

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 63510

Title: Laboratory hematologic features of COVID-19 associated liver injury: a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 05450115

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MM

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-28

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-29 22:42

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-31 23:25

Review time: 2 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Title reflects the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript. 2. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. 3. The key words reflects the focus of the manuscript. 4. The manuscript did not adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study. 5. Methods of this manuscript was not rigorous because all studies included were retrospective, and inclusion criteria for study groups was variable. 6. Results are not accurate or convincing. 7. The discussion section is of little value because of less rigorous methods and designs. 8. Biostatistics. The manuscript meets the requirements of biostatistics. 9. Units. The manuscript meets the requirements of use of SI units. 10. References. The manuscript did not cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. 11. The manuscript did not well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. there were many grammar errors.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 63510

Title: Laboratory hematologic features of COVID-19 associated liver injury: a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 06046656

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-28

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-06 15:41

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-06 17:49

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Excellent [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Very good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: Good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Fair [<input type="radio"/>] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Priority publishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Minor language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Accept (High priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Accept (General priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Minor revision [<input type="radio"/>] Major revision [<input type="radio"/>] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Considering the justifications presented by the authors in the document “Answering Reviewers revision” as well as observing the other additional documents provided, I understand that the essential questions have been answered and the important improvements requested by the reviewers have been met. In my opinion, I consider that the manuscript meets the criteria for accepting new manuscripts.