

Dear editors and reviewer,

Thank you for spending your time reviewing the manuscript as providing us your feedback. Here, we present point-by-point responses to your comments:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This is an interesting very well written comprehensive review on HEV infection in organ transplant patients. All sections are well written and explained. The limitation section is very good and it opens ideas for future researches in the field.

A: Thank you for your comment. We are extremely delighted that you like our article. We really hope that this research would serve as a pilot studies for future observational studies evaluating the impact of HEV in immunocompromised hosts such as transplant patients in the future.

(1) Science editor:

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a meta-analysis of the hepatitis E virus infection in solid organ transplants. The topic is within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This is an interesting very well written comprehensive review on HEV infection in organ transplant patients. All sections are well written and explained. The limitation section is very good and it opens ideas for future researches in the field; and (3) Format: There are 2 tables and 3 figures. A total of 33 references are cited, including 9 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations.

A: All informations regarding the contents of the manuscript is accurate except the number of references are now 47 (this is after we update the references cited in Table 1).

2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A.

A: Thank you editor for your comment.

3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, and the PRISMA 2009 Checklist. The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search.

A: We have attached the PDF files for both COI Disclosure and Copyright License Agreement along with this re-submission.

4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJG. The corresponding author has 5 published articles in the BPG.

A: All informations are correct.

5 Issues raised: I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

A: We have provided the original figure files (.PPTX) along with this submission.

6 Re-Review: Not required.

A: Thank you.

7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.

A: Thank you. We really appreciate your kindness by conditionally accepted our manuscript.

(2) Editorial office director:

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

A: Thank you for your time. We have provided the original figures and tables, and Audio Core Tip along with this submission.

Sincerely,

Authors