

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Dear authors, I do not have many specific comments on your manuscript. Melatonin in schizophrenia seems to be a good option if you need to treat insomnia and do not want to increase the dose of antipsychotic medication (and adverse effects of antipsychotics). My question is: If you give melatonin in schizophrenia for a long time, e.g. for several months, will the efficacy of melatonin be the same, or will it gradually decrease (similarly to other psychotropic medication in mental disorders)? Best regards The reviewer

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for their positive constructive feedback in improving this manuscript. We have added the following sentence to the limitations section;

“It remains an open question whether efficacy of melatonin for sleep in people with schizophrenia endures over the longer term: future studies should address this important topic”.

Science editor:

1. Scientific quality: This review intended to synthesize the evidence from clinical trials investigating the effect of prescribed melatonin as an adjunctive therapy in patients with schizophrenia. The topic is within the scope of WJP. (1) Classification: Grade A; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: Melatonin in schizophrenia seems to be a good choice to treat insomnia. Whether the efficacy of long-term melatonin treatment be the same or will it gradually decrease should better be discussed. All the questions raised by the reviewer should be answered; (3) Format: 5 tables and 1 figure; (4) References: A total of 37 references are cited, including 4 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: No self-cited references; (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially those published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A. 3 Academic norms and rules: The conflict-of-interest disclosure form and the signed copyright license agreement have not been uploaded. Please upload the two files when submitting the revised manuscript. No academic misconduct was found by the Google search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJP. 5 Issues raised: The quality of this review is low. (1) The format of the abstract does not conform to the journal style. Please re-format. (2) Reference format does not completely conform to the journal style. Also, please provide PMID and DOI for each reference. 6 Re-Review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Accept.

The authors would like to thank the science editor for their positive feedback on this manuscript.

We are unable to identify the formatting errors in the abstract: could you please advise further and we will make the suggested amendments.

We have formatted the references according to the journal style, including the PMID and DOI for those available.