**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Methodology*

**Manuscript NO:** 64394

**Manuscript Type:** MINIREVIEWS

**One-day seminar for residents for implementing abdominal pocket-sized ultrasound**

Naganuma H *et al*. Pocket-sized US training

Hiroko Naganuma, Hideaki Ishida

**Hiroko Naganuma,** Department of Gastroenterology, Yokote Municipal Hospital, Yokote 0138602, Akita, Japan

**Hideaki Ishida,** Department of Gastroenterology, Akita Red Cross Hospital, Akita-City 010-1495, Japan

**Author contributions:** Naganuma H and Ishida H collected the data and wrote the paper.

**Corresponding author: Hiroko Naganuma, MD, PhD, Doctor,** Department of Gastroenterology, Yokote Municipal Hospital, 5-31 Negishi-cho, Yokote 0138602, Akita, Japan. hiron@vesta.ocn.ne.jp

**Received:** February 27, 2021

**Revised:** April 10, 2021

**Accepted:** June 1, 2021

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

Despite its proven high utility, integration of pocked-sized portable ultrasound (US) into internal medicine residency training remains inconsistent. For 10 years, we have held a 1-d seminar biannually, consisting of lecture (half-day) and hands-on training (half-day) on pocket-sized US of the abdomen and lungs. The lecture consists of training on US physics and clinical applications of pocket-sized US, followed by a lecture covering the basic anatomy of the abdomen and lungs and introducing the systemic scanning method. Given the simple structure of pocket-sized US devices, understanding the basic physics is sufficient yet necessary to operate the pocket-sized US device. It is important to understand the selection of probes, adjustment of B mode gain, adjustment of color gain, and acoustic impedance. Basic comprehension may have a significant positive impact on the overall utilization of pocket-sized US devices. The easiest and most reliable way to observe the whole abdomen and lungs is a combination of transverse, sagittal, and oblique scanning, pursuing the main vascular system from the center to the periphery of the organ in the abdomen and systemic scanning of the pleura. There is usually a marked change in knowledge and attitudes among the program participants, although skill gaps remain among them. We discuss the limitations and problems to this education system as well.

**Key Words:** Pocket-sized ultrasound; Abdomen; Lung; Medical education; Resident; Ultrasound physics

Naganuma H, Ishida H. One-day seminar for residents for implementing abdominal pocket-sized ultrasound. *World J Methodol* 2021; In press

**Core Tip:** Despite its high utility, there is no standardized method to integrate pocked-sized ultrasound (US) into daily clinical settings. We present here our 1-d seminar for residents that consists of lecture and hands-on training on pocket-sized US. The lecture consists of training on US physics and clinical applications of pocket-sized US, covering basic anatomy, and introducing the systemic scanning method. Understanding of some basic physics is necessary to operate the pocket-sized US device. Although the residents’ skill gaps remain, the seminar yields a marked change in knowledge and attitude towards pocket-sized US.

**INTRODUCTION**

As the worldwide population rapidly ages, a concurrent rapid increase in the global financial healthcare burden has been observed[1]. The resources available for daily clinical practice are generally limited. Thus, simple, effective, and realistic systemic methods in patient care and medical education are urgently required. Actual clinical scenarios are varied, complex, and require a prompt response. Thus, the traditional medical approaches (inspection, palpation, auscultation, or other components of the physical examination and laboratory data analysis) cannot immediately answer the questions raised during clinical practice[2,3]. Addition of a “scientific eye” in the hand is expected to resolve such problems to a certain degree.

Recent advances in ultrasound (US) technology have made US instruments continuously smaller[4]. Among all of the medical imaging tools, the US device is the only instrument to be miniaturized. In the past, US examination was performed by radiologists using a bulky, expensive, and specialized machine with sophisticated functions in a specialized room[5]. US is currently utilized as an important adjunct to physical examination in a wide range of clinical situations due to the development of small-sized devices[6]. Portable US devices were first used in cardiology[7,8] then in emergent medicine[9,10]. A comparative study between fifth-year medical students using US and cardiologists not using US showed that the former achieved a correct diagnosis 75% of the time, while the latter achieved a correct diagnosis 49% of the time, indicating the importance of visual information in the diagnosis[8]. It also suggests that practitioners unexperienced with US can obtain adequate proficiency with minimal training.

The benefits of portable US are that it is widely available, and carries no risk of radiation exposure. However, an important disadvantage to using this tool is that its diagnostic accuracy is highly operator-dependent, and errors occur during image acquisition and image interpretation (like in traditional US examination)[4]. Furthermore, there is no standardized technique among examiners for observing the abdomen by portable US[11].However, the benefits of portable US must be emphasized against such inconveniences, and US education is important to extend the benefit of portable US devices. Recent studies have reported favorable results of US education for medical students[12-14]. Though in our opinion, US education for new residents are more meaningful than for medical students. At the residency level, there is a deeper understanding of balancing portable US results and patient characteristics to establish clinical decision making. This background motivated us to begin a portable US education seminar intended for new internal medicine residents.

