

Answering reviewers

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a meta-analysis of the clinical efficacy of telemedicine interventions on glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes. The topic is within the scope of the WJD. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors reported an interesting research. In the era of COVID-19, research on telemedicine for type 2 diabetes is very interesting; and

Author response: Thanks for your positive comments

(3) Format: There are 3 tables and 3 figures. A total of 97 references are cited, including 27 references published in the last 3 years. There are 2 self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate and PRISMA 2009 Checklist. The authors should provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement.

Response: The copyright licence agreement form is attached. We can only attach one Conflict of interest disclosure form. If it is needed, we can send all authors' COI forms to you by email

No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJD.

5 Issues raised: (1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions;

Response: Author contributions section is provided

(2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Response: This has been provided in the attached files.

(3) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;

Response: Pubmed numbers and DOI citation numbers are provided and revised.

(4) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text; and

Response: This has been added to the end of the main text.

(5) The reference’s number cited in the text should be put in the square brackets, then be superscript. 6 Re-Review: Required.

Response: This has been changed.

7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Response: Many thanks for the acceptance.