

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** The introduction was short and the old sources used changed for the better. The results, discussions, and suggestions have little validity because they are from two articles.

**RESPONSE:** The author replaced into more recent articles about gastric cancer statistics. This manuscript's introduction having 3 paragraphs would be considered appropriate because the introduction, in general, contains the research's background and purpose. And the volume described in the results was relatively short since there are ONLY two systematic reviews. Although there were only two systematic reviews, I think this review would be meaningful for activating research on the hypothesis in the future. The discussion in general includes a summary of the results, comparisons with existing results, limitations, and conclusions. Due to two systematic reviews, few papers were cited in the process of attempting a new interpretation based on the new findings. There was no content to mention the limitations in terms of the review. The conclusion and suggestion were based on the new findings from the meta-analysis results of 18 case-control studies. Thus, it is difficult to say that the conclusion has little validity.

#### 4 LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

#### 5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

##### **(1) *Science editor:***

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes an evidence review of the human papillomavirus infection and gastric cancer risk. The topic is within the scope of the WJV. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The title and abstract were appropriate. The keywords were also appropriate. The introduction was short and the old sources used changed for the better. The results, discussions, and suggestions have little validity because they are from two articles. It is better to use specialized software such as EndNote and Mendeley for listing resources; (3) Format: There are 4 tables and 2 figures; (4) References: A total of 36 references are cited, including 10 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by

the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to [editorialoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:editorialoffice@wjgnet.com). The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately.

**RESPONSE:** Please check the response to Review #1.

2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade C. A language editing certificate issued by Grammarly was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJV.

5 Issues raised: (1) The language classification is Grade C. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>;

**RESPONSE:** The author requested the manuscript for the professional English editing to the Editage corporation ([www.editage.co.kr](http://www.editage.co.kr)). I attached the letter from the Editage.

(2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (3) If an author of a submission is re-using a figure or figures published elsewhere, or that is copyrighted, the author must provide documentation that the previous publisher or copyright holder has given permission for the figure to be re-published; and correctly indicating the reference source and copyrights. For example, "Figure 1 Histopathological examination by hematoxylin-eosin staining (200 ×). A: Control group; B: Model group; C: Pioglitazone hydrochloride group; D: Chinese herbal medicine group. Citation: Yang JM, Sun Y, Wang M, Zhang XL, Zhang SJ, Gao YS, Chen L, Wu MY, Zhou L, Zhou YM, Wang Y, Zheng FJ, Li YH. Regulatory effect of a Chinese herbal medicine formula on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol 2019; 25(34): 5105-5119. Copyright ©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc[6]". And please cite the reference source in the references list. If the author fails to properly cite the published or copyrighted picture(s) or table(s) as described above, he/she will be subject to withdrawal of the article from BPG publications and may even be held liable.

**RESPONSE:** I submit the figures as a PowerPoint file. I confirm that the figures are made by the author.

6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

**(2) Editorial office director:**

**(3) Company editor-in-chief:** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have

sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. However, the quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

**RESPONSE:** The author requested the manuscript for the professional English editing to the Editage corporation ([www.editage.co.kr](http://www.editage.co.kr)). I attached the letter from the Editage.