In this review, we present our US education 1-d seminar for new (post graduate year-1 or -2) internal medicine residents that focuses on the use of pocket-sized US on the abdomen and lungs. The goal of the seminar is for residents to have the confidence to integrate pocket-sized US results into their clinical decision making.

**THE POCKET-SIZED US EDUCATION SEMINAR**

The use of portable US devices has recently been adopted more frequently in clinical settings, and the market is flourishing[15]. Portable US devices have passed through three generations: Laptop-associated devices (personal computer-sized machine coupled with multiple applications), hand-carried devices (book-sized machine carried by hand), and hand-held devices (portable and able to fit in the pocket of a clinician’s white coat, thus referred to as pocket-sized US). Each US company has different built-in applications, such as Doppler display, puncture guidance, and distance or volume measurement[16].

Pocket-sized US devices are usually used by unexperienced non-radiologist general clinicians under the name of “US stethoscope”[4] to complement clinical examination and provide immediate visual correlates of clinical findings. The concept of a US stethoscope is expanding worldwide, but like high-end US machines, pocket-sized US devices are effective in the hands of experienced examiners. Furthermore, comparative studies between pocket-sized US and high-end US have stressed some important points: the image quality of pocket-sized US is slightly inferior to high-end US but still satisfactory for clinical use[17,18]. US measurement by pocket-sized US devices is feasible, and acoustic power of the US machine strongly affects the penetration and resolution of the US image [mechanical index: 0.9-1.0 (pocket-sized US) *vs* 1.8 (high-end US)]. Pocket-sized US devices will not replace a high-end US machine and the need for detailed US examination by experienced radiologists will always be present, but there is value in the pocket-sized US examination.

Since 2010, we have biannually hosted a 1-d seminar consisting of lecture (half-day) and hands-on training (half-day) on portable US devices to increase the number of portable US operators and to develop the examination skills among new residents. For this hands-on training, we use pocket-sized US devices because of their portability and affordability (the most important characteristic of portable US devices when thinking of expected future applications). The aim of this seminar is to familiarize residents with pocket-sized US devices but not to immediately increase their US capability.

In the lecture, we begin with a simple lecture about fundamental US physics to optimize pocket-sized US application and to minimize errors in device manipulation and US image interpretation. The next lecture covers the basic anatomy of the abdomen and lungs as well as introducing systemic scanning procedures (Figure 1). In the lecture, we present both high-end US images and pocked-sized US images simultaneously in order to compare these images. This comparison is necessary to become familiar with the slightly inferior image quality of pocket-sized US (Figure 2). In the remaining time, we present a few model cases where pocked-sized US information helped create a patient care strategy followed briefly by a lecture on built-in applications including color Doppler US.

**HALF-DAY LECTURE**

***Mini lecture on simple US physics and instrumentation***

Compared with sophisticated and complex high-end applications, such as contrast-enhanced US and shear wave elastography, the pocket-sized US device has a simple structure. Understanding basic physics is sufficient but necessary to begin to operate the pocket-sized US device. During this lecture, we stress four basic points of US physics needed to prevent device manipulation errors[19]: Selection of probes (high-frequency linear probe for superficial areas, such as the gastrointestinal tract and pleura or conventional sector (convex) probe for deep areas, such as liver and abdominal vessels), adjustment of B mode gain, adjustment of color gain, and problems related with acoustic impedance/the reason why US detection of stones (biliary, renal, and others) and fluid collection (ascites, pleural effusion, and others) is highly sensitive. This basic comprehension has a significant positive impact on the utilization of pocket-sized US devices.

**Probe selection:** With the transducer, the US beams are steered at varying angles from one side to the other to produce a sector format. This format permits a large, deep field of view, but its near field focus is reduced. Therefore, this format is unsuitable for observing superficial areas. The linear probe activates a group of elements to generate perpendicular US beams, which provide a high resolution in the near field[20]. As a result, probe selection is dependent on the type of organ to be observed (Figure 3).

**Adjustment of B mode gain:** The echo signal amplitudes are usually compressed in order to be accommodated by the display. This accommodation serves to visualize a wide range of echo signals on the display but reduces the real differences in the echo signal amplitude. As a result, when B mode gain is too low or too high, we cannot recognize small differences in echogenicity (echo signal amplitude). Thus, B mode gain should be adjusted in order to not overlook the abnormality (Figure 4).

