwang S, Lee SG, Ha TY, Song GW, Jung DH, Kim KH, Yu E, Shim JH, Lim YS, Lee HC, Chung YH, Lee Y, Suh DJ, Exercise and diet modification in non-obese non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: analysis of biopsies of living liver donors. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012; 27: 1341-1347 [PMID: 22554085 DOI: 10.1111/i.1440-1746.2012.07165.xl
- Hamurcu Varol P, Kaya E, Alphan E, Yilmaz Y. Role of intensive dietary and lifestyle interventions in the treatment of lean nonalcoholic fatty liver disease patients. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 32: 1352-1357 [PMID: 32092046 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000001656]
- Li C, Guo P, Okekunle AP, Ji X, Huang M, Qi J, Jiang Y, Feng R, Li R. Lean non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients had comparable total caloric, carbohydrate, protein, fat, iron, sleep duration and overtime work as obese non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 34: 256-262 [PMID: 29949199 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.14360]
- Yasutake K, Nakamuta M, Shima Y, Ohyama A, Masuda K, Haruta N, Fujino T, Aoyagi Y, Fukuizumi K, Yoshimoto T, Takemoto R, Miyahara T, Harada N, Hayata F, Nakashima M, Enjoji M. Nutritional investigation of non-obese patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: the significance of dietary cholesterol. Scand J Gastroenterol 2009; 44: 471-477 [PMID: 19058085 DOI: 10.1080/003655208025881331
- McPherson S, Hardy T, Henderson E, Burt AD, Day CP, Anstee QM. Evidence of NAFLD progression from steatosis to fibrosing-steatohepatitis using paired biopsies: implications for prognosis and clinical management. J Hepatol 2015; 62: 1148-1155 [PMID: 25477264 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.11.034]
- Pais R, Charlotte F, Fedchuk L, Bedossa P, Lebray P, Poynard T, Ratziu V; LIDO Study Group. A systematic review of follow-up biopsies reveals disease progression in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver. J Hepatol 2013; **59**: 550-556 [PMID: 23665288 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.04.027]
- Denkmayr L, Feldman A, Stechemesser L, Eder SK, Zandanell S, Schranz M, Strasser M, Huber-Schönauer U, Buch S, Hampe J, Paulweber B, Lackner C, Haufe H, Sotlar K, Datz C, Aigner E. Lean Patients with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Have a Severe Histological Phenotype Similar to Obese Patients. J Clin Med 2018; 7 [PMID: 30562976 DOI: 10.3390/jcm7120562]
- Chen F, Esmaili S, Rogers GB, Bugianesi E, Petta S, Marchesini G, Bayoumi A, Metwally M, Azardaryany MK, Coulter S, Choo JM, Younes R, Rosso C, Liddle C, Adams LA, Craxì A, George J, Eslam M. Lean NAFLD: A Distinct Entity Shaped by Differential Metabolic Adaptation. Hepatology 2020; 71: 1213-1227 [PMID: 31442319 DOI: 10.1002/hep.30908]
- 75 Taheri S, Lin L, Austin D, Young T, Mignot E. Short sleep duration is associated with reduced leptin, elevated ghrelin, and increased body mass index. PLoS Med 2004; 1: e62 [PMID: 15602591 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0010062]
- Wang H, Gu Y, Zheng L, Liu L, Meng G, Wu H, Xia Y, Bao X, Shi H, Sun S, Wang X, Zhou M, Jia Q, Song K, Zhang Q, Niu K. Association between bedtime and the prevalence of newly diagnosed non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in adults. Liver Int 2018; 38: 2277-2286 [PMID: 29851261 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13896]
- Wijarnpreecha K, Thongprayoon C, Panjawatanan P, Ungprasert P. Short sleep duration and risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 31: 1802-1807 [PMID: 27029776 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.13391]
- Enjoji M, Machida K, Kohjima M, Kato M, Kotoh K, Matsunaga K, Nakashima M, Nakamuta M. NPC1L1 inhibitor ezetimibe is a reliable therapeutic agent for non-obese patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Lipids Health Dis 2010; 9: 29 [PMID: 20222991 DOI: 10.1186/1476-511X-9-29]



- 79 **Mofidi F**, Poustchi H, Yari Z, Nourinayyer B, Merat S, Sharafkhah M, Malekzadeh R, Hekmatdoost A. Synbiotic supplementation in lean patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a pilot, randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. Br J Nutr 2017; 117: 662-668 [PMID: 28345499 DOI: 10.1017/S0007114517000204]
- Eslamparast T, Poustchi H, Zamani F, Sharafkhah M, Malekzadeh R, Hekmatdoost A. Synbiotic supplementation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. Am J Clin Nutr 2014; 99: 535-542 [PMID: 24401715 DOI: 10.3945/ajcn.113.068890]



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

