



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 62342

Title: Identifying who best tolerates moderate sedation: Results from a National Database of Gastrointestinal Endoscopic outcomes

Reviewer’s code: 05430706

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Japan

Author’s Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-08 15:09

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-13 06:44

Review time: 4 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First I would like to thank the author for the opportunity of reading such an interesting paper.

1. General comments The manuscript deals with an important topic to assess patient and procedural factors associated with lower sedation requirements for endoscopy. This study had a large sample size and these findings suggest factors predictive of tolerance with lower sedation requirements for EGDs. However, I have some concerns that should be addressed regarding methodology, and interpretation of results.

2. Specific comments a) Minor Materials and methods Although missing values were demonstrated in the limitation of the discussion section, if there were missing values, it is recommended to explain how to handle them in the methods section.

Discussion The authors should mention that causal reversal, that is, the factors identified may have lower EGD sedation requirements, rather than predicting resistance to low EGD sedation requirements.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 62342

Title: Identifying who best tolerates moderate sedation: Results from a National Database of Gastrointestinal Endoscopic outcomes

Reviewer's code: 05430706

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-06

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-22 11:36

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-22 11:58

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

The responses to the comments were well-addressed and the manuscript was improved by revision.