**Adjustment of color gain:** In color Doppler US, flow velocity in each point is indicated by color brightness: the higher the velocity, the brighter the color. Color Doppler gain is the receiver end amplification of the Doppler signal. The Doppler gain is usually increased to the maximum limit just before the background noise is seen. If color Doppler gain is too high, then the field of view is filled with noise. If it is too low, then useful Doppler data is not seen on the display. Thus, color Doppler gain must be properly adjusted to gain useful hemodynamic information (Figure 5).

**US physics related to stones and fluid:** Acoustic impedance is an important property of tissues. It is defined as the tissue density multiplied by its propagation velocity of sound[21]. Acoustic impedance changes according to the tissue (*e.g.*, water: 1.48 × 106 kg/m2/s, liver: 1.65 × 106 kg/m2/s, bone: 7.80 × 106 kg/m2/s)[21]. When passing through two tissues of different acoustic impedance, some portion of the US is reflected. Its reflection degree depends on the difference in acoustic impedance between two tissues. The reflection of the US is larger between water (fluid collection) and soft tissue than between two soft tissues, leading to the fact that fluid collection is clearly margined and easily detected[22]. The reflection of the US is larger between soft tissue and stone. Almost all the US is reflected at the stone surface. Therefore, stones are easily and correctly detected by US[23] (Figure 6).

***Mini lecture on fundamental scanning methods***

For detailed US diagnosis, the examiner is expected to make a differential diagnosis of a wide spectrum of diseases. Meticulous scanning techniques are required for this purpose. However, because most participants do not have sufficient prior knowledge of US examination, our initial effort is focused on understanding the global normal anatomy. The combination of transverse, sagittal, and oblique (intercostal) scanning (Figures 7 and 8) permits the efficient observation of the abdominal organs. The most reliable way to observe each organ (liver, pancreas, spleen, and kidneys) is to pursue the main vascular system (landmark) from the center to the periphery of the organ. After the training in each organ, the parenchymal echostructures around the vessel are presented. Recognition of these landscapes serves to detect abnormal lesions. Use of the high-frequency linear probe permits the observation of the pleura (Figure 9). The hands-on training following this short lecture reinforces the anatomical knowledge of the abdomen/Lungs and the technical skills (transducer handling, portable US device manipulation, and confidence in abdominal observation by pocket-sized US).

***Presentation of model cases***

Then, we present several clinical cases where portable US was useful for confirming a wide spectrum of clinical applications.

**Case 1:** A 35-year-old female with known repetitive gastric ulcers presented with abdominal pain and nausea visited our outpatient clinic (Gastroenterology). Initially, the clinician may suspect a recurrence of the gastric ulcers. Though the patient denied the possibility of pregnancy, the use of a pocket-sized US device confirmed the pregnancy. The proper use of the pocket-sized US device led to reduced ionizing radiation exposure and allowed to the transfer of the patient to the gynecology section (Figure 10).

**Case 2:** A 72-year-old female with severe abdominal pain visited our emergency department. The pain was so severe that she could not move from the ambulance. A pocket-sized US device revealed an impacted gallbladder stone. The pain worsened upon probe compression of the gallbladder, which led to the diagnosis of acute stone-impact-induced cholecystitis. The patient was immediately treated. The pocket-sized US device significantly reduced the time to diagnosis (Figure 11).

**Case 3:** A 41-year-old female was admitted to our hospital with a chief complaint of hepatic dysfunction. She was almost asymptomatic. A pocket-sized US device was used as part of the physical examination during rounds. The US revealed a small amount of ascites around the liver, and her gallbladder collapsed. Biochemical examination was immediately ordered and showed markedly elevated transaminases and coagulopathy. She was diagnosed with severe acute hepatitis, and energic treatment began immediately (Figure 12).

Although these US examinations were successfully performed by skillful pocket-sized US practitioners, the program participants can image how to utilize a pocket-sized US device in their own clinical setting[22-24].

***Built-in applications in pocket-sized US devices***

Each US company has different built-in applications, such as a Doppler display (Figures 2 and 5), embedded in almost all devices. Puncture guidance is embedded in about half of all devices[25], and distance or volume measurement function is embedded in a small number of devices (Figure 13)[16,26]. Biplane imaging is embedded in a limited number of devices[27], and wireless function is embedded in a small number of devices (Figure 14).

**HALF-DAY HANDS-ON TRAINING**

Following the half-day lecture, the participants are divided into five or six groups (4-5 participants/group). Each participant receives hands-on training for 30 min under the supervision of experienced US physicians (5 min each for liver, biliary system, pancreas, vascular system, digestive tract, and lungs) with both a high-end machine and a pocked-sized US device for comparison. The abdomen is generally observed by a medium frequency transducer (Figure 8), and the pleura is observed by a high-frequency linear probe with a focus on pleura (Figure 9)[28-32]. All instructors are certified as registered Senior Medical Sonographers and fellowship-obtained highly diagnostic doctors of the Japan Society of Ultrasonics in Medicine. The participants are required to register in advance because the numbers of instructors and US machines are limited.

