

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 59684

Title: Comparison of white-light endoscopy, optical-enhanced and acetic-acid magnifying endoscopy for detecting gastric intestinal metaplasia: A randomized trial

Reviewer's code: 03025524

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-07 14:27

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-13 01:53

Review time: 5 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is aimed to directly compare the diagnostic value of white-light endoscopy, acetic-acid chromoendoscopy combined with magnifying endoscopy, and optical-enhanced magnifying endoscopy for detection of gastric intestinal metaplasia. The title is "Comparison of optical-enhanced and acetic-acid magnifying endoscopy for detecting gastric intestinal metaplasia: A randomized trial". 1. Several factors influence the outcome of the study. Please discuss these. 2. Please review the literature and add more details in the discussion section. 3. What is the new knowledge from the study? 4. Finally, please recommend the readers "How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?".

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 59684

Title: Comparison of white-light endoscopy, optical-enhanced and acetic-acid magnifying endoscopy for detecting gastric intestinal metaplasia: A randomized trial

Reviewer's code: 02549032

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FEBG, MD

Professional title: Associate Specialist, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-07 11:14

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-16 10:08

Review time: 8 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comparative study of ME-OE v/s ME-AAC for GIM. The authors concluded that ME-OE is more efficacious for endoscopic evaluation of GIM a precancerous lesion. The article is very interesting for publication. Some issues for further clarification: 1.

The main finding that LBC sign under ME-OE is a proof of GIM is already known. However this study does not add any new finding to the already known. No method to differentiate the low to high-grade dysplasia in GIM is given. GIM is important however more important is the endoscopic evaluation and identification of GIM with dysplasia. Such information is not given here.