

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 60066

Title: Comparison of lag screws and double Y-shaped miniplates in fixation of anterior mandibular fractures

Reviewer's code: 03817551

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Egypt

Manuscript submission date: 2020-10-13

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-25 22:39

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-01 08:59

Review time: 5 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript described about two methodology in the anterior mandibular fracture fixation. It is a study that has taken proper clinical research procedures. However, detailed methodology is unclear. P7 line 16. What is pain intensity? Visual analog scale? Numerical rating scale? Please describe more detail. P8 line 5 As you described about the bone density by CBCT, it is completely misunderstanding. CBCT can not detect CT value. It is not bone density. This affects directly this article conclusion, please reconsider about that.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 60066

Title: Comparison of lag screws and double Y-shaped miniplates in fixation of anterior mandibular fractures

Reviewer's code: 03564374

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Chile

Author's Country/Territory: Egypt

Manuscript submission date: 2020-10-13

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-24 21:54

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-01 13:28

Review time: 6 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a simple, but interesting, well conducted study and the conclusions are of clinical application. I recommend specifying which statistical tests were used and reviewing and unifying the writing format of the bibliography. In addition to including in the article the code of acceptance of the committee of ethics