After the seminar, participants answer a questionnaire to determine whether: (1) The 1-d seminar was useful; (2) The lecture on basic US physics helped in understanding pocket-sized US application; (3) Their general attitude toward the clinical utility of US and pocket-sized US improved; (4) Presentation of clinical cases was meaningful; and (5) They feel that their skill increased after the hand-on training. Thus far, the responses have been unanimously the same: stressing the efficacy of the seminar, especially the lecture on US physics and case presentation, but that their skill gap remains. We have performed one year later a post-seminar questionnaire to the participants, to determine whether (1) Their general attitude towards the clinical utility of pocket-sized US has changed; (2) They perform pocket-sized US in daily practice; and (3) If not, what is the most important barrier. The responses have unanimously stressed that their understanding of the utility of pocket-sized US continue after the seminar. However, no participants use pocket-sized US device in daily practice despite their desire to perform it. The most important barrier is the cost of device (about 8000-10000 US dollars in Japan).

**USE OF POCKET-SIZED US DEVICES IN CLINCAL SETTINGS**

There are a wide range of possible applications for pocket-sized US devices in offering advanced diagnostic capability to benefit patient care in the clinical setting. They include the following situations.

***Emergency***

Due to recent advances in US technology and informatics, wireless probes are now a reality (Figure 14). Remote telesonography has the potential to improve the quality of patient care. Pocket-sized US devices are particularly important in situations where the time of examination is urgent (emergency room, intensive care) or the location favors the use of pocket-sized devices (remote locations)[33,34]. More advanced technologies will guarantee rapid transfer of US information to hospitals to provide the best medical care. Becoming wireless is a meaningful function[35]. Furthermore, remote telesonography will improve the quality of US applications in underserved communities[36]. Lesser trained examiners will be able to obtain and interpret US images that impact patient care immediately[37].

***Outpatient clinics***

Clinicians at outpatient clinics see a range of abdominal problems. The differential diagnosis includes digestive tract, hepatobiliary and pancreatic diseases, and gynecological and urogenital diseases. Portable US provides clinically significant visual information that is not obtainable by physical examination and helps decrease the diagnosis time[38,39].

***Inpatient care***

The biggest advantage of pocket-sized US devices is the time savings (booting time, transfer, bedside positioning). The most useful function of portable US is the global estimation of fluid volume (ascites, pleural effusion, pericardial effusion) under drug therapy[4]. Pocket-sized US may be repeated due to clinical need but is typically performed for monitoring physiologic or pathologic changes of admitted patients[40]. In addition, US-guided procedures provide safety to a wide variety of punctures (ascites, bile duct, abscess) (Figure 13).

Decontamination assessment must never be compromised. Some recent studies have shown that pocket-sized US performed efficiently as a tool for screening a variety of diseases[16,20,29,30,34,41]. The pocket-sized US devices were also used successfully by other healthcare providers, such as nurses and physical therapists. Additionally, pocket-sized US may be feasible for guiding aspiration needles for the drainage of abscesses, ascites aspiration, *etc*.

***Family medicine***

The compact size of the pocket-sized US device makes it possible to carry in a doctor bag when visiting patients[4,42,43]. The clinicians feel confident using the pocket-sized US when caring for patients in limited medical conditions[44]. Physicians proficient in its use can quickly answer specific questions at the bedside[45].

**CONCLUSION**

In this review, we have presented our 1-d method for implementation of pocket-sized US into the clinical setting. The biannual nature of the seminar is insufficient for completely integrating the pocket-sized US device into frequent clinical use. However, this method contributes to new residents gaining confidence in using the pocket-sized US despite the skill gap remaining. However, there are many limitations. There are a small number of US experts who can correctly and efficiently teach US physics and abdominal anatomy to new doctors and supervise their hands-on training. The ”teach-the-teacher” system is important for training new US practitioners[46,47]. Costs related to US equipment may present additional (and the most important) obstacles to develop and continue pocket-sized US training programs. We recommend that all the US leaders and experts find the means to integrate pocket- sized US into clinical setting through the training of new clinicians.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Nie P**, Li Y, Zhang N, Sun X, Xin B, Wang Y. The change and correlates of healthy ageing among Chinese older adults: findings from the China health and retirement longitudinal study. *BMC Geriatr* 2021; **21**: 78 [PMID: 33499807 DOI: 10.1186/s12877-021-02026-y]

2 **Hagen-Ansert SL.** Introduction to physical findings, physiology, and laboratory data. In: Hagen-Ansert SL. Textbook of diagnostic sonography. St. Louis: Elsevier-Mosby, 2006: 21-35

3 **Hagen-Ansert SL.** Introduction to abdominal scanning: technique and protocols. In: Hagen-Ansert SL. Textbook of diagnostic sonography. St. Louis: Elsevier-Mosby, 2006: 132-164

