



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 64881

Title: Role of chromosome 1q copy number variation in hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 02791291

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Senior Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Mexico

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-25 18:17

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-28 23:17

Review time: 3 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. Title is correct 2 Abstract. It is acceptable presented 3 Key words. key words reflect the focus of the manuscript 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? 5 Methods. NA 6 Results. NA 7 Discussion. The manuscript presents a very nice and appropriately the state-of-the-art regarding the copy number variation (CNV) in chromosome 1q and the relevance in HCC. There is not so many manuscripts presenting this kind of revision which is certainly specific but quite useful to understand the subject. 8 Illustrations and tables. It would be great if every figure or table legend indicates the source, as in table 1 9 Biostatistics. NA 10 Units. NA 11 References. the manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the field 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. the manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented