

Dear editor,

Thank you for your kind letter of "World Journal of Transplantation Manuscript NO: 62634 – Notification on manuscript revision" on January 25, 2021. We revised the manuscript in accordance with the editor and reviewers' comments, and carefully proofed the manuscript to minimize typographical, grammatical, and bibliographical errors. Here below is our description on revision according to the reviewers' comments.

Reviewer#02446061

Q: This editorial is adequate. Just a pair of comments for considering: - "Compared with the number of published articles in 2019 (14 total), the number of published articles was higher by 23 in 2020 (37/14), equating to a 264.29% increase." It could be (37 vs 14) or (14==>37) - (1) "The Editorial Board members submitted few manuscripts, and this number needs to increase in 2021." This is an exposition of an internal conflict. Please consider if is a right strategy to invite your editorial board members to publish on WJT, or edit it.

A: I am very grateful to your comments for the manuscript. According with your advice, we amended the relevant part in manuscript. I have revised "(37/14)" to "(37 vs 14)". Regarding the sentence of "The Editorial Board members submitted few manuscripts, and this number needs to increase in 2021". WJT usually invite the Editorial Board members to contribute manuscripts to the journal every year. The manuscripts from Editorial Board members will undergo the same peer-review process with the unsolicited manuscript. Therefore, this is not an exposition of internal conflict. Thank you so much.

Reviewer#00051373

Q: Well editorial written and manuscript preparation.

A: Thanks for your comments.

Reviewer#02446177

Q: This is a well written and appropriate editorial about the status and development of the journal WJT.

A: Thanks for your comments.

Reviewer# 00054993

Q: This Editorial describes in much detail the accomplishments, bibliometrics and expectations for future advances of the WJT on the occasion of the 10. anniversary of the foundation of the journal. What might be added is the description of the journal's Impact Factor (IF) and its progress over time and a comparison of the IF with other leading journals indexed in subspecialties of solid organ transplantation and surgery. The major manuscript type published in this journal are reviews and minireviews in about two thirds (63% and 6%). The attractiveness of the WJT should be enhanced for original clinical research. Table 2 mentioned in the text could not be found; this needs attention.

A: Thank you for your suggestions. *WJT* is currently no impact factor (IF). Therefore, we did not compare the IF with other leading journals indexed in subspecialties of solid organ transplantation and surgery. Thanks for your understanding. We will invite more original articles in 2021. The missing Table 2 has been added into the revised manuscript.

We acknowledge the reviewers' comments and suggestions very much, which are valuable in improving the quality of our manuscript.