4 **European Society of Radiology (ESR).** ESR statement on portable ultrasound devices. *Insights Imaging* 2019; **10**: 89 [PMID: 31529229 DOI: 10.1186/s13244-019-0775-x]

5 **Weil FS.** Examination methods and positioning. In: Weil FS. Ultrasound diagnosis of digestive diseases. Berlin: Springer-Cerlag, 1990: 37-42

6 **Stengel D**, Rademacher G, Ekkernkamp A, Güthoff C, Mutze S. Emergency ultrasound-based algorithms for diagnosing blunt abdominal trauma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015: CD004446 [PMID: 26368505 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004446.pub4]

7 **Galusko V**, Khanji MY, Bodger O, Weston C, Chambers J, Ionescu A. Hand-held Ultrasound Scanners in Medical Education: A Systematic Review. *J Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2017; **25**: 75-83 [PMID: 29093769 DOI: 10.4250/jcu.2017.25.3.75]

8 **Kobal SL**, Trento L, Baharami S, Tolstrup K, Naqvi TZ, Cercek B, Neuman Y, Mirocha J, Kar S, Forrester JS, Siegel RJ. Comparison of effectiveness of hand-carried ultrasound to bedside cardiovascular physical examination. *Am J Cardiol* 2005; **96**: 1002-1006 [PMID: 16188532 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.05.060]

9 **Paddock MT**, Bailitz J, Horowitz R, Khishfe B, Cosby K, Sergel MJ. Disaster response team FAST skills training with a portable ultrasound simulator compared to traditional training: pilot study. *West J Emerg Med* 2015; **16**: 325-330 [PMID: 25834682 DOI: 10.5811/westjem.2015.1.23720]

10 **Stengel D**, Bauwens K, Rademacher G, Ekkernkamp A, Güthoff C. Emergency ultrasound-based algorithms for diagnosing blunt abdominal trauma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; CD004446 [PMID: 23904141 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004446.pub]

11 **Yamada M**, Hasegawa Y, Yamashiro S, Sekine M, Asano Y, Fujinami H. Assessment of a Hands-On Seminar on Gastrointestinal Ultrasound. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2020; **8** [PMID: 33297426 DOI: 10.3390/healthcare8040541]

12 **Kim EY**, Park KH, Choi SJ, Chung WJ. Educational value of pocket-sized ultrasound devices to improve understanding of ultrasound examination principles and sonographic anatomy for medical student. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0185031 [PMID: 28961257 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185031]

13 **Prosch H**, Radzina M, Dietrich CF, Nielsen MB, Baumann S, Ewertsen C, Jenssen C, Kabaalioğlu A, Kosiak W, Kratzer W, Lim A, Popescu A, Mitkov V, Schiavone C, Wohlin M, Wüstner M, Cantisani V. Ultrasound Curricula of Student Education in Europe: Summary of the Experience. *Ultrasound Int Open* 2020; **6**: E25-E33 [PMID: 32885138 DOI: 10.1055/a-1183-3009]

14 **Celebi N**, Griewatz J, Malek NP, Krieg S, Kuehnl T, Muller R, Pauluschke-Fröhlich J, Debove I, Riessen R, Zipfel S, Fröhlich E. Development and implementation of a comprehensive ultrasound curriculum for undergraduate medical students - a feasibility study. *BMC Med Educ* 2019; **19**: 170 [PMID: 31138197 DOI: 10.1186/s12909-019-1611-1]

15 **Baribeau Y**, Sharkey A, Chaudhary O, Krumm S, Fatima H, Mahmood F, Matyal R. Handheld Point-of-Care Ultrasound Probes: The New Generation of POCUS. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2020; **34**: 3139-3145 [PMID: 32736998 DOI: 10.1053/j.jvca.2020.07.004]

16 **Kimori K**, Tamura Y. Feasibility of Using a Pocket-Sized Ultrasound Device to Measure the Inferior Vena Cava Diameter of Patients With Heart Failure in the Community Setting: A Pilot Study. *J Prim Care Community Health* 2020; **11**: 2150132720931345 [PMID: 32484013 DOI: 10.1177/2150132720931345]

17 **Rykkje A**, Carlsen JF, Nielsen MB. Hand-Held Ultrasound Devices Compared with High-End Ultrasound Systems: A Systematic Review. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2019; **9** [PMID: 31208078 DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics9020061]

18 **Parsons C**, Khan KA, Pink J, Verran A, Griffiths F, Hutchinson CE, Petrou S. Preferences for portable ultrasound devices: a discrete choice experiment among abdominal aortic aneurysm surveillance patients and general ultrasound patients in England. *BMJ Open* 2018; **8**: e025428 [PMID: 30573491 DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025428]

