

Replies to reviewers:

Reviewer #1:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------|-------|-----------------------|
| Scientific | Quality: | Grade | A | (Excellent) |
| Language | Quality: | Grade | A | (Priority publishing) |
| Conclusion: | | Accept | (High | priority) |

Specific Comments to Authors: This manuscript was written in order to get data of adequate 3rd line treatment for well differentiated small bowel neuroendocrine tumors. Effective method of online survey was used. The survey results showed that Everolimus was the most frequently used treatment. Most important factor for decision making are Ki67, rate of progression, functionality. These data can be utilized by oncologist with high value.

Reply: we thank reviewers for this positive feedback, no changes to manuscript required

Replies to Editorial Office:

Science editor:

(...) 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors need to provide the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement, and the Institutional Review Board Approval Form.

Reply: statistical analysis has not been performed so that document is not applicable, rest of requested documents are provided

The authors need to fill out the STROBE checklist with page numbers.

Reply: this is now provided

I found no "Author contribution" section. Please provide the author contributions;

Reply: this has been added

I found no "Core tip" section. Please provide the core tip;

Reply: this was provided in the online system, now added to manuscript also

Please write the "Conclusion" section at the end of the main text.

Reply: this is now available

I found the authors did not write the "article highlight" section. Please write the "article highlights" section at the end of the main text;

Reply: this is now provided in the online system

I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

Reply: grant numbers are not applicable for the support provided

I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Reply: these are now provided

I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references

Reply: format of references has been amended

(2) Editorial office director: No comments provided

Reply: n/a

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Reply: n/a