

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 62579

Title: Abdominal compartment syndrome among surgical patients

Reviewer's code: 03317191

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Emeritus Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-14 11:27

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-14 12:12

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The subject of this review is wellcome not only for intensive care physicians but for every one since this kind of complication may occur in any field of medical care
The algorithm is very useful for the diagnosis and treatment of these patients

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 62579

Title: Abdominal compartment syndrome among surgical patients

Reviewer's code: 05462798

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-13 06:25

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-16 14:26

Review time: 3 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No conflict of interest or suspicion of duplicate publication, fabrication of data or plagiarism was reported. Perioperative abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) which is associated with increased morbidity and mortality in patients ARE underdiagnosed. Further education is imperative in managing this condition. The authors of this review have done a great job about the details about ACS, especially in its diagnosis and treatment. But there is still some questions about the manuscript. 1. The title of this manuscript is “Abdominal compartment syndrome among surgical patients”, but the main point can’t be focused on this syndrome among surgical patients. 2. The abstract summarized the work described in the manuscript, but it didn’t highlight things about surgical patients. 3. This review should cite much more the latest, important and authoritative references. No author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references were found. Notwithstanding with these minor questions, my opinion is that the manuscript should be accepted.