19 **Prabhu SJ**, Kanal K, Bhargava P, Vaidya S, Dighe MK. Ultrasound artifacts: classification, applied physics with illustrations, and imaging appearances. *Ultrasound Q* 2014; **30**: 145-157 [PMID: 24850030 DOI: 10.1097/RUQ.0b013e3182a80d34]

20 **Smit MR**, de Vos J, Pisani L, Hagens LA, Almondo C, Heijnen NFL, Schnabel RM, van der Horst ICC, Bergmans DCJJ, Schultz MJ, Bos LDJ; DARTS Consortium. Comparison of Linear and Sector Array Probe for Handheld Lung Ultrasound in Invasively Ventilated ICU Patients. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2020; **46**: 3249-3256 [PMID: 32962892 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2020.08.016]

21 **Zagzebski JA.** Physics of diagnostic ultrasound. In: Zagzenbski JA. Essentials of ultrasound physics. St. Louis, Missouri: Mosby-year book, 1996: 1-19

22 **Hagen-Ansert SL.** The liver. In: Hagen-Ansert SL. The textbook of diagnostic ultrasonography. Saint-Louis: Elsevier, 2012: 205-266

23 **Hagen-Ansert SL.** The gallbladder and the biliary system. In: Hagen-Ansert SL. The textbook of diagnostic ultrasonography. Saint-Louis: Elsevier, 2012: 267-299

24 **Levine D.** Overview of obstetric imaging. In: Rumack CM, Levine D. Diagnostic ultrasound. Philadelphia: Elsevier, 2011: 1015-1033

25 **Merali HS**, Tessaro MO, Ali KQ, Morris SK, Soofi SB, Ariff S. A novel training simulator for portable ultrasound identification of incorrect newborn endotracheal tube placement - observational diagnostic accuracy study protocol. *BMC Pediatr* 2019; **19**: 434 [PMID: 31722685 DOI: 10.1186/s12887-019-1717-y]

26 **Chen SC**, Chen PY, Chen GC, Chuang SY, Tzeng IS, Lin SK. Portable Bladder Ultrasound Reduces Incidence of Urinary Tract Infection and Shortens Hospital Length of Stay in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2018; **33**: 551-558 [PMID: 29851660 DOI: 10.1097/JCN.0000000000000507]

27 **Convissar D**, Bittner EA, Chang MG. Biplane Imaging Using Portable Ultrasound Devices for Vascular Access. *Cureus* 2021; **13**: e12561 [PMID: 33437560 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.12561]

28 **Tasci O**, Hatipoglu ON, Cagli B, Ermis V. Sonography of the chest using linear-array *vs* sector transducers: Correlation with auscultation, chest radiography, and computed tomography. *J Clin Ultrasound* 2016; **44**: 383-389 [PMID: 26863904 DOI: 10.1002/jcu.22331]

29 **Bennett D**, De Vita E, Mezzasalma F, Lanzarone N, Cameli P, Bianchi F, Perillo F, Bargagli E, Mazzei MA, Volterrani L, Scolletta S, Valente S, Franchi F, Frediani B, Sestini P. Portable Pocket-Sized Ultrasound Scanner for the Evaluation of Lung Involvement in Coronavirus Disease 2019 Patients. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2021; **47**: 19-24 [PMID: 33082053 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2020.09.014]

30 **Rajendram R**, Hussain A, Mahmood N, Kharal M. Feasibility of using a handheld ultrasound device to detect and characterize shunt and deep vein thrombosis in patients with COVID-19: an observational study. *Ultrasound J* 2020; **12**: 49 [PMID: 33252722 DOI: 10.1186/s13089-020-00197-0]

31 **Bitar ZI**, Shamsah M, Maadarani O, Bamasood OM, Bitar AZ, Alfoudri H. Lung Ultrasound and Sonographic Subpleural Consolidation in COVID-19 Pneumonia Correlate with Disease Severity. *Crit Care Res Pract* 2021; **2021**: 6695033 [PMID: 33425386 DOI: 10.1155/2021/6695033]

32 **Lieveld AWE**, Kok B, Schuit FH, Azijli K, Heijmans J, van Laarhoven A, Assman NL, Kootte RS, Olgers TJ, Nanayakkara PWB, Bosch FH. Diagnosing COVID-19 pneumonia in a pandemic setting: Lung Ultrasound *versus* CT (LUVCT) - a multicentre, prospective, observational study. *ERJ Open Res* 2020; **6** [PMID: 33442553 DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00539-2020]

33 **Rominger AH**, Gomez GAA, Elliott P. The implementation of a longitudinal POCUS curriculum for physicians working at rural outpatient clinics in Chiapas, Mexico. *Crit Ultrasound J* 2018; **10**: 19 [PMID: 30109455 DOI: 10.1186/s13089-018-0101-8]

34 **Burleson SL**, Swanson JF, Shufflebarger EF, Wallace DW, Heimann MA, Crosby JC, Pigott DC, Gullett JP, Thompson MA, Greene CJ. Evaluation of a novel handheld point-of-care ultrasound device in an African emergency department. *Ultrasound J* 2020; **12**: 53 [PMID: 33284368 DOI: 10.1186/s13089-020-00200-8]

35 **Marsh-Feiley G**, Eadie L, Wilson P. Telesonography in emergency medicine: A systematic review. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**: e0194840 [PMID: 29723198 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194840]

36 **Constantinescu EC**, Nicolau C, Săftoiu A. Recent Developments in Tele-Ultrasonography. *Curr Health Sci J* 2018; **44**: 101-106 [PMID: 30687527 DOI: 10.12865/CHSJ.44.02.01]

37 **Karako K**, Song P, Chen Y, Tang W. Realizing 5G- and AI-based doctor-to-doctor remote diagnosis: opportunities, challenges, and prospects. *Biosci Trends* 2020; **14**: 314-317 [PMID: 33100291 DOI: 10.5582/bst.2020.03364]

38 **Adelman S**, Fishman P. Use of portable ultrasound machine for outpatient orthopedic diagnosis: an implementation study. *Perm J* 2013; **17**: 18-22 [PMID: 24355886 DOI: 10.7812/TPP/12-128]

39 **Van Der Wal S**, Robson SJ, Choong S. Is bedside ultrasound now a routine part of specialist obstetrics and gynaecology outpatient consultation? *Australas J Ultrasound Med* 2013; **16**: 190-192 [PMID: 28191196 DOI: 10.1002/j.2205-0140.2013.tb00246.x]

40 **Falgarone G**, Pamoukdjian F, Cailhol J, Giocanti-Auregan A, Guis S, Bousquet G, Bouchaud O, Seror O. Lung ultrasound is a reliable diagnostic technique to predict abnormal CT chest scan and to detect oxygen requirements in COVID-19 pneumonia. *Aging (Albany NY)* 2020; **12**: 19945-19953 [PMID: 33136555 DOI: 10.18632/aging.104150]

41 **Özdemir U**, Çimen M, Güney T, Gürsel G. Validity and reliability of pocket-sized ultrasound devices in measurement of optic nerve sheath diameter in ICU patients. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2020; **34**: 597-605 [PMID: 31278545 DOI: 10.1007/s10877-019-00351-7]

42 **Miceli L**, Dal Mas F, Biancuzzi H, Bednarova R, Rizzardo A, Cobianchi L, Holmboe ES. Doctor@Home: Through a Telemedicine Co-production and Co-learning Journey. *J Cancer Educ* 2021 [PMID: 33442862 DOI: 10.1007/s13187-020-01945-5]

43 **Peng S**, Micks T, Braganza D, Sue K, Woo M, Rogers P, Freedman S, Lewis J, Hu S, Varner C, Patel N, Hameed S, Steinmetz P. Canadian national survey of family medicine residents on point-of-care ultrasound training. *Can Fam Physician* 2019; **65**: e523-e530 [PMID: 31831501]

44 **Pivetta E**, Girard E, Locascio F, Lupia E, Martin JD, Stone M. Self-Performed Lung Ultrasound for Home Monitoring of a Patient Positive for Coronavirus Disease 2019. *Chest* 2020; **158**: e93-e97 [PMID: 32892893 DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2020.05.604]

45 **Aminlari A**, Quenzer F, Hayden S, Stone J, Murchison C, Campbell C. A Case of Covid-19 Diagnosed at Home With Portable Ultrasound and Confirmed With Home Serology Test. *J Emerg Med* 2021; **60**: 399-401 [PMID: 33288350 DOI: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2020.10.022]

46 **Cantisani V**, Dietrich CF, Badea R, Dudea S, Prosch H, Cerezo E, Nuernberg D, Serra AL, Sidhu PS, Radzina M, Piscaglia F, Bachmann Nielsen M, Ewertsen C, Săftoiu A, Calliada F, Gilja OH. EFSUMB Statement on Medical Student Education in Ultrasound [long version]. *Ultrasound Int Open* 2016; **2**: E2-E7 [PMID: 27689163 DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1569413]

47 **Dietrich CF**, Hoffmann B, Abramowicz J, Badea R, Braden B, Cantisani V, Chammas MC, Cui XW, Dong Y, Gilja OH, Hari R, Nisenbaum H, Nicholls D, Nolsøe CP, Nürnberg D, Prosch H, Radzina M, Recker F, Sachs A, Saftoiu A, Serra A, Sweet L, Vinayak S, Westerway S, Chou YH, Blaivas M. Medical Student Ultrasound Education: A WFUMB Position Paper, Part I. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2019; **45**: 271-281 [PMID: 30497768 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.09.017]

**Footnotes**

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Manuscript source:** Invited manuscript

**Peer-review started:** February 27, 2021

**First decision:** March 31, 2021

**Article in press:**

**Specialty type:** Medical laboratory technology

**Country/Territory of origin:** Japan

**Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B

Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): 0


Grade E (Poor): 0


**P-Reviewer:** Deshwal H **S-Editor:** Fan JR **L-Editor: P-Editor:**

**Figure Legends**




**Figure 1 Lecture by a clinician who specializes in ultrasound.** The lecture covers basic ultrasound physics and simple ultrasound anatomy of the abdomen.







