

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 61088

Title: PERIOPERATIVE RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH DELAYED GRAFT FUNCTION FOLLOWING DECEASED DONOR KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION: A RETROSPECTIVE, SINGLE CENTER STUDY

Reviewer's code: 05382551

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-23

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-31 00:32

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-31 08:26

Review time: 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors presents a study about the identification of modifiable risk factors associated with kidney transplantation (DDKT). For it, it was used logistic regression. The paper describes an interesting topic that is within the scope of the journal. It is well written. The designed experiment is original. It can be read fluently. It also has an adequate number of references. With regard to content, it presents results of significant impact assuming progress in this domain. It can be accepted in its current form.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 61088

Title: PERIOPERATIVE RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH DELAYED GRAFT FUNCTION FOLLOWING DECEASED DONOR KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION: A RETROSPECTIVE, SINGLE CENTER STUDY

Reviewer's code: 00503195

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-23

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-01 09:45

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-01 22:45

Review time: 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is interesting, and the authors evaluated many parameters using proper statistical tests. Also, the manuscript is well-written, and the discussion of the results rational and balanced. I have the following comments. 1. The number of patients is relatively small, which, for example, excludes the possibility of a separate analysis of total graft failure (11/294) versus death censored graft failure (2/294). Certainly, the number of patients is adequate for evaluating DGF since this occurred in 26.8% of them. However, the number of patients is inadequate for assessing graft failure since this happened in only 11(3.7%) of them. The authors should note this limitation. 2. Additionally, various non-evaluated factors during the one-year postoperative period, such as immunosuppressive levels, patient compliance, and others, may have affected graft survival. And we do not know whether these factors were distributed equally between the two groups. The authors should note this limitation too. 3. There are some type errors: a. "All patients received induction immunosuppression therapy with IV basiliximab (20mg, 2 doses), rabbit antithymocyte globulin (1mg/kg daily, 3 doses), and methylprednisolone (500mg, 3 doses)." Did the patients receive both basiliximab and rabbit anti-thymocyte globulin? b. In table 2, there are two "Baseline MAP<100 mmHg, n%" rows, and only one is correct.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 61088

Title: PERIOPERATIVE RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH DELAYED GRAFT FUNCTION FOLLOWING DECEASED DONOR KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION: A RETROSPECTIVE, SINGLE CENTER STUDY

Reviewer's code: 00504341

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: France

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-23

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-01 15:02

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-04 08:58

Review time: 2 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nicholas V. Mendez et al, in his analysis "PERIOPERATIVE RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH DELAYED GRAFT FUNCTION FOLLOWING DECEASED DONOR KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION: A RETROSPECTIVE, SINGLE CENTER STUDY", detail their observations on this topic, previously mentioned in their poster at the Meeting of the Florida Society of Anesthesiologists in 2020 (<https://www.fsahq.org/2020-fsa-posters/>). This important topic of significant interest in the literature has evidently intensified in the last decade with the acceptance of expanded donors. Publications in 2020, as we have already highlighted, have intensified these studies (Rao Chen, Haifeng Wang, Lei Song, Jianfei Hou, Jiawei Peng, Helong Dai & Longkai Peng Predictors and one-year outcomes of patients with delayed graft function after deceased donor kidney transplantation. BMC Nephrol 21, 526 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-020-02181-1> ; Huanxi Zhang, Qian Fu, Jinqi Liu, Jun Li, Ronghai Deng, Chenglin Wu, show all. Risk factors and outcomes of prolonged recovery from delayed graft function after deceased kidney transplantation. Renal Failure Volume 42, 2020 - Issue 1: 792-798 |) The conclusions in this regard mentioned by the authors in their manuscript: "We delineate the association between DGF and recipient characteristics of pre-induction MAP below 110mmHG, metabolic syndrome, donor-specific risk factors, HMP pump parameters, and intraoperative use of phenylephrine". They do not show significant originality with respect to the multiple literature in this regard. Regarding the mention highlighted by the authors "A novel finding of this study is the identification of pre-induction MAP <110mmHg as an independent risk factor for the development of DGF; In fact, it is difficult for us not to link it with what was stated by M. G. J. Snoeijs: Their study (cited in the authors manuscript references) indicates that



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

recipient hemodynamic during transplant surgery are major predictors of PNF". "The author concludes that the effect of the recipients' hemodynamic status on NHBD kidney transplantations is statistically significant predictors of PNF were average central venous pressure (CVP), average systolic blood pressure below 110 mmHg) and pre-operative diastolic blood pressure below 80 mmHg "...). ("Recipient Hemodynamic During Non - Heart - Beating Donor Kidney Transplantation Are Major Predictors of Primary Non-function. American Journal of Transplantation 2007; Volume7, Issue5: 1158-1166) Although the study by Mendes et al, is very correctly carried out, it does not reveal new elements of interest that might contribute to the current knowledge of this important current topic

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 61088

Title: PERIOPERATIVE RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH DELAYED GRAFT FUNCTION FOLLOWING DECEASED DONOR KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION: A RETROSPECTIVE, SINGLE CENTER STUDY

Reviewer's code: 05382551

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-23

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-08 07:45

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-08 07:49

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper can be accepted in current form