

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 65514

Title: Elderly adults with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care unit: A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 05533296

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-08 12:39

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-08 12:46

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This narrative review we summarize the current evidence for the characteristics and outcomes of elderly patients admitted to the ICU due to COVID-19. The paper is very important

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 65514

Title: Elderly adults with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care unit: A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 05080957

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DNB, MBBS, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-04 05:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-07 06:46

Review time: 3 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Greetings I read your manuscript with interest. You have addressed an important aspects and I believe that your qualitative analysis will help the scientific community. The manuscript is written. However, in my opinion a few minor changes are required 1. Keywords are missing 2. Introduction is too long. Please shorten it to include the background information we have, what is lacking, why your study or analysis is required and what was your objectives 3. Result- please provide a flow chart of your search strategy 4. References- Instead of mentioning all the authors name, you can use six authors followed by et al.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 65514

Title: Elderly adults with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care unit: A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 05432362

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-07 08:51

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-10 00:44

Review time: 2 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this narrative review, the authors have tried to discuss the current characteristics and outcomes of elderly patients admitted to the intensive care units due to SARS-COV-2. This work has a clinical value but authors should do some minor improvements including the following, a- The presentations in the introduction and discussion should be more clear, b- Please add at least one chart or diagram that illustrates the situation. c- Double check the reference list with citations within the text. d- please, refrain from the repetition of the same sentences between introduction and discussion.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 65514

Title: Elderly adults with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care unit: A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 05418778

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-06 02:29

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-15 12:05

Review time: 9 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study was interesting and the output seems acceptable. The method and materials for assumption and the corresponding results, technical support are admired. However, to strengthen the valuable work, the authors were encouraged to consider revisions as the following constructive comments: 1. Readers will get benefited from a general description of comorbidities (for general readers) 2. Please restructure the article (Ex. Result section contain the information which was supposed to be in the Methods section, also from Introduction section, respectively) 3. The present review's latest content paper was up to 15 Feb 2021. In the meantime, as we are in a Pandemic situation, information becomes obsolete too soon. As we are now in May 2021, and whereas the present version stated that " specific information is limited ", It is advised to review more on the recent paper which reflects/argued the claimed in this version. It seems too soon to conclude with the outcome. However, if the authors mentioned the present studies was a literature survey/narrative up to 15 Feb 2021, its fine, 4. The clinical outcome stated that " In the study by Yang et al., 20 out of 27 (74.1%) patients \geq 60 years old died [10]", Unfortunately, the cited paper does not contain the claimed results. It was believed that an accidental mistake was made on reference DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(20\)30079-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5), same in the Auld et al., [48] with 106 and mortality of 44% (Please review for the same). Therefore authors were encouraged to review every related article in the citation for the same error. 5. a review paper should have challenges, solutions/suggestions, and future directions as commented. And the current version still lacks the same. Therefore, authors were encouraged to review the work and update for the same. 6. Minor comments: please review citation (incomplete in the current version): Mitra et al. , Burrell et al., Kennedy et al., Grasselli et al., Richardson et al., Yang et al., Xu et al., Alshukry et al., et. 7. Finally, I valued and enjoy reading your work.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 65514

Title: Elderly adults with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care unit: A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 05418778

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: Li-Li Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-23 12:19

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-13 01:00

Review time: 19 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It has been observed that the Revised Version of the manuscript addresses every review

comment made in Round-1. Therefore, after reviewing, the whole changes show their technical soundness and originality at a satisfactory level.