**Figure 2 Simultaneous presentation of ultrasound images of a portal thrombus.** A: High-end ultrasound B mode; B: High-end ultrasound color Doppler; C: Pocket-sized ultrasound B mode; D: Pocket-sized ultrasound color Doppler. These comparisons are used to understand the difference in image quality between the two machines. It also confirms that pocket-sized ultrasound is sufficient for diagnoses. \*: Thrombus in the portal vein.




**Figure 3 Ultrasound image by different probes on a pocket-sized ultrasound device.** A: High-frequency linear probe; B: 1.7-3.8 MHz sector probe. The linear probe is used to visualize superficial areas, and the sector probe is used for observing deep areas. In this case of a small liver tumor situated at the hepatic surface, the lesion was detected by the linear probe (→) but not the sector probe.




**Figure 4 B mode gain setting (hepatic cyst).** A: B mode is too high; B: B mode is well-adjusted; C: B mode is too low. The lesion anechoic mass (arrowhead) with acoustic enhancement (arrow) is clearly seen only when the B mode gain is well-adjusted.




**Figure 5 Color Doppler gain setting (normal portal vein).** A: Gain setting is too high; B: Gain setting is well-adjusted; C: Gain setting is too low. Portal venous flow is not detected when color gain setting is too low (C) and is covered by color noise when it is too high (A).




**Figure 6 Pocket-sized ultrasound images of gallbladder stones and ascites.** A: A 1-cm stone; B: A small amount of ascites. A 1-cm stone (A) and a small amount of ascites (B) is clearly visualized as a strong echo (arrow) with acoustic shadowing (curved arrow) in the former and an echo-free space in the latter (arrowhead).




**Figure 7 Schematic drawing of basic scanning planes of the abdomen.** The combination of these indicated planes permits quick observation of the whole abdomen. The transverse plane of the upper abdomen is for observation of the pancreas. The right upper abdominal plane is for observation of the liver and the gallbladder. The left upper abdominal plane is for observation of the spleen and left kidney. The sagittal plane is for observation of the abdominal aorta and its branches. L: Left upper plane; R: Right upper plane; S: Sagittal plane; T: Transverse plane.




**Figure 8 Representative ultrasound images of the abdominal scanning procedure.** A: The right upper abdominal scanning plane permits the observation of the liver (right lobe); B: Through the transverse scanning plane, the pancreas and the neighboring vessels are clearly demonstrated.







**Figure 9 Pocket-sized ultrasound image of the pleura.** A: Basic scanning planes of the chest (right) by ultrasound; B: Ultrasound image of normal pleura showing a typical A line (arrow); C: Ultrasound image of B line (arrowhead) and consolidation of the lung (curved arrow).




**Figure 10 Case presentation 1 of undesirable pregnancy causing abdominal symptoms.** A: At presentation, the patient denied the possibility of pregnancy. However, pocket-sized B mode performed as part of a general physical examination revealed an embryo (arrow) in her lower abdomen. B and C: Color Doppler was particularly useful for confirming the baby’s cardiac movement. Daily use of pocket-sized ultrasound can lead to a reduction in ionizing radiation and time to correct diagnosis.



**Figure 11 Case presentation 2 of abdominal pain so severe that the patient could not move.** The patient’s abdominal pain was so severe that she could not move from the ambulance. Pocket-sized ultrasound performed in the ambulance revealed a gallbladder stone impact (arrow), leading to the diagnosis of acute stone-impact-induced cholecystitis.



**Figure 12 Case presentation 3 of asymptomatic patient with acute hepatitis.** The patient was asymptomatic upon admission. A: Pocket-sized ultrasound performed as part of a physical examination during medical rounds revealed a small amount of ascites (arrow) around the liver; B: The gallbladder was collapsed (arrowhead). She was diagnosed with severe acute hepatitis, and an energic treatment began immediately.



**Figure 13 Built-in volume measurement application in pocket-sized ultrasound device.** This function is useful for evaluating urine volume in elderly patients. A: Scanning with pocket-sized ultrasound device; B: Urine volume display.



**Figure 14 Built-in wireless function application in pocket-sized ultrasound device.** This function is particularly important when sending ultrasound information from distant locations (ambulance, *etc.*) or infection zones.